## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Basinwide Supplementation Evaluation Project

Project No.: 2009-009-00

**Project Manager:** Brady Allen

**Location**: Multiple counties, Idaho, Oregon, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B3.3 Research

related to conservation of fish, wildlife, and cultural resources

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to fund the Columbia River Inter-tribal Fisheries Commission to use genetic analysis techniques to evaluate the relative reproductive success of tribal hatchery programs and provide personnel to assist sampling efforts. This project would not require the take of wild fish, the collection of additional broodstock, or the release of additional hatchery fish.

The proposed actions would include:

- 1. Genotyping tissue samples collected as part of tribal monitoring programs for supplemented spring Chinook salmon in the upper Yakima River and in Johnson Creek.
- 2. Genotyping tissue samples collected in Lookingglass Creek by tribal Chinook salmon reintroduction/supplementation programs and perform associated relative reproductive success analyses.
- Providing assistance to Yakima Nation personnel in collection of tissue samples (primarily carcass sampling during spawning ground surveys) and field biodata, and perform genotyping of samples provided by tribal co-managed sockeye salmon reintroduction programs.
- 4. Minijack studies: Rear and sample Cle Elum hatchery spring Chinook and perform associated laboratory analyses to assess factors affecting precocious maturation.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Israel Duran
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Salient/CRGT
Reviewed by:

/s/ Chad Hamel
Chad Hamel
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:
/s/ Katey Grange
Date: May 11, 2020

Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Basinwide Supplementation Evaluation Project

## **Project Site Description**

All work would occur within the Columbia River Basin. Genetic and data analysis and minijack studies would be conducted at existing facilities, such as hatcheries, and laboratories; field work assistance would occur at locations operated by tribal hatchery programs.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	V	
	Explanation: The actions proposed by this pr	oject would not impact	historic or cultural resources
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Geology and soils would not be	e impacted by the prop	osed activities.
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: There are no anticipated impact	cts to any plant species	S.
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: The actions would not impact swithin the project area and there would be no		dangered Species Act-listed) wildlife
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: The project would supply person work as part of other ongoing projects under permits have been obtained. No additional fis processed in existing labs and facilities and versions.	existing contracts, for sh are collected to sup	which environmental compliance and port the project. Samples would be
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: The activities would not impact	wetlands.	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: The activities would not impact	or change groundwate	er or aquifers.
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: The activities would not impact	or change land use.	

9.	Visual Quality	▼				
	Explanation: The activities would not impact visual	quality.				
10.	Air Quality					
	t impact air quality.					
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: The work would not impact noise qual	ity				
12.	Human Health and Safety					
	Explanation: Safety regulations would be followed a	as necessary.				
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
<b>V</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulator safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or	y, or permit requirements for env Executive Orders.	ronment,			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Require siting and construction or major expansion o facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwis		ery, or treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum an natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled of unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic Investigation weeks, or invasive species, unless the proposed active designed and operated to prevent unauthorized releast accordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Investigation or the control of the	vity would be contained or confir use into the environment and cor ose of the Department of Agricul	ned in a manner Iducted in			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Landowner Notification Involvement or Coordination						
<u>Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination</u> <u>Description</u> : This work would be implemented in and at existing facilities.						
<u>D</u>	<u>езоприон</u> . Тніз work would be ітпріетненней іт апа а	it existing iacilliles.				
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.						

Signed: /s/ Israel Duran Israel Duran ECF-4 Date: *May 11, 2020* 

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient/CRGT