Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Tamkaliks Side Channel and Floodplain Restoration

Project No.: 1992-026-01

Project Manager: Andre L'Heureux

Location: Wallowa County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.20 Protection of

Cultural Resources, Fish and Wildlife Habitat

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to provide funds to the Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho (NPT) for restoration activities in an area of the historical floodplain along River Mile (RM) 22 of the Wallowa River, in Wallowa County, Oregon. The activities would occur on Nez Perce Reservation lands.

The project would improve habitat conditions for ESA-listed fish species as well as other species of fish and wildlife. Improvements would be accomplished by restoring hydraulic and geomorphic processes, while enhancing instream, side channel and wetland habitat within a historic floodplain that has been disconnected from the main channel of the Wallowa River. Improved floodplain connection and roughness would provide spawning and rearing habitat for ESA-listed Snake River Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), Snake River steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), reintroduced lamprey (*Lamptera* sp.), Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), and other native fish species, and improve water quality at the reach scale.

Restoration actions for the project would consist of the construction of a new side channel approximately 1,550 feet in length, consisting of one main side channel and two secondary side channels, each with their own connection point to the Wallowa River. Approximately 12 pools would be dug to depths of one to three feet; eight within the main side channel and two in each of the secondary side channels. The main side channel would include one large alcove feature at the point of re-entry into the main Wallowa River, along with four off-channel wetlands to enhance floodplain connection and habitat diversity. An additional stand-alone alcove would be created upstream from the main project area where the Whiskey Creek irrigation return water enters the mainstem of the Wallowa River. Approximately five large wood structures and 20 trees would be placed throughout the side channel network to increase channel complexity. Riffle habitat within the side channels would be constructed using 3,200 cubic yards of riffle substrate and five traverse boulders interspersed among the substrate. Additionally, boulders would be installed in the mainstem Wallowa River at each of the three side channel inlet and outlet locations.

Construction activities are anticipated to last seven and a half months between July 15, 2020 and March 1, 2021. Excavated materials would be disposed off-site or spread in the upland areas. All areas denuded of vegetation along the banks of the side channels would be restored with native willows (*Salix* sp.). Areas outside of the newly developed side channels that would be disturbed would be seeded with a locally derived and adapted native seed mixture following project construction.

These activities fulfil commitments begun under the 2008 NOAA Fisheries Federal Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion (as supplemented in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp) and

ongoing commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Columbia River System BiOp (2019 CRS BiOp).

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *April 17, 2020*

/s/ Travis D. Kessler

Travis D. Kessler Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Salient CRGT, Inc.

Reviewed by:

/s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange

Katey Grange NEPA Compliance

Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Tamkaliks Side Channel and Floodplain Restoration

Project Site Description

The proposed project would occur on RM 22 of the Wallowa River within the Grande Ronde Basin to the east of the town of Wallowa in Wallowa County, Oregon (Sections 11, 13 and 14, Township 1 North, and Range 42 East). Topography within the project area is generally flat with gentle undulations in areas where past disturbances or fill practices have occurred. Vegetation in the project area consists of trees and shrubs along the riparian area of the river, with the historic floodplain consisting of grasses and weedy forbs. Wetlands occur throughout the historic floodplain area. The project consists of a disconnected floodplain that historically was hydrologically connected to the Wallowa River. The land is owned by the NPT.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: Since the project area is located on Nez Perce Reservation lands, the NPT initiated consultation with Oregon SHPO and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR) on December 11, 2009. This consultation also included submittal of a survey report prepared by Pat Baird Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) of the Nez Perce Tribe Cultural Resource Program (SHPO #22913; Baird 2009), as well as a determination of no historic properties affected. SHPO concurrence was received on December 11, 2009 (SHPO Case No. 09-2653). Following that consultation effort, project design and implementation were put on hold and revisited in 2018 and cultural resources surveys were conducted with the NPT's THPO. On July 22, 2018, BPA initiated Section 106 consultation with the NPT THPO and requested concurrence on BPA's determination that the implementation of the proposed undertaking would result in no historic properties affected based on field survey results. The THPO concurred with BPA's determination via an email dated July 9, 2018.				
2.	Geology and Soils		V		
	Explanation: The excavation of side channels would permanently disturb soils on the project site. Best Management Practices (BMP's) would be implemented to prevent soils from eroding outside of the work site during construction of the proposed side channels. All areas denuded of vegetation along the banks of the side channels would be restored with native willows (<i>Salix</i> sp.). Areas outside of the newly developed side channels that would be disturbed would be seeded with a locally derived and adapted native seed mixture following project construction to minimize fugitive sediments from entering surface waters and wetlands.				
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: Although ground disturbance sensitive plant species known to exist on the the proposed side channels would be planted that would be disturbed would be seeded with Therefore, the project would result in a long	e site. Vegetation distu ed with native willows. A ith a locally derived and	rbed as a result of the excavation of Areas outside of the side channels I adapted native seed mixture.		

