Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Northern Pike Suppression Project

Project No.: 2017-004-00

Project Manager: Jamie Cleveland

Location: Okanogan County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.20 Protection of

Cultural Resources, Fish and Wildlife Habitat

Description of the Proposed Action:

The CCT would conduct the following actions and implement portions of the Northern Pike Suppression Plan:

- 1. Conduct Northern Pike suppression gillnetting, boat electrofishing, and set lining between February and November to remove adult and juvenile Northern Pike in Lake Roosevelt. All activities would occur in Lake Roosevelt between Grand Coulee Dam and China Bend boat launch.
 - a) 10 weeks of gillnetting February November.
 - b) 2 weeks of set lining in June (when reservoir is refilling).
 - c) 2 weeks of boat electrofishing (Aug/Sept) for juvenile fish.
- 2. Assist, as needed, with the Northern Pike abundance surveys with the co-managers.
- 3. Coordinate activities with the co-managers of Lake Roosevelt.
- 4. Attend and participate in regional coordination meetings to ensure regional partners are up to date with the most current Northern Pike information.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist):
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Ted Gresh Ted Gresh ECF-4 Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: <u>May 13, 2020</u>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Northern Pike Suppression Project						
	Project Site Description					
The reservoir behind Grand Coulee Dam, Lake Roosevelt, extends approximately 150 miles to the Canadian border with a total surface area of about 125 square miles.						
	Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources					
	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	<u>Explanation</u> : This project does not involve disturbance is proposed outside of disturbance					
2.	Geology and Soils	V				
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbate potential to affect geology and soils.	ance associated with these	actions. Therefore, there is no			
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed action does or actions that would significantly impact communities.					
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)					
	Explanation: The proposed actions would would be no actions that would occur on wildlife habitat.					
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)					
	Explanation: The capture of northern pik netting, and set lining. Other native fish e trout, suckers, sculpins, whitefish, and ye released immediately to maximize survive trout and they have not been captured in area.	encountered during these a ellow perch. All native live b al. The area where activitie	ctivities would likely be red band ycatch would be counted and so would occur is not suitable for bull			

Activities would not result in any effects to water quality or floodplains.

6.	Wetlands	▼				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project would not take place within potential to affect wetlands	n or around wetlands and therefo	ore, there is no			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽				
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associated potential to affect groundwater and aquifers	iated with this project and theref	ore, there is no			
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas					
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be no changes to land us therefore, there is no potential to affect land use or s		esignated areas and			
9.	Visual Quality	▽				
	Explanation: There would be no changes to visual of there is no potential to impact visual quality.	quality associated with this proje	ct and therefore,			
10.	Air Quality	▽				
	<u>Explanation</u> : A negligible amount of emissions wou transportation.	ld be generated by boats and otl	ner vehicles used for			
11.	Noise	▽				
	Explanation: The project activities do not involve an A negligible amount of noise would be generated by					
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in human health and safety. But all actions are standa specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe we	rd and customary RM&E activitie	es that follow			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulator safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of		vironment,			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwi		very, or treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed ac					

designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Project activities would occur on open water and no special permissions are required. If private lands are to be accessed, work would occur only after obtaining landowner approval.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Ted Gresh Date: May 13, 2020

Ted Gresh ECF-4

Environmental Protection Specialist