Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Colville-Republic No. 1 Access Road Improvement Project

PP&A No.: 2379

Project Manager: Todd Wehner

Location: Ferry and Stevens counties, Washington.

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine

Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to repair or improve approximately 18.25 miles and construct approximately 600 linear feet of access road along the Colville-Republic No. 1 transmission line from structures 15/1-43/3. Proposed road work would include blading, shaping, grading, brushing, mowing, and placing surface rock on new and existing road prisms to ensure safe access to structures.

In addition, the proposed work would include the construction of one 40 x 60 foot (ft.) transmission tower landing, stabilizing a landslide area utilizing cut and fill techniques, installation of one 16 x 50 ft. precast concrete bridge, abandoning a ford by installing a gate or other barriers to eliminate access, installation of 7 cross-drain culverts and other drainage features such as ditches, water bars, and drain dips. General equipment used for this type of road maintenance includes: graders, rollers, bull-dozers, brush hogs, excavators, and dump trucks.

Along the approximately 28-mile-long project area, construction is expected to take several months with work at any one site expected to last between three to five days. No staging areas have been identified at this time, but any necessary additional work sites such as staging areas would undergo environmental and cultural review prior to use.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: January 10, 2020

/s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u> Laura Roberts Biological Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Katey Grange</u> Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Colville-Republic No. 1 Access Road Improvement Project

Project Site Description

The proposed project area is located in northeastern Washington and consists of predominantly second growth forest heavily managed for timber. Because of the steepness of the terrain, drainages along the project are mostly ephemeral with only a few exhibiting stream characteristics such as beds and banks. See Table below, for Township, Range, Section Number, and Ownership information.

Township	Range	Section	Ownership/Manager	
36N	33E	3,4	Private	
36N	34E	5,6,8,9,14,15,16,23,24	Private, Colville National Forest, Washington Department of Natural Resources	
36N	35E	9,13-19,24,39-42	Colville National Forest Colville National Forest	
36N	36E	19,25-29,34,35,52		
36N	37E	11,14,15,22,27-30	Private, Washington Department of Natural Resources	
37N	33E	32-35	Private	

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1. Historic and Cultural Resources		~		
Explanation: Following a review of the potential project impacts to cultural resources, BPA has determined that this undertaking would have No Adverse Effect to historic properties.				
BPA conducted cultural resource field surveys for the proposed project. BPA sent a Letter of Determination of No Adverse Effect with the stipulation of archaeological monitoring and site avoidance to the Washington SHPO on October 1, 2019. Concurrently, determination letters were sent to the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Department of State Lands, and the Colville National Forest. BPA received final concurrence from SHPO on November 26, 2019.				

Note: The following minimization measures would be implemented.

- Any necessary additional work sites such as staging areas would obtain Section 106 Concurrence prior to use.
- The proposed project would implement archaeological monitoring and site avoid measures as stipulated in the letter of determination.

