Categorical Exclusion Determination

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Airport-Cottonwood 230-kV Tower 14-1 Off ROW Tree Removal

Project No.: 100153025

Project Manager: Ricardo Valerde

Location: Shasta County, California

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action:

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including the Airport-Cottonwood 230-kV line (AIR-CW), located in Shasta County. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to remove three Valley Oak trees on the east side of the AIR-CW line between towers 14/1 and 14/2. The hazard trees are located outside but adjacent to the right-of-way (off-ROW) and have the potential to fall on, grow into, or otherwise compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. WAPA Order 450.3A dictates the removal of hazard trees in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Standard FAC-003-1. WAPA has limited discretion on the removal of trees that meet hazard standards. All wood and debris will be chipped and broadcast, and stumps will be treated per WAPA's herbicide recommendations.

Findings:

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Latisha M. Signed: Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare
Date: 2021.03.30
13:54:49 -07'00'

Date: 3/30/21

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Airport-Cottonwood 230-kV Tower 14-1 Off ROW Tree Removal

Project Site Description

The proposed project area is in Shasta County, California on an undeveloped parcel adjacent to the ROW and Interstate 5.

The dominant habitat at this site consists of dense trees with native oaks; this site is a small pocket of woods nestled between Interstate 5, a residential subdivision, and agricultural fields.

Equipment staging areas would be on roads and within the ROW whenever possible.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	ental Resource acts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance with Conditions
Historic and Cul Explanation:	tural Resources	\checkmark	
Tree removal will not cause significant ground disturbance. The O&M activities and vegetation management at these sites would not impact cultural resources. Natural Resources will be contacted immediately if archeological, paleontological, or historic evidence is found.			

2.	Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>	✓	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefo	re, no impacts would occur.	
	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) Explanation:	√	П
٠	No special-status plants are known or expected in the project be required to be clean before entering the project location to		
	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation:		\checkmark
	No special status wildlife is known or expected in the project mark and avoid any sensitive resources if found.	t area. WAPA will conduct pre-work	surveys and
	For the protection of migratory birds, if planned activities occibird surveys will be required prior to project activities. If a newhich all O&M activities and herbicide applications will be proposed in the proposed proposed in the proposed propo	est is detected, an appropriate buffer rohibited from January 1 to Septemb 50 feet for raptors) will be used, unle	will be marked in per 15 or until ss otherwise
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status		
	species, ESUs, and habitats)	7	
	Explanation:		
	The project area and adjacent areas do not have any water would occur.	podies, floodplains, or fish; therefor	e, no impacts

6.	Wetlands Explanation:	✓	
	The project area does not have wetlands; therefore, no imp	eacts would occur.	
	Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u>	✓	
	Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construct would not provide a pathway for groundwater contamination		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas Explanation:	✓	
	The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is the areas, such as National Scenic Rivers.	e project within, or near, any specia	ally designated
9.	Visual Quality Explanation:	✓	
	The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use be no significant change to the visual quality.	of the transmission right-of-way co	rridor. There would
1	0. Air Quality <u>Explanation:</u>		✓
	A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur significant changes to air quality during or after construction		would be no
	This section describes procedures adopted by WAPA to su quality in the region. The contractor is expected to adopt the		to restore air
	AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable requality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good open with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; approximation of the controlled and equipment, per EPA and/or WAQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in a will be controlled.	obtained. erating condition and older equipme oropriate emissions-control equipments.	ent will be replaced ent will be
	AQ-SOP-4: Dust –control measures will be implemented in Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or maintavisible dust emissions. AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction tr	ain at least two feet of freeboard and ash.	d will not create any
	AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of h management districts. AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days when		

	11. Noise <u>Explanation:</u>	✓				
	Residences are north of the project location. Tree removal occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not ch		and would			
	12. Human Health and Safety Explanation:	✓				
	During project activities, all standard safety protocols would health or safety. Contractor shall be required to follow the Ot 1910.269 specifically paragraphs (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), (k), (p), trimming operations performed by line-clearance tree trimmeralso adhere to the requirements under Title 8 Cal OSHA Ele and Subchapter 7 Article 12. Contractor shall maintain a safe above OSHA standards. If a safe working distance cannot be advance.	SHA standards set forth in 29 CFR and (r) as required under (a)(E)(2). Liners who are not qualified employees. Cotrical Safety Order specifically Subchase working distance from conductors as	e-clearance tree ontractor shall apter 5 Article 38 described in the			
	Evaluation of Other	Integral Elements				
	The proposed project would also meet conditions that a The project would not:		rical exclusion.			
✓	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.	or permit requirements for environ	ment, safety, and health, or			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
√	Require siting and construction or major expansion of valueding incinerators) that are not otherwise categoric		or treatment facilities			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
√	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant that preexist in the environment such that there would I					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
✓	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic bid species, unless the proposed activity would be contained unauthorized release into the environment and conduct of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Pro-	ed or confined in a manner designe ted in accordance with applicable r	ed and operated to prevent equirements, such as those			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination					
	Description: WAPA would work closely with the landowr timing. No resrictions were identified.		construction			
-	Based on the foregoing, this proposed project doe	es not have the potential to caus	se significant			

impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: Latisha M.

Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.03.30 13:55:47 -07'00'

Date: 3/30/21