Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Benton SWCD Focused Investment Partnership (FIP) Willamette River Research, Monitoring and Evaluation (RM&E).

Project No.: 2009-012-00

Project Manager: E. Andersen - EWM-4

Location: Polk, OR.

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B3.3 - Research related to conservation of fish and wildlife

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund Benton Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) for work on the RM&E of the Willamette Focused Investment Partnership (WFIP) projects located on the Upper and Middle reaches of the Willamette River. This is Phase 4 involving the development of a monitoring plan and water temperature collection. Data collected would provide a basis for characterizing spatial and temporal variability within the waterbody that may reflect restoration activities, flow, weather, hyporheic inputs, and other site conditions.

The proposed actions are the following:

1. Create monitoring plan

• Identify parameters, physical locations and the time period(s) for data collection.

2. Collect water temperature data

Install two water temperature loggers at Bowers Rock State Park and The Nature Conservancy's Confluence Preserve. Cinder blocks would serve as anchors for water temperature monitors when installed with floating buoys

• Maintain the water temperature logger at Collins Bay project site.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Luca T. De Stefanis

Luca T. De Stefanis Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus

<u>/s/ Chad Hamel</u> Chad Hamel Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur: /s/ Katey Grange Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: <u>May 8, 2020</u>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Benton SWCD Focused Investment Partnership (FIP) Willamette River Research, Monitoring and Evaluation (RM&E)

Project Site Description

All activities would occur at field sites associated with Upper and Middle reaches of the Willamette River Oregon.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources					
	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
Explanation: There would be no ground disturbing activities, thus the proposed activities would not have the potential to affect historic properties or cultural resources. All work would be carried out from within existing facilities or at existing field sites.					
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities proposed, thus the proposed activities do not have the potential to affect geology and soils. All work would be carried out from within existing facilities or at existing field sites.				
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	v			
	Explanation: No ground disturbing or vege out from within existing facilities and field s				
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: No ground disturbing or othe Field crews from 2 people hiking with back human presence of walking through fields a	packs would yield avoid			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)				
	Explanation: This project work concentrate and data collection. There would be no physic temperature logger. There would be no imp ground disturbing activities are proposed. A the existing sites.	sical handling of fish to a bact to adjacent waterbo	administer the installation water odies or floodplains because no		

6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities are pro- impact wetlands. All work would be carried out from		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities are pro impact wetlands. All work would be carried out from		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas		
	Explanation: Access to field sites is on existing road land use.	d networks and all activities are o	compatible with local
9.	Visual Quality		
	Explanation: The proposed action includes operation installation from previous year's sites which has alre- existing site would not impact visual quality. The ac- where it was not previously installed. Therefore the water temperature monitoring. The only visible portion at the water surface, which would be a minor change	ady been installed. The equipment tion also would include installing proposed action would add add on of the newly-installed equipm	ent in place at the equipment at sites itional equipment for ent would be a buoy
10.	Air Quality		
	Explanation: All work would be carried out from within existing facilities or at the existing field sites and would have no effect on air quality. Any increase in emissions from vehicles accessing field sites would be very minor and short term.		
11.	Noise		
	Explanation: All work would be carried out from within existing facilities or the existing field sites and would not result in an increase in ambient noise at field site locations.		
12.	Human Health and Safety		
	Explanation: All work would be carried out from with carrying the proposed actions of RM&E activities are techniques. This activity is not considered hazardou the general public.	e trained in proper equipment ma	anagement

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The monitoring program leverages research by Portland State University (PSU), Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), US Forest Service (USFS), as well as many Willamette River Scientists. All work is at existing facilities and field work at field sites are accessed on existing roads and adjacent public lands or partnering conservation based private lands with signed agreements with landowners already in place.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Luca T. De Stefanis</u>

Date: May 8, 2020

Luca T. De Stefanis Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus