Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Wind River Watershed Steelhead Monitoring Project

Project No.: 1998-019-00

Project Manager: Jamie Cleveland

Location: Skamania County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.20 Protection of

cultural resources, fish and wildlife habitat

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to fund the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the U.S. Geological Survey to conduct long-term monitoring activities for the purpose of collecting status and trend information for ESA Threatened Lower Columbia Steelhead. The specific activities necessary to achieve this purpose would be:

Operate adult trap at Shepherd Falls fish ladder and conduct adult tagging

Conduct snorkel and spawning ground surveys

Conduct juvenile migrant trapping via operation of four rotary screw traps located throughout the Wind Basin and juvenile tagging

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

Date: September 30, 2019

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Ted Gresh

Ted Gresh

Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Wind River Watershed Steelhead Monitoring Project

Project Site Description

The Project would occur within or along the Wind River in Skamania County, WA. The Wind River watershed does not have a hatchery program and has limited hydrosystem impacts so it serves as a reference population for understanding hydrosystem and hatchery impacts on steelhead throughout the Columbia River Basin.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: This project does not involve gro tag arrays would be installed at existing sites the disturbance is proposed outside of disturbed a	hat have been previo	usly disturbed. If any new ground
2.	Geology and Soils		
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbance potential to affect geology and soils.	associated with these	e actions. Therefore, there is no
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed action does not in or actions that would significantly impact vege affect plant communities.		
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	~	
	Explanation: The proposed actions would take ground disturbance and actions would only af potential to affect wildlife or wildlife habitat.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: Environmental considerations in where ESA-listed fish are present. All activitie NMFS and would operate under the requirem and Steelhead for research activities, and as floodplains, or fish.	es conducted under the ents stipulated in the	is project have been reviewed by Section 4(d) Rule for Pacific Salmon

6.	Wetlands	▽		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed actions could take place would not have any effects to their quality, condition, or habitat modifications. Therefore, there is no poten	or size since there would be no		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽		
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associated with this project and therefore, there is no potential to affect groundwater and aquifers.			
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas			
	Explanation: There would be no changes to land use and no impacts to specially-designated areas. Therefore, no potential to affect land use or specially-designated areas.			
9.	Visual Quality	▽		
	Explanation: There would be no changes to visual quality associated with this project and therefore, there is no potential to impact visual quality.			
10.	Air Quality	▽		
	Explanation: The project does not involve actions that have emissions so there is no potential to impact air quality.			
11.	Noise	▽		
	Explanation: The project does not involve actions that generate noise so there is no potential to impact ambient noise levels.			
12.	Human Health and Safety		V	
	•			
	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe wo	dard and customary RM&E activ	ities that follow	
	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working ir to human health and safety. But all actions are stand	dard and customary RM&E activorking conditions that would mition	ities that follow	
	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe wo	dard and customary RM&E active prking conditions that would mitign the state of the	ities that follow gate for that risk.	
	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe working the proposed project would also meet conditions that are	dard and customary RM&E active orking conditions that would mitiguitegral Elements The integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for en	ities that follow gate for that risk.	
The	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe working the proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory	dard and customary RM&E active orking conditions that would mitiguitegral Elements The integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for en	ities that follow gate for that risk.	
The	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe working the proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulato safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or	dard and customary RM&E active orking conditions that would mitigategral Elements re integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for entrescentive Orders.	orical exclusion.	
The	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe working the proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulato safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of the safety and safety.	dard and customary RM&E active orking conditions that would mitigategral Elements re integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for entrescentive Orders.	orical exclusion.	
The	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe working in the proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulator safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise.	dard and customary RM&E active orking conditions that would mitigategral Elements re integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for entrexecutive Orders. of waste storage, disposal, recovered categorically excluded.	orical exclusion. ovironment, very, or treatment	
The	Explanation: All proposed actions involve working in to human health and safety. But all actions are stand specific guidance to ensure quality data and safe working in the proposed project would also meet conditions that are project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulato safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminantural gas products that preexist in the environment	dard and customary RM&E active orking conditions that would mitigategral Elements re integral elements of the category, or permit requirements for entrexecutive Orders. of waste storage, disposal, recovered categorically excluded.	orical exclusion. ovironment, very, or treatment	

weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in

accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: These actions would occur on public lands owned by the State of Washington (Shipherd Falls fish ladder) and the U.S. Government (Gifford Pinchot National Forest). All information collected is available to the public.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Date: September 30, 2019

Signed: /s/ Ted Gresh
Ted Gresh ECF-4
Environmental Protection Specialist