Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Data Collection in Columbia River Side Channel

Project No.: 1999-003-01

Project Manager: Christine Petersen, EWM-4

Location: Skamania County, WA

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B 3.3 Research related to conservation of fish, wildlife, and cultural resources.

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) to research what conditions are necessary for successful spawning and rearing below the lower Columbia River Mainstem dams. Specifically, PNNL would collect data on the abundance, spatial and temporal distributions, and stock origins of spawning chum and fall Chinook salmon, as well as the emergence timing and emigration from local rearing areas. In addition, PNNL identifies operational and physical habitat factors affecting spawning.

PNNL would collect temperature and water level data at four previously installed piezometers located in a side channel of the Columbia River at River Mile 143, between the shoreline and Ives Island, and at the mouth of Hamilton Creek. Data would be recorded throughout the chum salmon spawning, incubation, and emergence time periods, mid-November to mid-April.

When data collection ends, all piezometers and related equipment would also be removed. The piezometers would be removed when the river level is low enough to walk to each piezometer location. A pipe wrench would be used to loosen the piezometer, and then the piezometer would be pulled straight out of the ground with no additional ground disturbance to the surrounding area. The above ground components of an unused telemetry station located on Pierce National Wildlife Refuge would also be dismantled with hand tools. Subsurface PVC pipe and cabling associated with the tower would be also be removed to a depth of 12 inches below the ground.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Catherine Clark

Catherine Clark Environmental Protection Specialist Motus Recruiting and Staffing, INC

Reviewed by:

/s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Katey C. Grange</u> Katey C. Grange NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: January 21, 2020

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Data Collection in Columbia River Side Channel

Project Site Description

The project is located in Skamania, Washington, approximately 1.5 miles southwest of North Bonneville, Washington, at the mouth of Hamilton Creek. The project area is within the Lower Columbia River system, with Pierce National Wildlife Refuge at the northern end. Vegetation in the project area consists of trees, shrubs, and riparian plants.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: Installation of these piezometers a Service in 2003. BPA has determined that the properties.		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Soil disturbance would be minim telemetry station removal.	nal, limited to the mino	r excavation associated with the
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: The project would not remove of plants, including Endangered Species Act (ES		herefore, no potential to affect
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary and minimal noise/h equipment removal. Therefore, little to no effe		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: There would be no effect to wat collection and non-mechanical removal of pie		or fish. Project involves data
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No wetlands would be disturbed	I.	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: The project would not result in a change the hydrological regime and therefore		

0.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas				
	Explanation: A special use permit for research and monitoring was obtained from Pierce National Wildlife Refuge (Permit #19-007) by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.				
9.	Visual Quality	V			
	Explanation: No effect to visual quality, field equipment to be removed at the completion of data collection efforts.				
10.	Air Quality	▽			
	Explanation: Effects to air quality would be negligible; small amounts of emissions may be associated with use of boating equipment during data collection.				
11.	Noise	V			
	Explanation: There would be limited noise-generating activities associated with this project during equipment removal.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project activities would not mobile activities would not uncover contaminated earth, in storage tanks.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
		are integral elements of the cate	egorical exclusion.		
		tory, or permit requirements for			
The	e project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regula	tory, or permit requirements for			
The	e project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regula safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE	tory, or permit requirements for or Executive Orders.	environment,		
The	Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion	tory, or permit requirements for or Executive Orders.	environment,		
The	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regula safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherward.	tory, or permit requirements for or Executive Orders. n of waste storage, disposal, receivise categorically excluded.	environment, covery, or treatment		
The	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regula safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamnatural gas products that preexist in the environment.	tory, or permit requirements for or Executive Orders. n of waste storage, disposal, receivise categorically excluded.	environment, covery, or treatment		
The	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regula safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamnatural gas products that preexist in the environment unpermitted releases.	tory, or permit requirements for or Executive Orders. In of waste storage, disposal, receivise categorically excluded. In ants, or CERCLA excluded perent such that there would be understood to be contained or collease into the environment and those of the Department of Agr	environment, covery, or treatment stroleum and controlled or gnated noxious infined in a manner conducted in		

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Coordination has occurred with USFWS to conduct research and monitoring at Pierce National Wildlife Refuge and within the Lower Columbia River system at the base of Hamilton Creek.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Catherine Clark Date: January 21, 2020

Catherine Clark, ECF-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Recruiting and Staffing, INC