Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Snake River Chinook and Steelhead PBT Project

Project No.: 2010-031-00

Project Manager: Brady Allen

Location: Multiple counties, Idaho

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B3.3 Research

related to conservation of fish, wildlife and cultural resources.

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund the Idaho Department of Fish and Game's ongoing evaluation of genetic technology for mass marking hatchery steelhead and Chinook salmon in the Snake River basin. Parental Based Tagging (PBT) is a better tool to meet Fish & Wildlife Program objectives associated with marking hatchery stocks, conducting hatchery evaluations and reform, and enforcing salmonid fishery management measures.

This project would genotype approximately 16,500 samples annually to create the first PBT baselines for hatchery steelhead and Chinook salmon in the Snake River basin. PBT samples would be collected from scales of carcasses in Snake River basin waterbodies or collected from hatchery program broodstock. Samples are provided by hatchery programs and personnel would assist other agencies in collection of carcass samples.

This project would work closely with an existing BPA-funded project (2008-907-00) to ensure that future genetic marker sets used in the Columbia River basin are standardized, providing genetic tools for managing wild and hatchery stocks in the basin with Genetic Stock Identification techniques segregating adult wild runs by stock of origin, and PBT technologies identifying the stock and age of sampled hatchery fish.

The actions would specifically satisfy some of BPA's Columbia River hatchery mitigation commitments begun under the 2008 NMFS' Federal Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion (as supplemented in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp) and ongoing commitments under the 2019 NMFS' Columbia River System BiOp (2019 CRS BiOp).

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

/s/ Israel Duran	
Israel Duran	
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist	
Salient/CRGT	
Reviewed by:	
/s/ Chad Hamel	
Chad Hamel	
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded

Date: <u>May 5, 2020</u>

NEPA Compliance Officer

/s/ Katey Grange_

Katey Grange

from further NEPA review.

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Snake River Chinook and Steelhead PBT

Project Site Description

Broodstock sampling would occur within existing support infrastructure throughout Idaho, and carcass collection would occur within the Salmon River basin.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: The proposed activities would occur within the confines of the existing structures, and would not require any ground-disturbing activities for the completion of this work. Carcass collection is led by other agencies and is not expected to disturb historic or cultural resources.				
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: There are no ground disturbing would be no impact to geology or soils.	activities required for t	he completion of this work and there		
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : No habitat present at the existing facilities. Carcass collection is led by other agencies and is not expected to impact vegetative resources. There are no anticipated impacts to any sensitive plant species, and none exist within the immediate area of impact.				
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~			
	Explanation: No habitat present at the existing facilities. Carcass collection is led by other agencies and is not expected to impact wildlife with the exception of some minor disturbance due to temporary human presence. There are no anticipated impacts to any sensitive wildlife species.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : There are no live fish handled by the project. Carcass collection is led by other agencies and is not expected to disturb aquatic resources. There are no anticipated impacts to water bodies, floodplains or fish.				
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: No ground excavation is planned and the activities would not impact wetlands.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground excavation is plann aquifers.	ed and the activities w	ould not impact groundwater or		

8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	V				
	Explanation: The work would not impact or change	land use.				
9.	Visual Quality	V				
	Explanation: The work would not impact visual qua	lity.				
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: The work would not impact air quality.					
11.	Noise	<u> </u>				
	$\underline{\text{Explanation}}\text{: The work would not raise noise levels}$	above background.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: Safety regulations would be followed	as necessary.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwis		ery, or treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manne designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination						
<u>Description</u> : This work would be implemented on federal, state and tribal property.						

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Israel Duran Israel Duran ECF-4 Date: <u>May 5, 2020</u>

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient/CRGT