## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: East Omak-Oroville No. 1 and East Omak-Tonasket No. 2 Transmission

Line Property Transfer

Project Manager: Jay Largo - TPCV-TPP-4

**Location**: Okanogan County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property

transfers

/a / Tiala E atom

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to transfer ownership of the East Omak-Oroville No. 1 and East Omak-Tonasket No. 2 double-circuit 115-kV transmission lines, associated easements, and three disconnect switches to Okanogan Public Utility District No.1 (Okanogan PUD). Okanogan PUD has requested BPA transfer ownership of these facilities and easements to allow operation and maintenance of these lines that interconnect the PUD's substations within their service area.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Tish Eaton Environmental Protection S	pecialist
Concur:	
/s/ Tish Eaton  Katey C. Grange  NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: <i>November 14, 2019</i>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** East Omak-Oroville No. 1 and East Omak-Tonasket No. 2 Transmission Line Property Transfer

#### **Project Site Description**

While the transmission lines crosses through the Okanogan River valley between Omak and Tonasket, the lines are primarily located on old river terraces about 500 to 700 feet in elevation above the river. There is one transmission line crossing of the Okanogan River (which may provide habitat for ESA-listed fish) in line mile 17. Land use includes agricultural and open space with some low-density rural residential areas near Omak. Landownership is primarily private with a few parcels managed by the Bureau of Land Management and Washington Department of State Lands. Shrub-steppe vegetation is common in areas not used for agriculture.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because the transmission lines for eligibility to the National Register of Histor made by BPA Archaeologist on October 30, 2 the sale of BPA-owned land.	ric Places, a determina	tion of no potential to effect was
2.	Geology and Soils	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed action would not therefore, there would be no impact to soils.	involve construction of	ground disturbing activities;
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: No federally-listed plant species corridor. The proposed action would not involute there would be no impact to plants.		
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: The proposed action would not therefore, there would be no impact to wildlife		r ground disturbing activities;
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: The proposed action would not therefore, there would be no impact to water		

6.	Wetlands	<u> </u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed action would not involve therefore, there would be no impact to wetlands.	construction or ground disturbing	ng activities;			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: The proposed action would not involve therefore, there would be no impact to groundwater		ng activities;			
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas					
	Explanation: There would be no change to land use areas in the vicinity.	at the site and there are no spe	cially-designated			
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be no change to the visua transmission lines.	al quality in the area adjacent to	the existing			
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: There would be no impacts to air quali	ty.				
11.	Noise	V				
	Explanation: There would be no noise impacts.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: There would be no impacts to human h	nealth and safety.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulato safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE o		vironment,			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>V</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed act designed and operated to prevent unauthorized rele accordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National	ivity would be contained or confi ase into the environment and co nose of the Department of Agricu	ined in a manner Inducted in			
	Explanation, if necessary:					

#### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: No landowner notification or coordination would be necessary since this would be a facility property transfer between BPA and Okanogan PUD. Landowners would likely not notice the transfer of ownership.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Tish Eaton</u> Tish Eaton, ECT-4 Date: *November 14, 2019*