## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Snake River Fall Chinook Research & Monitoring Project

**Project No.:** 1991-029-00

**Project Manager:** Deborah Docherty

**Location**: Multiple counties in Idaho, Oregon, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B3.3 Research

related to conservation of fish, wildlife and cultural resources

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to fund the U.S. Geological Survey to PIT tag wild fall Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) subyearlings in the Snake River basin and analyze subsequent detection data to estimate survival and growth; describe run timing and life history attributes; evaluate the effectiveness of summer spill; and explore the roles of food availability, growth, and habitat-rearing capacity as potential limiting factors.

The proposed actions would include:

- 1. Beach seining and PIT tag subyearling Chinook salmon in the Snake River basin.
- 2. Obtaining up to 350 previously tagged subyearlings from the run passing Lower Granite Dam and record metrics.
- 3. Surveys, including but not limited to: installing stream gauges and PIT tag arrays; conducting snorkel surveys; conducting aerial surveys (e.g. drones, airplanes, helicopter); conducting site assessments (e.g. pebble counts, elevation surveys); carcass surveys; redd counts; stomach dissection; water quality data; and ongoing data collection.

These activities fulfil some of Bonneville's commitments begun under the 2008 NMFS Federal Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion (as supplemented in 2010 and 2014) (2008 BiOp) and ongoing commitments under the 2019 NMFS Columbia River System BiOp (2019 CRS BiOp).

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), Bonneville has determined that the proposed action:

- fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, Bonneville finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: <i>May 1, 2020</i>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Snake River Fall Chinook Research & Monitoring Project

## **Project Site Description**

All activities would occur within the Snake River subbasin in multiple counties of Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance cultural resources as a result of these action		uld be no impacts to historic or		
2.	Geology and Soils				
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbanc the proposed activities.	e planned and geology	and soils would not be impacted by		
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: There are no anticipated impaimmediate area of impact.	acts to any plant species	s, and none exist within the		
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: The actions would not impact	wildlife within the projec	ct areas.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)				
	Explanation: There would be some impact to listed Snake River fall Chinook salmon; however, US has obtained all necessary Section 10 permits for direct take under the Endangered Species Act. activities would not impact waterbodies or floodplains due to lack of ground-disturbing activities.				
6.	Wetlands	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance	e planned; activities wo	uld not impact wetlands.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: There is no ground disturband groundwater or aquifers.	ce planned; activities wo	ould not impact or change		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	V			
	Explanation: The activities would not impact	ct or change land use.			

9.	Visual Quality	<b>~</b>					
	Explanation: The activities would not impact visual	quality.					
10.	Air Quality	<b>▽</b>					
	Explanation: The work would not impact air quality.						
11.	Noise	V					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Noise levels may be increased, but the of the study period.	is would only occur during the no	ormal working hours				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>▽</b>					
	Explanation: Safety regulations would be followed a	as necessary.					
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
<b>V</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulator safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or		ironment,				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
<b>V</b>	Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise	of waste storage, disposal, recove se categorically excluded.	ery, or treatment				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
<b>V</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamina natural gas products that preexist in the environment unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
<b>V</b>	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed act designed and operated to prevent unauthorized releast accordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National I	ivity would be contained or confi ase into the environment and cor lose of the Department of Agricu	ned in a manner nducted in				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
-	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination						
<u>D</u>	<u>Description</u> : This work would be implemented at existing facilities or on public lands.						

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/Israel Duran</u> Date: <u>May 1, 2020</u>

Israel Duran ECF-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient/CRGT