Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action	n: North E	Bonneville	Substation	Parcel Sale
-----------------	------------	------------	------------	-------------

Project No.: LURR 20180360

Project Manager: Charlene Belt

Location: Skamania, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to allow Skamania County Public Utility District (PUD) to purchase a rectangular piece of BPA property next to their existing substation parcel. The size of the BPA parcel to be acquired by the PUD is approximately 217 feet by 58 feet. The PUD seeks to purchase the parcel for potential future expansion of their existing substation located adjacent to the BPA North Bonneville Substation.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Christopher H. Furey</u>	
Christopher H. Furey	
Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Sarah T. Biegel	Date: <i>February</i> 6, 2020
Sarah T. Biegel	·
NEPA Compliance Officer	

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: North Bonneville Substation	Parcel Sale
Project	ct Site Description

The acquisition would be on BPA owned land adjacent to the North Bonneville Substation. The legal parcel involved is in Township 2 N, Range 7 E, and Section DC 39.

The immediate surrounding topography consists of relatively flat land with neighboring parcels comprised of existing developed substation land, some coniferous forested areas, residential home sites and the North Bonneville highway. The parcel is located within the urban designation of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Freshwater forested shrub wetland areas are located about 450 feet to the northwest of the parcel. Greenleaf Creek is located about 650 feet northwest of the parcel. National Forest with designated Northern Spotted Owl habitat is about 1800 feet north of the parcel. The Columbia River is about 1500 feet to the south of the parcel.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: A BPA archaeologist reviewed the proposed activities for the sale of the property and determined that this action does not have potential to cause effects to historic or cultural resources. The WA Department of Archaeology and History (DAHP) concurred with the Determination of No Adverse Effect on December 10, 2019 with the stipulations for an unanticipated discovery plan. The tribal consulting parties for this project included the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon, the Cowlitz Indian Tribe, and the Nez Perce Tribe. The Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs recommended an Inadvertent Discovery Plan be put in place.				
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel to Skamar or soils.	nia County PUD would r	not have the potential to affect geology		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V			
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not habitat. There are no ESA-listed plant species on	·			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have the potential to affect wildlife or their habitats.				

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)			
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have	the potential to affect water bodies	s, floodplains, or fish.	
6.	Wetlands	~		
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have t	the potential to affect wetlands.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~		
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have	the potential to affect groundwate	r and aquifers.	
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	~		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The sale of the BPA parcel would not have areas.	the potential to affect land use and	specially designated	
9.	Visual Quality	▽		
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have	the potential to affect existing visu	al quality.	
10.	Air Quality	▽		
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have the potential to affect air quality.			
11.	Noise	▽		
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have	the potential to cause noise.		
12.	Human Health and Safety	▽		
	Explanation: The sale of the BPA parcel would not have t	he potential to affect human healt	h and safety.	
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements		
	proposed project would also meet conditions that are infiger in ject would not:	tegral elements of the categorical e	exclusion. The	
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.			
	Explanation, if necessary:			
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there		_	
	Explanation, if necessary:			
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the			

National Institutes of Health.

ax	lanation.	if	necessary	1
-^P	iaiiatioii,	•••	iicccssai j	,

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty is in contact with Skamania County PUD for this project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Christopher H. Furey</u> Date: <u>February 6, 2020</u>

Christopher H. Furey

Environmental Protection Specialist