Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Lapine-Fort Rock No. 1 Miles 19-24 Access Road Improvement Project

PP&A No.: 4,040

Project Manager: Donna Martin, TELF-TPP-3

Location: Lake County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B 1.3 Routine

Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: The Bonneville Power Administration is planning on improving approximately 4.5 miles of existing access roads along the Lapine-Fort Rock No. 1 115-kv transmission line in Lake County, OR, in the U.S. Forest Service's Deschutes National Forest. Work would take place in line miles 19 through 24 of the transmission line as it trends southeast from Lapine Substation in Deschutes County, OR, to Fort Rock Substation in Lake County, OR. The roads provide access to the transmission easement for transmission line maintenance, inspections, and emergency response, and require periodic work to ensure safe and reliable use. The proposed improvements include minimal regrading and blading followed by resurfacing the road with crushed, compacted rock. The existing road width of approximately 12 ft. would be maintained. No new road construction footprint is proposed, and no in-water work or water crossing features are planned. Construction vehicular traffic would be restricted to existing disturbed areas and road surfaces as much as possible to protect the local native vegetation, and disturbed soils would be stabilized with native grass seed and straw. Construction equipment would likely include a backhoe loader, dump truck, road roller and light duty trucks.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: March 18, 2020

/s/ <u>Aaron Siemers</u>
Aaron C. Siemers
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange
Katey Grange
NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Lapine-Fort Rock No. 1 Miles 19-24 Access Road Improvement Project

Project Site Description

The Lapine-Fort Rock No. 1 Miles 19-24 Access Road Improvement Project is located in the slopes and foothills of the eastern Cascades, in the Pumice Plateau ecoregion of east-central Oregon. The project area is located in Deschutes National Forest (Forest), and managed by the U.S Forest Service (USFS). A network of USFS dirt and gravel access roads are present in the area, and intersect with the BPA access road that runs down the Lapine-Fort Rock No. 1 transmission line right-of-way (ROW). The ROW in the project area is 75 feet wide. Vegetation in the ROW is periodically cut to promote low-growing plant species to maintain electrical clearances. The existing BPA access road, where the proposed work is planned, generally runs straight down the ROW, parallel to the transmission line. The terrain is generally flat, and ranges in elevation from approximately 4650 ft. to 4700 ft. The 115 kV transmission line is supported by monopoles in the project area. Vegetation in the ROW is dominated by sage brush, bitter brush and rabbit brush. Large volcanic rock outcrops are present in some locations. Outside of the cleared ROW, lodgepole pine and ponderosa pine dot the landscape, but canopy cover is patchy and thin in most areas. No waterways are present in the project area.

Hole in the Ground, a nearly mile-wide volcanic explosion crater and lightly trafficked hiking area, is found on the southeast end of the project work area, near BPA transmission structure 24/1.

The project location is detailed below:

Township	Range	Section
24 S	12 E	Sec. 33
24 S	12 E	Sec. 34
25 S	12 E	Sec. 3
25 S	12 E	Sec. 2
25 S	12 E	Sec. 11
25 S	12 E	Sec. 12
25 S	12 E	Sec. 13
25 S	13 E	Sec. 18

