## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: 2020 Olympia-Grand Coulee No. 1 Gate Replacement Project

**PP&A No.**: 4379

**Project Manager:** Matthew Harvey, TFCF-COVINGTON

**Location:** King County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine

Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to replace a steel access gate along the Olympia-Grand Coulee No. 1 287-kV transmission line. Access gate would be replaced in the same location as the one removed. Project work includes minor vegetation removal around existing structure and minor excavation activities to allow placement of new gate. All work would occur within BPA's ROW on existing access roads and landings General equipment used for this type of project includes excavators and dump trucks.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

Date: March 4, 2020

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Chad Browning</u> Chad A. Browning Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Katey Grange</u>
Katey Grange
NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: 2020 Olympia-Grand Coulee Access Gate Replacement Project					
Project Site Description					
Proposed maintenance activities would be located in a segment of access road near structure 55/4 on the Olympia-Grand Coulee No. 1 transmission line, approximately 3.75 miles to the southeast of Buckley, WA. Current land use within the project area consists of utility line corridor right-of-way and includes gravel access roads, steel lattice transmission towers, and associated electrical lines. Adjacent land use consists of Tacoma Watershed, Army Corp of Engineers (USACE), and U.S. Forest Service undeveloped forest. Topography within the project area is generally flat with an elevation above mean sea level (AMSL) approximately 1,292 feet.					
Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources					
Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1. Historic and Cultural Resources					
Explanation: Gate is located on existing access road and would be replaced in the same location USACE, Seattle District, Planning, Environmental, and Cultural Resources Branch has reviewed the proposed action and conducted an analysis in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA (16 U.S.C 470f). Review of the proposed undertaking finds that the activity would have no potential to cause effects on historic properties (36 C.F.R. 800.3(a)(1)). No further archaeological work is recommended.  Note: In the event any archaeological material is encountered during project activities, BPA would stop work in the vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead, archaeologist, and project manager; interested tribes; Washington Department of Archeological and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and the appropriate local, state and Federal agencies. BPA would implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering, and take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site, including restricting access.					
2. Geology and Soils	V				
Explanation: Minor soil disturbance would replaced. Best Management Practices (BI would be reseeded. No prime or unique fa	MPs) would be used to pre	event erosion and disturbed areas			
3. <b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)					
Explanation: Minor vegetation removal widisturbance footprint of the gate replacem mapped within the project area.					

4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: No suitable habitat is present in the present disturbance would be minor in footprint and presence would be limited to no more than 2 days.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		<u>~</u>		
	Explanation: Work in this area would not encroach (White River). In addition, erosion control measure downstream sedimentation.				
6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: Gate replacement would occur within any wetland.	existing access road. No work v	vould occur within		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: Groundwater would not be affected by groundwater wells or use of ground water proposed		tivities; no new		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: No land use changes are proposed; n	o specially-designated areas ha	ve been identified.		
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : All new construction would be replacement in kind and the new gate would visually match existing infrastructure and be limited to the existing road prism.				
10.	Air Quality	V			
	Explanation: Any fugitive dust or similar air quality	impacts during project construct	ion are expected to		
11	be temporary and minimal.				
	be temporary and minimal.  Noise	<b>▽</b>			
11.			ary and localized.		
	Noise		ary and localized.		
	Noise  Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility	ine equipment would be tempor	ary and localized.		
	Noise  Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility  Human Health and Safety	line equipment would be tempor  Image: Image	ary and localized.		
12.	Noise  Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility  Human Health and Safety  Explanation: Project activities would not impact hur	line equipment would be tempor  man health or safety.  htegral Elements			
12.	Noise  Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility  Human Health and Safety  Explanation: Project activities would not impact hur  Evaluation of Other In  e proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not:	line equipment would be tempor  man health or safety.  ntegral Elements  are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for elements.	gorical exclusion.		
12.	Noise  Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility  Human Health and Safety  Explanation: Project activities would not impact hur  Evaluation of Other In  e proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulators	line equipment would be tempor  man health or safety.  ntegral Elements  are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for elements.	gorical exclusion.		
12.	Noise  Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility  Human Health and Safety  Explanation: Project activities would not impact hur  Evaluation of Other In  e proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of	line equipment would be tempor  man health or safety.  ntegral Elements  are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for each or Executive Orders.	gorical exclusion.  nvironment,		

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

## Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

## Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The project area is located on USACE land, which BPA holds an easement on. USACE and BPA personnel have coordinated the proposed gate replacement project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Chad Browning Date: March 4, 2020

Chad Browning, EPR-COVINGTON

**Environmental Scientist**