PMC-ND (1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: University of Wisconsin-Madison

STATE: WI

PROJECT Additive Manufactured Super-Critical CO2 Heat to Power Solution, 1980-1795

Funding Opportunity Announcement NumberProcurement Instrument NumberNEPA Control NumberCID NumberDE-FOA-0001980DE-EE0009138GFO-0009138-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
B3.6 Small- scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects	Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the University of Wisconsin-Madison (UW-Madison) for the research and development of design plans for higher efficiencies in heat to power conversion for increased energy efficiencies with possible manufacturing applications. To accomplish this effort, the project would include the design, development, fabrication, and testing of a turbine wheel and electrical generator with associated power electronics to be used in a supercritical carbon dioxide (CO2) heat to power solution system.

Proposed activities at each location would include:

UW-Madison, Solar Energy Lab, Madison WI 53706

• Simulation and design of thermomechanical and system integration validation platform

UW-Madison, Thermal Hydraulics Lab, Madison WI 53706

• Testing of turbine and supporting mechanical platform design; installation of testbed with performance testing.

UW-Madison, Wisconsin Electric Machines and Power Electronics Consortium Laboratories, Madison WI • Fabrication and testing of testbed

UW-Madison, Alloy Design and Development Laboratory, Madison, WI
Designing and manufacturing of metal powder samples, and post-processing of samples for density measurements and imaging

United Technologies Research Center, East Hartford CT • Additive manufacturing of turbines and assembly of turbo-generator

Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM

· Consultation on turbine and power system components and system test

The project would involve the use and handling of high pressure carbon dioxide (CO2), as well as high voltages, currents, and temperatures. All such use and handling would occur in laboratories. Safety procedures including a training program, ventilation requirements, and CO2 sensors are already in place. Protocols would also include the use of personal protective equipment, monitoring, and engineering controls.

Approximately 600 pounds of metal powder would be used with this project. The metal powder would be handled using environmental health and safety guidelines and reused when possible. All hazardous material and equipment proposed for the project would be managed by the individual laboratories involved and whose safety and environmental teams meet all Federal, State, and local environmental regulations.

All project work would be performed at existing, purpose-built laboratory or manufacturing facilities. No modifications to existing facilities, ground disturbing activities, or changes to the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would be required. No additional permits, licenses, or authorizations would be required.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Advanced Manufacturing Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA Provision NEPA review completed by Diana Heyder, 4/14/2020

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Stored By Casey Strickland

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 4/15/2020

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
- Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Date: