

**U.S. Department of Energy**  
**Categorical Exclusion Determination**  
**Office of Fossil Energy**



**SABINE PASS LIQUEFACTION, LLC**  
**FE DOCKET NOS. 10-111-LNG, 13-30-LNG, 13-42-LNG,**  
**13-121-LNG, AND 15-63-LNG**

**PROPOSED ACTION DESCRIPTION:** Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC (SPL) filed an application (Application) with the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) on September 3, 2020. In DOE/FE Order Nos. 2961-A (as amended),<sup>1</sup> 3669 (as amended),<sup>2</sup> and 3792,<sup>3</sup> SPL is currently authorized to export domestically produced liquefied natural gas (LNG) in a total combined volume equivalent to 1,509.3 billion cubic feet per year (Bcf/yr) of natural gas by vessel from the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal, located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana. SPL is authorized to export this LNG to any country with which the United States has not entered into a free trade agreement (FTA) requiring national treatment for trade in natural gas, and with which trade is not prohibited by U.S. law or policy (non-FTA countries). In the Application, as relevant here, SPL asks DOE/FE to extend its 20-year export term in Order Nos. 2961-A (as amended), 3669 (as amended), and 3792 to a term ending on December 31, 2050. SPL filed the Application under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA)<sup>4</sup> and DOE's policy statement entitled, "Extending Natural Gas Export Authorizations to Non-Free Trade Agreement Countries Through the Year 2050."<sup>5</sup>

In prior proceedings, DOE conducted NEPA analyses for non-FTA exports from the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal that cover all facilities associated with the Application. No new facilities or modification to the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal are required in order for SPL to export the authorized volume of LNG through December 31, 2050, as a result of approval of the Application. DOE/FE's proposed action is to authorize the requested amendment if DOE/FE determines that extending the approved exports through December 31, 2050, is not inconsistent with the public interest. If granted, the authorization would extend the export term under SPL's non-FTA authorizations—DOE/FE Order Nos. 2961-A (as amended), 3669 (as amended), and 3792—through December 31, 2050, subject to the terms and conditions already set forth in those orders.

**CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION APPLIED:** B5.7 - Import or export natural gas, with operational changes

---

<sup>1</sup> *Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC*, DOE/FE Order No. 2961-A, FE Docket No. 10-111-LNG, Final Opinion and Order Granting Long-Term Authorization to Export Liquefied Natural Gas from Sabine Pass LNG Terminal to Non-Free Trade Agreement Nations (Aug. 7, 2012), *reh'g denied* DOE/FE Order No. 2961-B (Jan. 25, 2013), *amended by* DOE/FE Order No. 2961-C (May 4, 2016) (authorizing make-up period).

<sup>2</sup> *Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC*, DOE/FE Order No. 3669, FE Docket Nos. 13-30-LNG, *et al.*, Final Opinion and Order Granting Long-Term, Multi-Contract Authorization to Export Liquefied Natural Gas by Vessel from the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal Located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, to Non-Free Trade Agreement Nations (June 26, 2015), *reh'g denied* DOE/FE Order No. 3669-A (May 26, 2016), *amended by* DOE/FE Order No. 3669-B (Oct. 31, 2017) (clarifying terms of export authorization).

<sup>3</sup> *Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC*, DOE/FE Order No. 3792, FE Docket No. 15-63-LNG, Final Opinion and Order Granting Long-Term, Multi-Contract Authorization to Export Liquefied Natural Gas by Vessel from the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal Located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, to Non-Free Trade Agreement Nations (Mar. 11, 2016), *reh'g denied* DOE/FE Order No. 3792-A (Oct. 20, 2016).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 717b.

<sup>5</sup> U.S. Dep't of Energy, Extending Natural Gas Export Authorizations to Non-Free Trade Agreement Countries Through the Year 2050; Notice of Final Policy Statement and Response to Comments, 85 Fed Reg. 52,237 (Aug. 25, 2020).

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions, including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021.

Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

[✓] The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.

To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; or (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

[✓] There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

[✓] The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Based on my review of the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer, I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Signature: Mark J. Matarrese

Digitally signed by Mark J. Matarrese  
Date: 2020.10.27 12:49:25 -04'00'

Date Determined: 10-27-2020

**Mark J. Matarrese, NEPA Compliance Officer, Office of Fossil Energy**