Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: FY19 Ellensburg District Priority Pole Replacements (Update to previous categorical exclusion issued on June 14, 2019)

PP&A No.: 4199

Project Manager: Meadow Nelson

Location: Benton and Yakima Counties, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine

maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood pole structures and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) on the Chandler Tap to Grandview-Red Mountain #1 and Grandview-Red Mountain #1 transmission lines in the Ellensburg district.

See table below for structure names and locations on the transmission lines.

| Transmission Line/ROW | Structure # | Township | Range | Section | County, State |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|-------|---------|------------------|
| Chandler Tap to Grandview-Red Mountain #1 | 1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, 1/7 | 9N | 26E | 17 | |
| | 1/8, 1/9, 1/10, 2/1, 2/2, 2/3 | 9N | 26E | 8 | Benton, WA |
| | 2/6, 2/7, 3/2, 3/6, 3/7, 3/8 | 9N | 26E | 5 | |
| | 1/3 | 9N | 23E | 1 | Yakima, WA |
| | 2/12 | 9N | 24E | 5 | |
| | 5/1 | 10N | 24E | 34 | |
| | 5/12 | 10N | 24E | 35 | |
| | 6/8, 7/3 | 10N | 24E | 36 | |
| | 8/3 | 10N | 25E | 31 | |
| Grandview-Red | 10/3 | 10N | 25E | 33 | |
| Mountain #1 | 10/5 | 10N | 25E | 34 | Benton, WA |
| | 11/6, 11/7 | 10N | 25E | 35 | |
| | 14/1 | 10N | 26E | 31 | |
| | 15/4 | 10N | 26E | 33 | |
| | 16/11 | 10N | 26E | 34 | |
| | 17/9 | 10N | 26E | 35 | |
| | 18/8 | 10N | 26E | 36 | |
| | 18/12 | 9N | 26E | 1 | |

Replacement would be in-kind and would utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. On average, the impact area is 50x50 feet. If necessary, an auger would be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement.

Access road maintenance would be limited to minor blading, grading, and rocking of access road segments that have become impassable. Majority of road maintenance would take place in the existing road prism. One segment of new construction is required for access to Chandler Tap to Grandview-Red Mountain #1 structure 1/3. The length of new construction is 300 feet. Appropriate erosion control best management practices (BMPs) would be implemented for this new construction.

Structures being replaced are in easements on privately-owned property and DNR lands. These structures are located within or adjacent to residential properties, pastures, dry land wheat farming, or similar type land uses.

The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission line, which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

Date: December 3, 2019

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Shawn Barndt Shawn L. Barndt Tri Cities RMHQ

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

cc: (w/ enclosures)

B. Thompson – TFWF-SCHULTZ

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

| Proposed Action: | FY19 Ellensburg District Priority Pole Replacements |
|------------------|---|
| | |
| | |

Project Site Description

These structures are located within or adjacent to residential properties, pastures, dry land wheat farming, or similar type land uses.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

| | Environmental Resource | No Potential for | No Potential for Significance, | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Impacts | Significance | with Conditions | | | |
| 1. | Historic and Cultural Resources | V | | | | |
| Explanation: WA DAHP concurrence on no adverse effect determination was received May 9, 2019. The Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation were consulted, but did not respond during the 30-day period. The Washington Department of Natural Resources was also consulted, but did not respond during the 30-day period. | | | | | | |
| In the event that archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity must stop, the area would be secured, and SHPO and the environmental project lead must be notified. Crews and equipment are to use existing access roads to and from each work site. Limit access road maintenance to the existing road prism. | | | | | | |
| 2. | Geology and Soils | | | | | |
| | Explanation: Minimal soil disturbance for control measures would be used where a | | | | | |
| | Plants (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats) | ~ | | | | |
| | Explanation: No special-status species pragricultural operations. | resent. Area of distu | bance is frequently disturbed by | | | |
| 4. | Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats) | ~ | | | | |
| | Explanation: No special-status species or disturbed by agriculture and road develo | | present. Area is previously | | | |
| 5. | Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats) | V | | | | |
| | Explanation: No water bodies present in p | project area. | | | | |

| 6. | Wetlands | ~ | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Explanation: None present. | | | | | | |
| 7. | Groundwater and Aquifers | V | | | | | |
| | Explanation: No wells or use of groundwater proposed. Spill prevention measures would be present on site. Maximum depth of ground disturbance would be 10 feet. | | | | | | |
| 8. | Land Use and Specially Designated Areas | V | | | | | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : Temporary agricultural impacts during construction; landowners would be compensated for crop damage, as needed. | | | | | | |
| 9. | Visual Quality | ▽ | | | | | |
| | Explanation: New wood-poles would not be noticeably different than existing poles. | | | | | | |
| 10. | Air Quality | ▼ | | | | | |
| Explanation: Temporary and small amount of dust and vehicle emissions due to construct Dust would be of minor concern due to the timing of construction. | | | | | | | |
| 11. | Noise | ▽ | | | | | |
| | Explanation: Temporary construction noise. Operational noise would not change. | | | | | | |
| 12. | Human Health and Safety | ▽ | | | | | |
| Explanation: No known soil contamination or hazardous conditions. The proposed action would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation of Other Integral Elements | | | | | | | |
| | e proposed project would also meet conditions that a e project would not: | re integral elements of the categ | orical exclusion. | | | | |
| Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | | |
| Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | | |
| Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum are natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled of unpermitted releases. | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | | |
| V | Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed ac designed and operated to prevent unauthorized rele | tivity would be contained or conf | ined in a manner | | | | |

accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the

Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Coordination with property owners has occurred. No concerns have been expressed.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Shawn L. Barndt Date: December 3, 2019

Shawn L. Barndt, Tri Cities RMHQ