Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Small-Scale Research to Test Self-Lubricating, Oil-Free Bushings

Project Manager: George Brown-PGA-6

Location: Portland, Oregon

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to fund a research project to test the durability and effectiveness of self-lubricating bushings from different manufacturers for use in oil-free Kaplan hydroelectric turbines. Hydroelectric turbine bushings typically use oil lubricants which have the risk of leaking into rivers. This proposed testing would seek to verify the performance, standards, and longevity of the current commercially available oil-free bushings technology and materials. Additionally, BPA proposes to fund the translation of the laboratory test results into specification guides.

Specifically, required work under the proposed funding would include the following:

- The design and construction of a test stand, approximately 3 feet by 3 feet, assembled and placed inside a large testing room.
- The procurement and preparation of test bushings (max length of 7 to 8 inches), test sleeves and all required test accessories.
- Bushing and test stand testing

All project activities would be performed at an existing, self-contained test-laboratory facility or in an office setting, and with no foreseeable physical modifications to the existing facilities and not requiring any ground disturbing activities.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Usha Mohan</u> Usha Mohan, ECP-4 Environmental Protection Specialist Concur:

Date: August 19, 2019

<u>/s/ Katey Grange</u> Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Small-Scale Research to Test Self-Lubricating, Oil-Free Bushings

Project Site Description

Project site would be an existing, self-contained test facility in the US or Canada and an office facility in Portland.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: No impact to historic and cultural an existing test or office facility and with no fore ground disturbing activities		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No impact to geology and soils a existing test or office facility requiring no physic disturbing activities		
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No plants would be impacted as test facility or an existing office space not required ground disturbing activities.		
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No wildlife would be impacted a test or office facility.	s all project work woul	d be completed inside an existing
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, an would be completed within an existing test or		
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No wetlands would be impacted inside an existing test or an office facility.	l by this project as all p	roject work would be completed
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: No groundwater and aquifers we completed inside an existing test or office facily		project as all work is to be

8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	V				
	Explanation: No land use and specially- designated areas would be impacted from this project since all project work would be completed inside an existing test or office facility.					
9.	Visual Quality	\checkmark				
	Explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality as all activities related to the project would be completed within can existing test or office facility.					
10.	Air Quality					
	xplanation: There would be no impact to air quality from this project as all project activities would be ompleted inside an existing test or office facility.					
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: There would be no impact on noise fro conducted inside an existing test or office facility.	om this project all project activitie	es would be			
12.	Human Health and Safety					
	Explanation: There would be no impact on human health and safety from this project.					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
v	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
•	Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwi		very, or treatment			

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Because the proposed action does not involve activities directly or indirectly affecting any particular real property, notification and involvement of any specific land owner is not required.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Usha Mohan</u> Usha Mohan, ECP-4

Date: August 19, 2019