#### FEDERAL UTILITY PARTNERSHIP WORKING GROUP SEMINAR

November 7-8, 2019 Washington, DC

#### The Value of Resiliency

#### An Objective Approach

**Tripp Hathaway** 

Hannah Solar Government Services





## Agenda

- The Cost of Resiliency?
- The Need for a Defined Value of Resiliency
- VOR Equation
- Defining the Variables for Federal Agencies
- Questions / Discussion





# The Cost of Resiliency?

- Federal agencies are setting energy resiliency goals
  - But we don't have clear guidance on how those goals relate to the economics of a privately funded project
- Assumption: resiliency generally does not provide energy savings (although it may provide operational savings)
  - "Benefits ancillary" to energy savings 42 U.S.C. § 8287(a)(1)
- Example:
  - Small energy storage capacity for peak shaving (provides cost savings)
  - Additional energy storage capacity combined with backup generation for resiliency (does not provide cost savings)





# The Cost of Resiliency?



Increase in Value = Increase in Cost/Price

- For the average utility rate payer, the utility rate provides for an appropriate level of resiliency
- Federal agencies with critical loads have above average need for resiliency
- Resiliency costs more BECAUSE it provides value





# The Need for Defined Value of Resiliency

- Agencies required to save on energy costs – 42 U.S.C. § 8287(a)(2)(B)
  - Existing utility rate provides definitive benchmark for ESPC/UESC
- No benchmark value for energy resiliency
  - Yet, resiliency provided anyway by many ESPC/UESC and occasionally by direct investment

Defining VOR signals how much money is needed beyond energy savings goals in order to meet energy resiliency goals.







#### The VOR Equation

Private sector – resiliency can be *easily* valued

Example: In 2013 Amazon's online store went down, costing nearly \$5M in lost sales

$$VOR = $5M / 49 min$$

The Value of Resiliency equals the cost of failure over time

VOR =

The Value of Energy Resiliency equals the cost of an outage over time





The Time Variable

• The easiest variable to define:

# T = Whatever the agency decides

- Agencies set their own standards for just how resilient they need to be
  - How long must they operate independent of the grid





The Cost Variable

• The cost variable is the most subjective and is the largest barrier to determining the value of resiliency

- Two possible solutions
  - Top down approach
  - Historical audit approach





Top Down Approach

• **Goal:** define cost of failure/outage at facility level



- Step 1: Know overall value of an agency in terms of hard \$
  - By GDP, tax revenue, etc.
  - Value of agency translates to cost to US if agency didn't exist
- **Step 2:** Agency leadership distributes value down the chain (weighted according to mission/function criticality)
- **Step 3:** Facility level leadership plans for energy resiliency improvements given a defined value





Historical Audit Approach

- **Goal:** look to historical projects for VOR and solve for cost variable
- **Step 1:** Gather relevant past data
- Step 2: Isolate energy savings measures and find the difference between actual savings delivered with and without resiliency measures
- **Step 3:** Solve for the cost variable







#### Questions / Discussion

#### **Tripp Hathaway**

Hannah Solar Government Services

571-246-1590

tripp@hsgs.solar



