Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Mobile Redi Latchways Fall Safety Project (*Update to previous categorical exclusion issued on June 29, 2018*)

Project Manager: B. Younce, TEPF-CSB-2

<u>Location</u>: Washington Counties: *Lewis, Thurston, Pacific, Klickitat, Walla Walla, King, Wasco, Yakima, Garfield*; Oregon Counties: *Jefferson, Lake, Crook, Klamath, Multnomah, Douglas*; Idaho Counties: *Nez Perce*

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.19 Microwave, meteorological, and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to install work safety fall protection equipment at multiple communication sites in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. Work would occur at the following sites:

- Blyn, Jefferson County
- Boistfort Peak, Lewis County
- Capital Peak, Thurston County
- Coyote Hills, Lake County
- Grizzly Mountain, Crook County
- Hatwai Substation, Nez Perce County
- Indian Mountain, Lake County
- Lower Granite Substation, Garfield County
- Lower Monumental Substation, Walla Walla County
- Maloney Ridge, King County
- Megler, Pacific County
- Odell Butte, Klamath County
- Pomeroy, Garfield County
- Shaniko, Wasco County
- Skyrocket, Walla Walla County
- Sunnyside, Yakima County
- Waterville, Douglas County

BPA would install a cable safety system—the MSA Latchways system— on the vertical climbing path of each communication structure. An anchor support beam would be installed near the top of the structure. The cable system would then be connected to the structure with a series of brackets approximately every 10 feet and a top and bottom anchor. Once installed, workers would use the system when climbing the tower by attaching their harness to a specialized pulley which allows them to glide along the safety cable as they climb.

Installation would require a line truck and two-to-three workers at the structure and one-to-two workers on the ground. Intermittent noise generation would occur from the use of hand tools to install the support beam and Latchway system and gear banging against the steel structures. Noise would occur over six to eight hours in a single day.

These actions would occur on existing structures that are located on both BPA fee-owned land and land where BPA possesses an agreed upon easement with the landowner. The structures would be accessed using BPA's existing access road system and the proposed work would not require ground-disturbing activities or tree/vegetation removal.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Laura Roberts</u>
Laura Roberts
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey C. Grange
Katey C. Grange
NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: *April 30, 2020*

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Mobile Redi Latchways Fall Safety Project (*Update to previous categorical exclusion issued on June 29, 2018*)

Project Site Description

All work would take place within BPA substations and radio facilities on BPA fee-owned property or existing easements in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. This work would not cause new ground disturbance. All project areas have previously been disturbed.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: BPA's archaeologist and historian reviewed proposed activities and determined that these types of activities do not have the potential to cause effects to historic properties.				
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur at these existing communication sites.				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur	at these existing comm	unication sites.		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: In those locations where potential Northern spotted owl and/or marbled murrelet habitat, or eagle nests have been identified, BPA would schedule noise-generating work outside of the critical nesting periods (Northern spotted owl: March to July; marbled murrelet: April to September; eagles: January to August):				
	 Sites with eagle nesting timing restrictions in Sites with Northern spotted owl restrictions in Sites with marbled murrelet restrictions includes 	include: Blyn, Maloney F	Ridge, and Odell Butte		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V			
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fish	are present at the com	munication sites.		
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: No wetlands are present at the com	munication sites.			

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance would occur a groundwater or aquifers.	t these existing communica	tion sites; thus, no impacts to
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas		
	<u>Explanation</u> : All work would occur within the existing are owned by the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) or the and Project Manager would coordinate required not before work begins at each site.	Bureau of Land Managemer	nt (BLM), the BPA Realty Specialist
9.	Visual Quality		
	Explanation: The brackets and cables would not ch	ange the overall visual chara	acter of the facilities.
10.	Air Quality		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Small amounts of dust and vehicle emroads.	issions may occur while line	e trucks travel existing access
11.	Noise		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Minor, intermittent noise associated noise would not change.	with activities would occur o	during daylight hours. Operational
12.	Human Health and Safety		
	Explanation: Maintenance activity would improve	safety of workers at PDA's o	ommunication facilities
	Explanation. Iviaintenance activity would improve s	salety of workers at BFA's Co	ommunication facilities.
		er Integral Elements	ommunication racinities.
		er Integral Elements	
	Evaluation of Oth proposed project would also meet conditions that a	ner Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements	categorical exclusion. The
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that a ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat	ner Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements	categorical exclusion. The
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that a ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive	ner Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements Orders. of waste storage, disposal,	categorical exclusion. The s for environment, safety, and
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that a ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion	ner Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements Orders. of waste storage, disposal,	categorical exclusion. The s for environment, safety, and
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that a ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not others.	ner Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements Orders. of waste storage, disposal, wise categorically excluded.	categorical exclusion. The s for environment, safety, and recovery, or treatment
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that a ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwest. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamination.	ner Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements Orders. of waste storage, disposal, wise categorically excluded.	categorical exclusion. The s for environment, safety, and recovery, or treatment
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that a ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamination products that preexist in the environment such that	per Integral Elements are integral elements of the ory, or permit requirements Orders. of waste storage, disposal, vise categorically excluded. mants, or CERCLA excluded p t there would be uncontrolled be biology, governmentally de d be contained or confined i environment and conducted	categorical exclusion. The s for environment, safety, and recovery, or treatment etroleum and natural gas ed or unpermitted releases. esignated noxious weeds, or n a manner designed and d in accordance with applicable

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Most sites are owned in fee by BPA. Others are owned by the USFS and BLM. All access rights have been acquired. The BPA Realty Specialist and Project Manager would coordinate required notification and entry protocol with the landowner/manager before work begins at each site.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Laura Roberts</u>
Laura Roberts, ECT-4
Environmental Protection Specialist

Date: April 30, 2020