Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Vault toilet installation at Sheep Creek Reservoir

Project No.: 1995-015-00

Project Manager: Cecelia Brown, EWM-4

Location: Humboldt County, Nevada

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.15 Support Buildings

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to provide funding to the Shoshone Paiute Indian Tribes for the installation of a prefabricated concrete CXT-style toilet. This sealed vault toilet would replace a portable toilet unit on site now. It would be located along existing roads at existing heavily impacted day-use area on the eastern shore of Sheep Creek Reservoir. Sheep Creek Reservoir is an artificial lake formed by a dam across Sheep Creek, a tributary to the Owyhee River.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Robert W Shull		
Contract Environmental Protection Spec	cialist	
CorSource Technology Group		
Reviewed by:		
/s/ Chad Hamel		
Chad Hamel		
Supervisory Environmental Protection S	pecialist	
Concur:		
/s/ Sarah T. Biegel	Date: <i>February 12, 2020</i>	
Sarah T. Biegel		
NEPA Compliance Officer		

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: \	Vault toilet installation at Sheep Creek Reservoir	

Project Site Description

Activities would occur along the eastern shore of Sheep Creek Reservoir, an artificial reservoir on Sheep Creek, a tributary to the Owyhee River in Humboldt County in northern Nevada. The toilet location is at an existing shoreline recreation site characterized by a compacted barren core area surrounding roadway loops at the terminus of local access roads. The surrounding habitat type is the Intermountain Cold Desert Shrub, dominated by sagebrush and greasewood, with a willow-dominated riparian community along the reservoir's shores. The toilet would be located on un-vegetated compacted soils on the footprint of an existing portable toilet unit.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : A cultural resource survey of the site properties were identified in the survey. Consultat with concurrence that no historic properties would	ion with Nevada SHPC	=
2.	Geology and Soils	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no remarkable soil or geolog heavy recreation use. Excavation for the vault and and geologic values.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: There are no plants to be disturbed a heavy recreation use. Toilet construction would no might denude a larger area.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: There are no federal/state special state the site has already displaced native wildlife that Construction of this toilet would neither increase results.	t might otherwise use	this upland or shoreline habitat.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: The installation of this toilet would b narrow riparian shoreline strip on a denuded uplar This toilet installation would have no effect on wat	nd site in what would	otherwise be high desert scrub habitats.

6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: The installation of this toilet would be along wetlands. It would be installed above the narrow ripariar toilet installation would have no effect on wetlands.	=	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽	
	Explanation: This is an installation of a sealed vault toiler would be no open pit. There would be no potential to im		gular basis. There
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	▽	
	<u>Explanation</u> : This toilet construction would require no changes to land use	-	The state of the s
9.	Visual Quality	▽	
	Explanation: This toilet would be installed in a location of designed or colored to be compatible with the surroundi with a wood-textured exterior in a color designed to be of Visual quality would be maintained or improved.	ng landscape. The new toilet is of o	concrete structure
10.	Air Quality	▽	
	Explanation: This toilet unit would not emit pollutants a	nd would have no potential to degr	ade air quality.
11.	Noise	V	
	Explanation: This toilet unit would not be mechanized at Sheep Creek Reservoir would not be altered by installation		oundscape around
12.	Human Health and Safety	▽	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The new toilet would be more consistently structure now in place. Human health and safety condition replacement of the existing portable toilet with a permanent	ons would be maintained or improv	
	Evaluation of Othe	r Integral Elements	
The not:	proposed project would also meet conditions that are inte	egral elements of the categorical ex	clusion. The project would
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.	permit requirements for environm	nent, safety, and health, or
	Explanation, if necessary:		
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion of wa (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorical		reatment facilities
	Explanation, if necessary:		
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, preexist in the environment such that there would be unc		
	Explanation, if necessary:		
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biological	gy, governmentally designated nox	ious weeds, or invasive

species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent

unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The landowner (Shoshone Paiute Tribes on the Duck Valley Indian Reservation) is the proponent for this action and there are no other landowners nearby. The tribe has an information and engagement process for tribal members for projects funded by BPA each year. This project has been reviewed by tribal members.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Robert W Shull</u> Date: <u>February 12, 2020</u>

Robert W Shull – ECF-4 Contract Environmental Protection Specialist CorSource Technology Group