Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: UEC Land Use Review Request at Imegues Acclimation Facility

Project Manager: Heidi Haserot – TERR-3

Location: Umatilla County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B.1.24 Property

Transfers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to modify an existing Umatilla Electric Cooperative (UEC) right-of-way easement in two locations across BPA fee-owned land at the Imeques Acclimation Facility in Umatilla County, Oregon. The modified easement would allow UEC to replace an aboveground electric distribution line with a buried line.

UEC would bury conductor in a single conduit for about 70 feet in the existing 25-foot-wide easement. In the second location UEC would remove about 700 feet of overhead conductor and replace the conductor in a conduit for about 130 feet in a new easement. Two existing service line poles would be replaced in or near their current location.

To bury the line, UEC would remove the poles located on BPA fee-owned lands by excavating around the base and fully removing the pole. The conduit would then be buried in a 4-foot-deep by 2-foot-wide trench. New service line poles would be located about 10 feet from the removed pole. With the exception of the replaced poles, no aboveground facilities would remain in the easement after completion.

No pulling, staging, or access areas would be needed outside of the easement area. Ground disturbance areas would be restored to pre-project contours and revegetated. No tree clearing would be required. Installation is anticipated to occur in fall 2019.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ W. Walker Stinnette</u>
W. Walker Stinnette
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient CRGT	
Reviewed by:	
/s/ Chad J. Hamel Chad J. Hamel Supervisory Environmental Protection	Specialist
Concur:	
/s/ Katey C. Grange Katey C. Grange NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: <i>November 20, 2019</i>

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: UEC Land Use Review Request at Imegues Acclimation Facility

Project Site Description

The project site is located on BPA fee-owned property on the Umatilla Indian Reservation in Umatilla County, Oregon (Section 29, Township 3 North, Range 36 East). Access to the project site, which is located along the north side of Bingham Road, is restricted by a barbed wire fence and locked access gate. The project site is located on a relatively flat, floodplain terrace associated with the Umatilla River. Xerofluvents, or alluvial soils that reflect repeated flood events within otherwise dry environments, are the primary soil type mapped within the project site. Currently, the project site is maintained as an electrical transmission right-of-way with low-growing herbaceous species. A juvenile fish acclimation and release facility, a satellite facility associated with the Umatilla Fish Hatchery, is located outside of the project site on the BPA property. The surrounding area is primarily undeveloped coniferous forest and grassland with a few rural residential properties located south of the project site along Bingham Road. The closest surface water is the Umatilla River, which is located with 250 feet of the project site.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: BPA initiated Section 106 consults, 2019 with the Confederated Tribes of the Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) as the prowned by BPA within the exterior boundaries	Umatilla Indian Reserva roject area of potential E	ation (CTUIR) and the CTUIR Tribal Effects (APE) is located on lands
	On November 4, 2019, the BPA archaeologist effect on historic properties (§36 CFR 800.4[d all ground-disturbing activities taking place on THPO concurred with the BPA archaeologist's][1]) as long as a cultura BPA-owned lands. On	al resources monitor was present for
	Should cultural materials be encountered duri the stipulations of BPA's Inadvertent Discover should be notified immediately.		
2.	Geology and Soils	~	
	Explanation: Minor soil compaction would on replacing the existing service line poles and be excavation. Excavated soils would be tempor contours following installation of the new cab management practices (BMPs) to address te	ourying a new electric di rarily stored on-site, bac le. UEC and its delegate	istribution line would require soil skfilled, and graded to original es would implement best

