PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



**RECIPIENT: Eaton Corporation** STATE: NC

**PROJECT** Megawatt-Class, High-Voltage SiC Power Electronics Converters for Advanced Manufacturing with Grid

TITLE: **Support Services** 

**Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number** NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0001980 DE-EE0009135 GFO-0009135-001 GO9135

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

#### CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

**A9** Information gathering, analysis, and

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and development, laboratory operations. and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

## Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the Eaton Corporation for the design, fabrication and testing of a megawatt-class, high-voltage silicon carbide power electronics converter with grid support services. Cybersecurity protocols would be evaluated and incorporated into the design efforts. The project would be completed over three Budget Periods (BPs). This NEPA determination is applicable to all three BPs.

Proposed project activities by location are listed below:

Eaton Corp - Arden, NC

Design, development and testing of a power electronics converter

North Carolina State University FREEDM Systems Center - Raleigh, NC

Real-time simulation and controls development

Broadcom - San Jose, CA

· Design, development, assembly and testing of power-over-fiber subsystem

PWP Lab Sistemas - Eletronicos, Brazil

· Software programming and system simulation

Carnegie Mellon University - Pittsburgh, PA

· Synthesis and characterization of nanocomposite alloys, x-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscopy, thermal analysis, and magnetic measurements

The project would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials including metals, solvents, acids, and composite nanoscale materials (not in powder form). All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state and local environmental regulations. Activities would also include the use of medium voltage power, furnaces, melting equipment, and laser diodes. All employees would receive training, proper protective equipment, and utilize engineering controls. No modifications, new permits or change in the use, mission, or operation of any facility would be required.

#### NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Advanced Manufacturing Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA Provision NEPA review completed by Diana Heyder, 6/18/2020

## FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

DOE has determined that work to be carried out outside of the United States, its territories and possessions is exempt from further review pursuant to Section 5.1.1 of the DOE Final Guidelines for Implementation of Executive Order 12114; "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions."

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATUDE OF THIS MEMODANDIM CONSTITUTES A DECORD OF THIS DECISION

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEN	ORANDOM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION	1.	
NEPA Compliance Officer Sign	ature:   Rectronically Signed By: Casey Strickland	Date:	6/19/2020
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION			
<ul><li>✓ Field Office Manager review not required</li><li>☐ Field Office Manager review required</li></ul>			
BASED ON MY REVIEW I	CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:			
	Field Office Manager	<del></del>	