Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Sno-King Substation Control House Window Replacement

Project Manager: Christopher Ross, NWM-1

Location: Snohomish County, WA

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions and modifications to transmission facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace nine single-glazed metal framed windows and add security film to three door windows in the Control House at Sno-King Substation. Replacement of the windows and addition of the security film is needed for energy efficiency and to meet BPA security requirements. No other modifications to the Control House or within Sno-King Substation would occur.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Tish Eaton

Tish Eaton
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange
Katev Grange

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: March 23, 2020

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Sno-King Substation Control House Window Replacement

Project Site Description

Sno-King Substation, located in Bothell, Washington, is surrounded by residential subdivisions with some open space and parks. BPA's substation parcel is partially wooded with some open space. A gravel area encircles the south half of the Control House with a landscaped area and parking lot to the north.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	 		
	Explanation: Because Sno-King Substation does not meet the age requirements for eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places, BPA made a determination of no potential to effect.			
2.	Geology and Soils			
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities would occur.			
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	~		
	Explanation: All work in existing Control House; no plants present/disturbed.			
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V		
	Explanation: All work in existing Control House; no habitat present.			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	~		
	Explanation: All work in existing Control House; no water bodies, floodplains, or fish present.			
6.	Wetlands	V		
	Explanation: None present.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers			
	Explanation: No ground disturbance propos	ed.		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	~		
	Explanation: All work in existing Control Hou	use.		
9.	Visual Quality			
Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality in the project area.			project area.	

10. Air Quality					
Explanation: All work in existing Control Ho	use; all vehicles would p	park in the paved lot.			
11. Noise					
Explanation: Noise generated would be ter	ır during daylight hours.				
12. Human Health and Safety	V				
Explanation: During project activity all stan- handling of asbestos and lead contaminate prepared and implemented to address any not impact human health or safety.	d material. A site-specifi	c health and safety plan would be			
Evaluation o	of Other Integral Elen	nents			
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, r health, or similar requirements of DOE or Ex		uirements for environment, safety, and			
Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major exp facilities (including incinerators) that are not					
Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, co products that preexist in the environment su					
Explanation, if necessary:					
Involve genetically engineered organisms, sy invasive species, unless the proposed activit operated to prevent unauthorized release int requirements, such as those of the Departmenth National Institutes of Health.	ty would be contained or to the environment and o	r confined in a manner designed and conducted in accordance with applicable			
Explanation, if necessary:					
<u>Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination</u>					
<u>Description</u> : No landowner notification or coor place on BPA land.	rdination would be nece	ssary since the work would take			
Based on the foregoing, this proposed proje to any environmentally sensitive resource.	ect does not have the p	potential to cause significant impacts			

Signed: /s/ Tish Eaton
Tish Eaton, ECT-4 Date: March 23, 2020