PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Molecule Works Inc. STATE: WA

PROJECT TITLE:

A Water Vapor Membrane Separation System for Process Drying and Latent Energy Recovery

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0001980 DF-FF0009129 GFO-0009129-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Molecule Works, Inc. for the research and development of membrane modules in frames that could be scaled as needed for low-cost production of the membrane modules. The validation of the effectiveness of the membrane modules in drying system prototypes would be accomplished through long-term tests of distillation and adsorption processes with slip streams at an existing ethanol plant. Additionally, incumbent membrane technologies would also be tested at the ethanol plant.

Proposed project activities by location, are listed below:

Molecule Works Inc. 2447 Robertson Drive, Richland, WA

Optimization and pilot-scale manufacturing of porous metal and zeolite membrane sheets

Molecule Works Inc. 3100 George Washington Way, Suite 140, Richland, WA

 Design and assembly of membrane modules; characterization of the membrane performances; preliminary process design and engineering of membrane dehydration prototype units

Vconverter Corporation, 10505 Plaza Drive, Whitmore Lake, MI

· Fabrication of membrane frames, manifolds, casing, and parts; and manufacturing cost analysis of those specialty parts.

POET Research Inc. 4515 N. Lewis Avenue, Sioux Falls, SD

• Ethanol dehydration tests; process simulation and techno-economic analysis

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in Richland, WA

· Structural and compositional analysis of the membranes and module parts; module design modeling; process simulation and techno-economic analysis

The project would require the use and handling of various hazardous materials and industrial solvents, including potentially explosive materials. All handling of hazardous materials would occur in controlled, purpose built facilities and would be managed in accordance with existing safety policies, and follow Federal, state, and local environmental regulations. The testing system at Molecule Works facility at 3100 George Washington Way operates as a closed loop system without discharge or emission of ethanol. The testing system has a built-in safety control, with a shutdown function in case there is leakage, over-pressure, or overheating within the system. No modifications, new permits or change in the use, mission, or operation of any facility would be required.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Advanced Manufacturing Office
This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA Provision
NEPA review completed by Diana Heyder, 3/20/2020

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEF	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Sened By: Casey Strickland	Date:	3/20/2020
		NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIE	LD OFFICE MANAGER DETEI	MINATION		
	Field Office Manager review not r Field Office Manager review requi			

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:

Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Questionnaire