3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)			
	Explanation: Project-related activities (e.g., verification) replacement, and trenching) would result in resist present. No additional tree or vegetation resoft any state special-status plant species or plant species. The plant species. Therefore, the proposed project	emoval of vegetative emoval is proposed. T ant species protected project site does not	cover in small areas where vegetation There are no documented occurrences d under the Federal Endangered contain habitat suitable for protected	
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)			
	Explanation: The project site is within the rar pair of gray wolves. It is therefore possible the project site. Although gray wolves are not listen no longer listed under the Oregon Endangere considered a special-status mammal and is proposed to the project site.	at gray wolves could ed under the Federal ed Species Act anywh	be intermittently present near the I ESA in this region of Oregon and are nere in the state, the species is still	
	During construction, minor and temporary dis occur from elevated noise and human activity and would not involve permanent alteration o proposed project would involve temporary no occur at or near the site. There are no docum species of wildlife species protected under the expected to occur at the project site. Therefor wildlife species.	/. However, the proportion of suitable habitat for exist and activity levels nented occurrences of e Federal ESA, and respectively.	osed project would be short in duration gray wolves or their prey. The s similar in nature to those that already of any other state special-status wildlife no such species or suitable habitat are	
	If any active nests are found on the service litthe nests are unoccupied.	ne poles to be remov	red, the project would be delayed until	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V		
	Explanation: The Umatilla River is rearing, spawning, and migration habitat for three fish species listed as Threatened under the Federal ESA: bull trout (<i>Salvelinus confluentus</i>), steelhead trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>), and chinook salmon (<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>). Pacific lamprey (<i>Entosphenus tridentatus</i>) a Species of Concern under the Federal ESA, is also found in the river. The river has been designated as critical habitat for bull trout and steelhead trout.			
	The Federal Emergency Management Agency are in an area that has a 1% chance of being 100-year flood zone. The project site is in Zongossible sheet flow, ponding, or shallow flood	inundated by a flood ne AO (depth 1 foot),	d in any given year, also known as the a Special Flood Hazard Area with	
	All project-related activities would be conduct riparian vegetation is proposed as part of this address erosion and sediment control, spill m sediment and/or hazardous materials off-site ensuring that structural components (i.e., the depth and would be able to resist hydrostatic Therefore, the proposed project would have a special-status fish species.	s project. UEC and its nanagement, and site to the Umatilla River new service line pole and hydrodynamic lo	delegates would implement BMPs to estabilization to prevent transport of UEC would be responsible for es) would be flood-proofed to 1-foot pads and the effects of buoyancy.	
6.	Wetlands			
	Explanation: No wetlands are present in the shrub wetlands associated with the Umatilla FUEC and its delegates would implement BMF management, and site stabilization to prevent into any nearby wetlands.	River are mapped wit Ps to address erosion	hin approximately 150 feet of the site. and sediment control, spill	

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Given the close proximity of the site depths to groundwater. UEC and its delegates we inadvertent spills of hazardous materials that could proposed project would have no impact on ground	uld implement BMPs to reduce the denter groundwater or aquifers. T	e potential for		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project site is located within the Reservation. There would be no change to land us		illa Indian		
9.	Visual Quality	<u> </u>			
	Explanation: Replacing an existing above ground relocating service line poles would have little chan				
10.	Air Quality	~			
	Explanation: Temporary dust and vehicle emissio construction. There would be no long-term change				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Construction noise would be tempore Operational noise would not change significantly for		ht hours.		
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: No impacts to human health and saf	ety are expected as a result of pro	niact activities		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		oject activities.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ntegral Elements			
The	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a	ntegral Elements ore integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for en	orical exclusion.		
The	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate	ntegral Elements ore integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for en	orical exclusion.		
The	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of the condition of the con	ntegral Elements are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for enter Executive Orders.	orical exclusion. vironment,		
The	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion	ntegral Elements are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for enter Executive Orders.	orical exclusion. vironment,		
The	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw	ntegral Elements are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for enter Executive Orders. of waste storage, disposal, recovise categorically excluded.	orical exclusion. vironment, ery, or treatment		
The	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminatural gas products that preexist in the environment.	ntegral Elements are integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for enter Executive Orders. of waste storage, disposal, recovise categorically excluded.	orical exclusion. vironment, ery, or treatment		
The V	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that a project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminatural gas products that preexist in the environme unpermitted releases.	re integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for enter Executive Orders. of waste storage, disposal, recovise categorically excluded. anants, or CERCLA excluded petron at such that there would be uncorted to biology, governmentally designativity would be contained or configurate into the environment and conhose of the Department of Agriculture.	orical exclusion. vironment, ery, or treatment eleum and atrolled or tted noxious ned in a manner nducted in		

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project site is on BPA fee-owned property. Adjacent landowners and ROW easement lessees would be notified of the upcoming project by BPA. Additionally, the Land Use Agreement would direct UEC to coordinate with landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: _/s/ W. Walker Stinnette_____ Date: November 20, 2019

W. Walker Stinnette – EC-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient CRGT