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	Explanation:					
	BPA engaged in consultation with the USFS Deschutes National Forest, the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs of Oregon (CTWRO), the Klamath Tribe, the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office, and the Burns Paiute Tribes. A field survey by a BPA archaeologist was conducted in September of 2019. On December 12, 2019, BPA issued a determination that the proposed undertaking would result in no historic properties affected. BPA received concurrence from the CTWRO and the Klamath Tribe in December 2019. No other consulted parties responded during the 30-day comment period.					
an dis	BPA and the access road contractor would implement an inadvertent discovery plan in the event historic and cultural resources are discovered during the course of construction activity. If there is an inadvertent discovery of an archaeological site, all work would cease and a Forest Service and BPA archaeologist would be notified immediately to determine how to move forward.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: The planned road improvements would occur and turn-around zones for construction actions. Note: Any disturbed soils incidental to constraw or other acceptable erosion are recommendations.	ivity would be established on struction would be stabi	I in previously disturbed areas. lized with native seed and weed-free			
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		V			
	Explanation: Light grading of the roads with heavy machinery would potentially disturb some vegetation immediately adjacent to the existing road footprint. These areas would be reseeded with native grasses post-construction.					
	Road work would be completed in areas with abundant native vegetation such as sage brush, bitter brush and rabbit brush.					
	BPA obtained a species list for the project on 02/04/2020. No Endangered Species Act (ESA) – listed plant species are present in the project area. In correspondence with USFS staff regarding the planned project, the USFS did not express concerns regarding the presence of sensitive plant species in the work areas.					
	Note:					
	 Construction activity on undisturbe much as possible. 	ed areas vegetated with n	ative plants would be limited as			
	 To reduce the risk of introducing in pressurized water, of dirt and weed System lands. 		nent will be cleaned thoroughly, with fore departing National Forest			
	 If fill material is needed it will be insplants before use and transport. On District or Forest weed specialists 	only gravel, fill, sand, and i				

4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: Construction on the project would likely disturb wild additional noise. However, disturbance would be te provides ample habitat and cover for wildlife outside BPA obtained a species list for the project on 02/04 fisher. BPA determined that the project would have staff regarding the planned project, the USFS did no sensitive wildlife species in the work areas.	mporary, and the Deschutes Nate of the immediate project area. /2020. An effects determination "no effect" on fisher. In correspo	was conducted for ondence with USFS		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	▽			
	Explanation: The project area is not located in or near a floodplain. No in-water work, culvert installation, or other water are present in the project area. Shortnose sucker fish is listed under the Endangere near waterbodies is planned, BPA has determined sucker and shortnose sucker critical habitat.	r crossing construction is planne ed Species Act for the project are	ea. As no work in or		
6.	Wetlands	V			
	Explanation: No wetlands are present in or near the project area.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~			
	Explanation: Excavation on the project would only include light g groundwater or aquifers.	rading and not involve depths th	at would intersect		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	~			
	Explanation: Land use consists of public lands managed by the USFS for silviculture, recreation, and wildlife habitat. There are no specially designated areas nearby. The project would not impact existing land use.				
9.	Visual Quality	<u>~</u>			
	Explanation: No large trees would be removed during construction consistent with existing visual quality.	on. The road grading and additio	n of rock would be		
10.	Air Quality	V			
	Explanation: Some dust may be generated due to construction traffic, transport, and placement of rock, and gene construction activities. A fugitive dust plan would be implemented to control dust generation, if needs With an appropriate dust control mitigation plan, the project would have minor, temporary impacts to quality.				

11.	Noise	~	
	Explanation: Some temporary noise may be generated due to co and general construction activities. However, the protrafficked recreational sites and concentrated human	oject is in a relatively remote are	placement of rock, ea, away from highly
12.	Human Health and Safety	V	
	Explanation: The project is located in an uninhabited area. The ac safety plan (SSSP) to protect worker health and safe		elop a site specific
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements	
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that a e project would not:	re integral elements of the cateo	gorical exclusion.
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulator safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of		nvironment,
	Explanation, if necessary: Not applicable		
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are n		
	Explanation, if necessary: Not applicable		
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminatural gas products that preexist in the environment unpermitted releases.		
	Explanation, if necessary: Not applicable		
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed accepted and operated to prevent unauthorized releaccordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Explanation, if necessary: Not applicable	tivity would be contained or con ease into the environment and co hose of the Department of Agric	fined in a manner onducted in

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA has notified and coordinated planned project activities with the USFS Deschutes National Forest, as well as other stakeholders in the general project area. BPA would continue to coordinate with all stakeholders as necessary during preconstruction planning and the construction phase of the project. During coordination, the Forest expressed concern over heavy equipment potentially impacting existing paved roads.

Note:

 In order to protect Forest road systems, rock would be placed along shoulders and at road junctions where trucks and equipment would be pulling off of paved roads to protect the pavement edge. Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ <u>Aaron Siemers</u> Aaron C. Siemers, EPR Date: March 18, 2020