4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: No ESA listed, state-listed, or sensitive wildlife species have been documented in or adjacent to the project area and no designated critical habitat is present. Wildlife present on the site during construction activities may be temporarily disturbed by construction traffic and noise, but would likely avoid the area during this time and return once the project work is completed.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)				
	Explanation: The project would impact approximation construct the proposed side channels as part of the Water Act (CWA) Section 404 permit under the Recorps of Engineers (USACE) on June 12, 2019. Scovered by the Oregon Department of Environment Quality Certification for the Reissuance of Regions Power Administration Funded Habitat Improvement	e restoration actions. The NPT of egional General Permit (RGP) 6 f since the project was permitted ur ental Quality (DEQ) under a CWA al General Permit #6 with Modific	btained a Clean rom the U.S. Army nder the RGP-6, it is Section 401 Water ations for Bonneville		
	Although the project would impact the Wallowa River, it would restore hydraulic and geomorphic processes, while enhancing instream, side channel and wetland habitat within a historic floodplain that has been disconnected from the main channel of the Wallowa River. This strategy would create more habitat function and value to the ecosystem than the current function of the Wallowa River in the immediate vicinity of the project area.				
	The project is covered under the Habitat Improvement Program (HIP) Biological Opinion (BiOp) under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Listed fish species include: Snake River spring Chinook salmon, Snake River steelhead and Columbia River bull trout. Since the project is considered to be medium risk under HIP, a series of conservation measures would be followed to ensure that the project would be a benefit to ESA listed fish species.				
	These activities fulfil commitments begun under the 2008 NOAA Fisheries Federal Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion (as supplemented in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp) and ongoing commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Columbia River System BiOp (2019 CRS BiOp).				
	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp) and ongoing		
6.	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp) and ongoing		
6.	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Co	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp lumbia River System BiOp (2019 ed in the historic floodplain of the sed side channels. As discussed w for the work to occur as propos	e Wallowa River above, the NPT sed. The project would		
 7. 	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Cowellands Explanation: A total of 0.22 acres of wetland local would be impacted in order to construct the proposition between 404 and 401 permits to allow be expected to be self-mitigating, and would allow proposed site over the existing site.	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp lumbia River System BiOp (2019 ed in the historic floodplain of the sed side channels. As discussed w for the work to occur as propos	e Wallowa River above, the NPT sed. The project would		
	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Cowellands Explanation: A total of 0.22 acres of wetland local would be impacted in order to construct the proposition between 404 and 401 permits to allow be expected to be self-mitigating, and would allow proposed site over the existing site.	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp lumbia River System BiOp (2019 ed in the historic floodplain of the sed side channels. As discussed w for the work to occur as propos for a net increase in wetland fund rurbance as a result of the excave substantial effect on groundwate an groundwater recharge function	e) and ongoing CRS BiOp). e) Wallowa River above, the NPT sed. The project would ction and value to the ction of the proposed r and aquifers. The and water quality		
	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Cowellands Explanation: A total of 0.22 acres of wetland local would be impacted in order to construct the proposition obtained both Section 404 and 401 permits to allow be expected to be self-mitigating, and would allow proposed site over the existing site. Groundwater and Aquifers Explanation: Although there would be ground distincted by the work is not expected to have a side channel project would have a positive effect of once the new hydrologic inputs are able to spread creating new wetlands and aquatic habitat.	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp lumbia River System BiOp (2019 ed in the historic floodplain of the sed side channels. As discussed w for the work to occur as propos for a net increase in wetland fund rurbance as a result of the excave substantial effect on groundwate an groundwater recharge function	e) and ongoing CRS BiOp). e) Wallowa River above, the NPT sed. The project would ction and value to the ction of the proposed r and aquifers. The and water quality		
7.	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Cowellands Explanation: A total of 0.22 acres of wetland local would be impacted in order to construct the proposition obtained both Section 404 and 401 permits to allow be expected to be self-mitigating, and would allow proposed site over the existing site. Groundwater and Aquifers Explanation: Although there would be ground distincted by the work is not expected to have a side channel project would have a positive effect of once the new hydrologic inputs are able to spread creating new wetlands and aquatic habitat. Land Use and Specially-Designated	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp lumbia River System BiOp (2019) ed in the historic floodplain of the sed side channels. As discussed w for the work to occur as propos for a net increase in wetland fund substantial effect on groundwate on groundwater recharge function a across the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater the groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise that is a cross that is a cross that the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross that is a cros	e) and ongoing CRS BiOp). Wallowa River above, the NPT and and value to the control of the proposed or and aquifers. The and water quality the water table,		
7.	Power System Biological Opinion (as supplement commitments under the 2019 NOAA Fisheries Cowmitments Cowmitments Cowmitments Cowmitments Under the proposed state of the 2019 NOAA Under the proposed site over the existing site. Commitments	ed in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp lumbia River System BiOp (2019) ed in the historic floodplain of the sed side channels. As discussed w for the work to occur as propos for a net increase in wetland fund substantial effect on groundwate on groundwater recharge function a across the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater the groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise to the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross the floodplain and raise that is a cross that is a cross that the substantial effect on groundwater that is a cross that is a cros	e) and ongoing CRS BiOp). Wallowa River above, the NPT and and value to the control of the proposed or and aquifers. The and water quality the water table,		

10.	Air Quality	~					
	Explanation: A temporary increase in emissions and be very minor and short term during construction, but once the project is completed.						
11.	Noise						
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed work would result in a temporary increase in ambient noise. Any noise emitted from construction equipment would be short term and temporary during daylight hours and would cease following project completion.						
12.	Human Health and Safety						
	Explanation: The proposed work is not considered hazardous nor does it result in any health or safety risks to the general public. There would be no soil contamination or hazardous conditions, no CERCLA sites and no changes to electric or magnetic fields as a result of the proposed project.						
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements							
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not:	e integral elements of the categ	jorical exclusion.				
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise		very, or treatment				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminatural gas products that preexist in the environment unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed ac designed and operated to prevent unauthorized releaccordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National	tivity would be contained or contained or contained or contained into the environment and conose of the Department of Agric	fined in a manner onducted in				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination							
<u>Description</u> : The project sponsor, the NPT, would complete the project on reservation lands that are owned by the NPT.							

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/Travis D. Kessler</u> Date: <u>April 17, 2020</u> Travis D. Kessler, ECF

Travis D. Kessler, ECF Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Salient CRGT, Inc.