Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Franklin and Red Mountain Radio Station Upgrades

Project Manager: Robert Trismen—TEPF-TPP-1

Location: Benton and Franklin Counties, Washington

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.19 Microwave, meteorological, and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to upgrade two radio station sites with new antennas and equipment. The project involves three existing radio towers in total, two at Franklin radio station and one at Red Mountain radio station. The following work would occur:

At **Franklin radio station**, a 120-foot-tall, three-leg lattice tower and a 200-foot-tall, four-leg lattice tower stand side-by-side. A new Latchways safety climbing system would be installed on both towers. An existing 900-megahertz (MHz) grid antenna and associated coaxial cable would be removed at a height of 115 feet on the 200-foot-tall tower. On the 200-foot-tall tower, a new 8-gigahertz (GHz) high performance 6-foot-diameter microwave dish would be installed at a height of 180 feet. A new 8-GHz elliptical waveguide would be routed down the tower and into equipment inside the control house. The 900-MHz radio in the communications room of the control house would be removed and replaced with a new 8-GHz radio.

At **Red Mountain radio station**, a new Latchways safety climbing system would be installed on a 175-foot-tall, three-leg lattice tower. A temporary 900-MHz grid antenna would be installed at a height of 160 feet, along with a temporary 900-MHz coaxial cable, which would be routed down the tower, under the existing waveguide bridge and into the control house. Then the older existing grid antenna would be removed and replaced with a new 8-GHz high performance 6-foot-diameter microwave dish at a height of 170 feet. A new 8-GHz elliptical waveguide would be installed to the new high performance antenna, routed down the tower and to equipment in the control house. The 900-MHz radio in the communications room of the control house would be removed and replaced with a new 8-GHz radio. An existing telecom battery would also be removed and replaced. The temporary grid antenna and coax cable would be removed after the new antenna has been fully tested and integrated into the new 8-GHz radio.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Beth Belanger Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC
Reviewed by:
/s/ Carol Leiter Carol Leiter Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange
Katey Grange
NEPA Compliance Officer Date: *May 21, 2020*

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Franklin and Red Mountain Radio Station Upgrades

Project Site Description

The Franklin radio station is located at BPA's Franklin Substation in Pasco, Franklin County, Washington. The site is within Section 11, Township 9 North, Range 27 East. The substation is adjacent to US Highway 12 East and the surrounding area is a mix of agricultural, industrial and residential land use. The immediate area surrounding the towers is graveled and devoid of vegetation.

The Red Mountain radio station is located at BPA's Red Mountain Substation near West Richland, Benton County, Washington. The site is within Section 27, Township 9 North, Range 30 East. The substation is adjacent to State Route 224 Northeast and the surrounding area is a mix of agricultural and residential land use. The immediate area surrounding the tower is graveled and devoid of vegetation.

There are no wetlands or waterbodies at, or near, either of the sites.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: BPA's cultural staff determined t cultural resources. The project would not invowould not substantially change the existing views.	lve any ground disturb	
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: The project would not involve greeffects to geology and soils.	ound disturbing activit	ies; therefore, there would be no
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: There are no special-status planareas are devoid of vegetation.	nt species present with	nin the project areas. The project
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: There are no special-status wild The project areas are fenced and do not prove		
	If any active nests are found on the radio tow until the nests are unoccupied.	ers prior to construction	on, the construction would be delayed
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: There are no water bodies, floor	dplains or fish present	within the project areas.

6.	Wetlands	▽				
	Explanation: There are no wetlands present within to wetlands.	he project areas; therefore, there	e would be no effects			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽				
	Explanation: The project would not involve ground-or effects to groundwater or aquifers.	listurbing activities; therefore, th	ere would be no			
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project would not involve any land facilities.	use changes. All work would on	ccur at existing BPA			
9.	Visual Quality	V				
	Explanation: The antenna replacements would not alter the visual quality of the landscape.					
10.	Air Quality	▽				
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle em there would be no substantial changes to air quality		struction; however,			
11.	Noise	▽				
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change substantially.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	▽				
	<u>Explanation</u> : During project activities, all standard s would not impact human health or safety. The insta the towers, would improve overall safety for tower management.	llation of the latchways safety cli				
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise		ery, or treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamina natural gas products that preexist in the environmen unpermitted releases.	ants, or CERCLA excluded petro t such that there would be uncor	leum and atrolled or			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed act designed and operated to prevent unauthorized relea	ivity would be contained or confi	ned in a manner			

accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the

Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project is occurring at BPA facilities and would not require landowner involvement.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u>_____ Date: <u>May 21, 2020</u>

Beth Belanger, ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Flux Resources, LLC