Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Deschutes River Fall Chinook Research and Monitoring Project

Project No.: 2008-306-00

Project Manager: Corrie Veenstra

Location: Jefferson, Sherman, Wasco counties, Oregon

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B3.3 Research related to conservation of fish, wildlife and cultural resources.

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to fund the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon's ongoing research and monitoring in conjunction with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). The proposed O&M actions include:

- 1. Maintain and record data at the existing Deschutes River mouth and Sherars Falls Passive Integrated Transponder arrays;
- 2. Assist ODFW staff with data collection and mark-recapture studies of returning fall Chinook at Sherars Falls, and;
- 3. Conduct carcass surveys.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), Bonneville has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist):
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, Bonneville finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Israel Duran
Israel Duran
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Salient/CRGT
Reviewed by:
/s/ Chad Hamel
Chad Hamel Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Date: April 27, 2020

Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Deschutes River Fall Chinook Research and Monitoring

Project Site Description

All activities would occur within the Deschutes River subbasin in Jefferson, Sherman, and Wasco counties, Oregon.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance this project would not impact historic or culture.		ct. Therefore, actions proposed by
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance would not be impacted by the proposed activ		ct. Therefore, geology and soils
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbanc impacts to any plant species, and none exis		
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: The actions would not impact	wildlife within the project	area and there would be no effect.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: Project personnel assist ODFV would not handle any fish species. The activ		
6.	Wetlands	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbance impact wetlands.	e proposed by this proje	ct. Therefore, activities would not
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V	
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance not impact or change groundwater or aquifer		ct. Therefore, the activities would
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas		
	Explanation: The activities would not impact	t or change land use.	

9. Visual Quality					
Explanation: The activities would not impact vis	ual quality.				
10. Air Quality					
Explanation: The work would not impact air qua	lity.				
11. Noise					
Explanation: Noise levels would not be impacted	d by the work.				
12. Human Health and Safety					
Explanation: Safety regulations would be follow	ed as necessary.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that The project would not:	orical exclusion.				
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not other	on of waste storage, disposal, recov wise categorically excluded.	ery, or treatment			
Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contar natural gas products that preexist in the environm unpermitted releases.					
Explanation, if necessary:					
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthe weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed designed and operated to prevent unauthorized reaccordance with applicable requirements, such a Environmental Protection Agency, and the Nation	activity would be contained or confi elease into the environment and co s those of the Department of Agricu	ined in a manner onducted in			
Explanation, if necessary:					
<u>Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination</u>					
<u>Description</u> : This work would be implemented with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife on Oregon State lands.					

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/Israel Duran Israel Duran ECF-4 Date: April 27, 2020

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient/CRGT