2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: Soils would be disturbed prin Minimal new ground disturbance outside activities would occur on existing access	of these areas is anticipated	. Predominantly, ground disturbing			
	Note: The following minimization measure	es would be implemented.				
	 During construction all appropriat implement site specific erosion ar and seeded. 					
Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)						
	Explanation: Vegetation would be disturbed minimal disturbance to vegetation is antice. There would be no effect to ESA-listed play Forest Service sensitive plant species are trees of approximately 12 inch, or less, Diremoved.	ipated where existing roads ant species. No impacts to s anticipated. In order to fix a	would be repaired and improved. tate sensitive plant species or a landslide area, approximately 20			
	In order to limit the spread of invasive terrestrial plants and minimize fire danger on National Forest System lands managed by Colville National Forest, BPA would comply with the Standard Operating Procedures for limiting the spread of invasive species and fire prevention as directed in the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA).					
	Note: The following minimization measure	es would be implemented to	minimize vegetation impacts.			
	 Drive on existing access roads 					
	 Reduce work area footprint to the 	e least necessary to safely de	o the work			
	 Stabilize and seed with a geographic landowner 	ohic and climate-appropriate	seed mix approved by the			
	 Utilize erosion and sediment cont 	rol materials composed of c	ertified weed free materials			
		·				
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<u>~</u>				
4.		mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The	ere project would have no effect to			
4.	status species and habitats) Explanation: The project would have mini disturbance associated with elevated nois ESA-listed species. No impacts to state s	mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The ensitive species or Forest S	ere project would have no effect to ervice sensitive species are			
4.	Explanation: The project would have mini disturbance associated with elevated nois ESA-listed species. No impacts to state s anticipated. Note: The following minimization measure	mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The ensitive species or Forest S	ere project would have no effect to ervice sensitive species are			
4.	status species and habitats) Explanation: The project would have mini disturbance associated with elevated nois ESA-listed species. No impacts to state s anticipated. Note: The following minimization measure their habitat.	mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The ensitive species or Forest Sees would be implemented to	ere project would have no effect to ervice sensitive species are minimize impacts to wildlife and			
4.	Explanation: The project would have mini disturbance associated with elevated nois ESA-listed species. No impacts to state s anticipated. Note: The following minimization measure their habitat. • Drive on existing access roads	mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The ensitive species or Forest Sees would be implemented to be least necessary to safely contact the second sec	ere project would have no effect to ervice sensitive species are minimize impacts to wildlife and do the work			
 4. 5. 	Explanation: The project would have mini disturbance associated with elevated nois ESA-listed species. No impacts to state s anticipated. Note: The following minimization measure their habitat. Drive on existing access roads Reduce work area footprint to the Stabilize and seeded with a geograph.	mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The ensitive species or Forest Sees would be implemented to be least necessary to safely contact the second sec	ere project would have no effect to ervice sensitive species are minimize impacts to wildlife and do the work			
	Explanation: The project would have mini disturbance associated with elevated nois ESA-listed species. No impacts to state s anticipated. Note: The following minimization measure their habitat. Drive on existing access roads Reduce work area footprint to the Stabilize and seeded with a geoglandowner Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status)	mal impact to wildlife and hase and human presence. The ensitive species or Forest Sites would be implemented to be least necessary to safely or graphic and climate-appropriate to Trout Creek, BPA propose contain ESA-listed fish and in Water Mark. A gate or other posed project may have mirration increase in sedimental	ere project would have no effect to ervice sensitive species are minimize impacts to wildlife and to the work tate seed mix approved by the es to abandon an existing ford and all work would be conducted from the er barriers would be installed to be installed to the inimal and temporary impacts to the ervice sensitive species are			

	 Erosion control measures would be implemented to prevent sedimentation from reaching any nearby waterbody. 					
	 Appropriately stocked spill response kits w storage yards used on this project. 	ould be located on vehicles, other	er equipment, and			
6.	Wetlands	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbing activities are anticipated in wetlands. Erosion control measures would be implemented to prevent sedimentation.					
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V				
	Explanation: No impacts to ground water or aquifers are anticipated due to the minimal amount of ground disturbance that would not be deep enough to penetrate groundwater.					
	Note: The following minimization measures would be implemented to minimize groundwater contamination potential.					
	 Appropriately stocked spill response kits w storage areas. 	ould be located on vehicles, othe	er equipment, and			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V				
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur as uses.	nd project activities would not im	pact existing land			
	For those portions of the project crossing the Colville National Forest the Memorandum of Understanding between the Bonneville Power Administration United States Department of Energy and the United States Forest Service United States Department of Agriculture Regarding Transmission Facilities and Access to Those Facilities on National Forest System Lands Standard Operation Procedures listed below would be implemented:					
	Section X Fire control communication and coordination					
	Appendix E Fire Prevention and Suppress	sion				
9.	Visual Quality	~				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project would have a minimal impredominantly on existing access roads and would line corridor. The new bridge would create a slight significantly different from the surrounding existing	be visually consistent with the exchange to local visual quality, bu	disting transmission			
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: A small amount of vehicle emissions	and dust may occur temporarily o	during construction.			
11.	Noise	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Some temporary construction noise fr daylight hours.	om construction activities would	occur during			
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: No impacts to human, health, and saf	ety are anticipated.				
	Evaluation of Other I	ntegral Elements				

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA realty, environmental, and cultural resource specialist have coordinated the proposed project activities with the Colville National Forest, Department of State Lands, and private landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Laura Roberts Date: January 10, 2020

Laura Roberts - EPI-4 Biological Scientist