## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Nimz Ranch North Quad Project

Project No.: 1992-061-05

Project Manager: 76826 REL 9

**Location:** Boundary County, ID

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.20 Protection of

Cultural Resources, Fish and Wildlife Habitat

#### **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to fund the Kootenai Tribe of Idaho to conduct restoration actions along the Kootenai River at a 655-acre tribal-owned property known as Nimz Ranch. Several previous restoration projects have occurred on the Nimz Ranch property in past years. The proposed action would create channels within an existing wetland complex area in the Kootenai River floodplain. Heavy machinery (excavators) would be used to dig out the channels through the existing wetland. Channel depth would range from 2 feet-5 feet. An existing 18-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert would be replaced within a 4-foot by 4-foot reinforced concrete box culvert with flow control to improve fish passage. Approximately 40 acres of the 655-acre property would be graded and contoured to remove reed canary grass and to increase the diversity of water depths within the wetland. Excavated material would be placed in upland areas on-site and planted with native vegetation.

Access would be provided by existing roads and driving overland through fields, although temporary staging areas would be established on-site. Temporary access routes and staging areas would be replanted after project construction with native vegetation.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Ted Gresh
Ted Gresh

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange Date: *July 23, 2020* 

Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Nimz Ranch North Quad Project	

## **Project Site Description**

The project site is set within the 655 acre Nimz Ranch property on the historical Kootenai River floodplain. Currently the site contains a variety of relic high flow channels and 267 acres of delineated wetlands. The majority of wetland area is emergent and dominated by invasive monotypic reed canary grass. There is a large permanently inundated floodplain lake and wetland complex.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: Cultural resources inventory fiel identified three previously unidentified cultura the Kootenai Valley Railroad (IHSI# 21-1759)	l resources. In addition	n, a previously recorded segment of
	BPA determined that the three previously uni- the segment of the Kootenai Valley Railroad of SHPO concurred with BPA's determination of 30 days.	will not be affected by	the proposed project. The Idaho
2.	Geology and Soils		<b>~</b>
	<u>Explanation</u> : The excavation of new channel project site. Best management practices wou the work site during construction of the proposition native vegetation to minimize fugitive se	uld be implemented to osed side channels. Al	prevent soils from eroding outside of disturbed areas would be restored
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No special-status (Endangered species are present. Approximately 40 acres areas would be revegetated with native seed	s would be disturbed d	uring construction. All disturbance
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: No ESA listed, state-listed, or sadjacent to the project area and no designat during construction activities may be tempor likely avoid the area during this time and returns the state of	ed critical habitat is pre arily disturbed by cons	esent. Wildlife present on the site struction traffic and noise, but would

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		<b>V</b>
	Explanation: The Kootenai Tribe obtained a Clean the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on June 16, 202 Kootenai River floodplain the end result of the projecxchange, promote geomorphic sustainability, enhalisted fish species include: Columbia River bull troproposed project would not require any in-water wollisted species on March 10, 2020.	Although the project would import would improve riparian function ance aquatic habitat, and increase the and Kootenai River white sture.	pact areas of the on and nutrient se habitat diversity. geon. Because the
6.	Wetlands		<b>~</b>
	Explanation: The proposed excavation and grading temporarily impact approximately 17 acres of wetlath (CWA) Section 404 permit (NWP 27) from the U.S. areas would be replanted and restored following construction. In addition, following expected to increase and the quality improved as a between Nimz Ranch wetlands and the Kootenai R	nds. The Kootenai Tribe obtained Army Corps of Engineers on Jurnstruction. These areas would be g the completion of the project the result of increasing the surface we	d a Clean Water Act ne 16, 2020. These e replanted and ne wetland area is
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: Although there would be ground distusubstantial effect on groundwater and aquifers. On a positive effect on groundwater recharge function across the floodplain and raise the water table, implementation and aquatic habitat.	e of the benefits of the wetland re once the new hydrologic inputs a	estoration would be are able to spread
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated	<b>▽</b>	П
	Areas		
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for	_	
9.		_	
9.	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for	or the proposed project.  or no effect on visual quality. The with the surrounding area and w	ould not be located
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for Visual Quality  Explanation: The proposed work would have little to enlarged wetland area would be visually consistent in a visually sensitive area. Any change to the view	or the proposed project.  or no effect on visual quality. The with the surrounding area and w	ould not be located
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for Visual Quality  Explanation: The proposed work would have little to enlarged wetland area would be visually consistent in a visually sensitive area. Any change to the view would be short term and temporary.	or the proposed project.  or no effect on visual quality. The with the surrounding area and we shed due to construction vehicles.  It was a surrounding area and we shed due to construction vehicles.	vould not be located es or equipment  the field site would
10.	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for Visual Quality  Explanation: The proposed work would have little tenlarged wetland area would be visually consistent in a visually sensitive area. Any change to the view would be short term and temporary.  Air Quality  Explanation: A temporary increase in emissions are be very minor and short term during construction, but the content of the co	or the proposed project.  or no effect on visual quality. The with the surrounding area and we shed due to construction vehicles.  It was a surrounding area and we shed due to construction vehicles.	vould not be located es or equipment  the field site would
10.	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for Visual Quality  Explanation: The proposed work would have little to enlarged wetland area would be visually consistent in a visually sensitive area. Any change to the view would be short term and temporary.  Air Quality  Explanation: A temporary increase in emissions are be very minor and short term during construction, be once the project is completed.	or the proposed project.  or the proposed project.  or no effect on visual quality. The with the surrounding area and we shed due to construction vehicles.  Indicate the proposed project.	the field site would litions immediately
10.	Explanation: No change in land use would occur for Visual Quality  Explanation: The proposed work would have little to enlarged wetland area would be visually consistent in a visually sensitive area. Any change to the view would be short term and temporary.  Air Quality  Explanation: A temporary increase in emissions are be very minor and short term during construction, be once the project is completed.  Noise  Explanation: The proposed work would result in a semitted from construction equipment would be short.	or the proposed project.  or the proposed project.  or no effect on visual quality. The with the surrounding area and we shed due to construction vehicles.  Indicate the proposed project.	the field site would litions immediately

## **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

### Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

#### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The Kootenai Tribe owns the property where the project would occur. Project plans are presented at a quarterly Kootenai Valley Resource Initiative, a community-based, collaborative effort intended facilitate communication between KTOI and the local community regarding the Tribe's efforts to restore and enhance the resources of the Kootenai Valley. All meetings are open to the public and time is reserved to allow anyone present to ask questions of the information provided.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: \_/s/ Ted Gresh 07/23/2020

Ted Gresh, ECF-4 Date

**Environmental Protection Specialist**