## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Oregon Fish Screen Projects, Fields Creek Nos. 2 and 3

**Project No.**: 1993-066-00

**Project Manager:** Eric Leitzinger

**Location**: Grant County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B 1.20 Protection of

cultural resources, fish and wildlife habitat.

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) to construct, fabricate, and install fish screens as per the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) fish screen criteria. This project would occur on private land within the John Day River Subbasin.

New gravity fish screens would be installed in an irrigation ditch typically outside of the irrigation season, and/or the irrigation ditch is typically dewatered during construction. However, due to crop rotation, agriculture harvest, stock water needs, weather, site constraints, irrigation practices, etc., if there is a need to provide for irrigation or stock water, water would be bypassed around the construction site. Prior to diverting water, ODFW would install a temporary portable prefabricated fish screen. The temporary fish screens would ensure that fish would be protected during construction, and would keep fish from traveling down the irrigation ditch. The conveyance headgate and the bypass headgate would typically stay closed during replacement or maintenance. Once the project or task is complete, the headgate would be opened while keeping the bypass closed for a very short period which allows for the initial flush of sediment and debris to go down the conveyance ditch, while still providing fish protection from the installed fish screens.

Proposed gravity screen locations:

Stream Name	Lat	Long	
Field's Creek #2	44.3971	-119.30749	
Field's Creek #3	44.39778	-119.30673	

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### /s/ Catherine Clark

Catherine Clark
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Motus Recruiting and Staffing, INC

Reviewed by:

### /s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: *February 25, 2020* 

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Oregon Fish Screen Projects, Fields Creek Nos. 2 and 3

## **Project Site Description**

The project is located in Grant County, Oregon, approximately 10 miles west of Mount Vernon, Oregon on private property off of Forest Service Road Fields Creek-Murderers Creek. Township 13 South, Range 28 East, Section 35 and 36. The project area is within the John Day River Subbasin.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). On with the SHPO and requested concurrence on proposed action would result in no historic pro	<u>colanation</u> : The BPA conducted cultural resources surveys and Section 106 consultation through the stee Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). On January 21, 2020, BPA initiated Section 106 consultation in the SHPO and requested concurrence on BPA's determination that the implementation of the posed action would result in no historic properties affected based on field survey results. The SHPO incurred with BPA's determination on February 21, 2020.				
2.	Geology and Soils					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Removal and replacement of current fish screen would cause ground disturbance on approximately 0.72 acres; erosion control measures would be implemented to minimize impacts.					
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No special-status species are p disturbed associated with the removal and re					
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>				
		<u>lanation</u> : No special-status wildlife species or habitat would be impacted by the installation of the fish screen. Wildlife may be temporarily disturbed by construction noise during implementation.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: This project would be exempt from the Department of State Lands removal of fill permit under the OAR 141-085-0530 Exemption: "Fish Passage and Fish Screening Structures in Essential Indigenous Anadromous Salmonid Habitat (ESH). Less than 50 cubic yards of removal-fill for construction or maintenance of fish passage and fish screening structures that are constructed, operated or maintained under ORS 498.306, 498.316, 498.326 or 509.600 to 509.645. This exemption includes removal of material that inhibits fish passage or prevents fish screens from functioning properly."  Fish screens would be installed in an irrigation ditch typically outside of the irrigation season, and/or the					
	no potential to effect special-status					

6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No wetlands would be disturbed.					
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>▽</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No new wells or use of groundwater proposed; spill prevention measures would be present on site to reduce the risk of groundwater contamination.					
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas					
	Explanation: No change to existing land use would occur. The project is on private land.					
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: New fish screen structure would be sim noticeably different than exsiting structures. Would u footprint and minimize visual impacts.					
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Temporary, small amounts of dust and vehicle emissions would be generated during implementation.					
11.	Noise	<b>▽</b>				
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise would be generated during local approved daylight hours.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No known soil contamination or hazard changes to electric and magnetic fields.	dous conditions, no adjacent CE	RCLA sites, and no			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
<b>V</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulator safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of		vironment,			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>V</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamina natural gas products that preexist in the environmen unpermitted releases.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.  Explanation, if necessary:					
	<u> </u>					

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: The project sponsor, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, would complete the project on private land with the cooperation of the land owner.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Catherine Clark Date: February 25, 2020

Catherine Clark

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Recruiting and Staffing, INC