Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Leneve Radio Site Sale

Project No.: OR 2019070

Project Manager: Jay Largo

Location: Coos County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property

Transfers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to sell approximately 0.13 acres of land comprising the BPA Leneve Radio Site to Coos County. The Leneve Radio site includes some small buildings, a 2,000-gallon propane tank, a portable toilet, a 121-foot radio tower, and a chain link fence perimeter. BPA has not used the radio site for several years and Coos County would purchase it as a radio site for the county. The radio site would be sold in as-is condition to the county and would include all remaining buildings, equipment, and infrastructure.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Christopher H. Furey	
Christopher H. Furey	
Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Sarah T. Biegel	Date: September 4, 2019
NEPA Compliance Officer	

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Leneve Radio Site Sale

Project Site Description

The sale of the Leneve Radio Site would involve BPA-owned land in Coos County, OR. The site is about 0.13 acres and includes a developed radio site that has two small buildings, a 2,000-gallon propane tank, a portable toilet, a 121-foot radio tower, and a chain link fence perimeter. The legal parcel is in Township 27 S, Range 14 W, and Section 24. The surrounding topography consists of relatively flat land with neighboring parcels primarily comprised of some forested areas owned by Coos County, unpaved access roads, and a paved roadway. An unnamed stream is located about 600 feet east of the radio site. The nearest named water body is Winchester Creek and is located over 800 feet to the west of the Leneve Radio Site.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: BPA acquired the property for the Radio Site to Coos County would be subject to political subdivisions of the state, including couto avoid inadvertent impacts to historic propert sale to Coos County would ultimately have no the property. A BPA archaeologist reviewed the determined that this action does not have the property.	ORS 358.653, which unties, to consult with t ies for which they are adverse effect for Sec ne proposed activities t	obligates state agencies and all he State Historic Preservation Office responsible. Because of this, the tion 106 for the built infrastructure on for the sale of the property and
2.	Geology and Soils		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance is proposimpacts to geology or soils.	sed as a condition of the	ne sale; therefore, there would be no
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance is propo are no federally listed plants at this location.	sed; therefore, there w	ould be no impacts to plants. There
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance is propo	sed; therefore, there w	ould be no impacts to wildlife.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance is propo floodplains, or fish.	sed; therefore, there w	ould be no impacts to water bodies,

6.	Wetlands	▽				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance is proposed; the	erefore, there would be no impa	acts to wetlands.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance is proposed; the or aquifers.	nerefore, there would be no impa	acts to groundwater			
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance is proposed; the specially-designated areas.	nerefore, there would be no impa	acts to land use or			
9.	Visual Quality	V				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance is proposed; the	nerefore, there would be no impa	acts to visual quality.			
10.	Air Quality	V				
Explanation: No ground disturbance is proposed; therefore, there would be no impacts to air quality.						
11.	Noise	V				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance is proposed; the	nerefore, there would be no nois	e impacts.			
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: There would be no impacts to human	health and safety.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise.		very, or treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum a natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary: An existing 2000 gallon processed fuel would be included and under control of the court					
V			ated noxious			

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty is in coordination with Coos County for this project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Christopher H. Furey Date: September 4, 2019

Christopher H. Furey, ECT-4

Environmental Protection Specialist