Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Covington Substation Pedestrian Sidewalk Replacement

Project No.: PID5037

Project Manager: Christopher Ross – NWM-1

Location: King, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine

maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The existing pedestrian walkway in front of the Covington Untanking Tower would be replaced due to some cracks causing safety hazards. The entire 10'W x 75'L walkway from the parking lot up to the steps leading to the doorway would be replaced with similar material to match the existing sidewalk. The corner of the stairway landing has some spalling taking place and repair to the landing would also be completed as part of the project.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Christopher H. Furey
Christopher H. Furey
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Date: September 4, 2019

Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Covington Substation Pedestrian Sidewalk Replacement

Project Site Description

The Covington Substation Pedestrian Sidewalk Replacement project is located in the sidewalk area leading to the BPA Covington Substation Untanking Tower building in King County, WA. The site is accessible by paved roads. The site is located in Township 22 North, Range 5 East, Section 36.

The site is located about 1.4 miles southeast of Lake Meridian. The intermittent Jenkins Creek is located about 500 feet south of the project site and beyond the substation boundaries.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: The BPA archaeologist reviewed activities at the project area for the replacemed on not have potential to cause effects to histo the sidewalk would have no effect to archaeol excavated and paved in the past and the actic Covington Substation.	ent of the pedestrian pa ric or cultural resource logical resources beca	thway and repair of the stair landing s. The removal and replacement of use the area has already been
2.	Geology and Soils	~	
	Explanation: There would be minimal soil disrepair of the stair landing. The new pathway existing grade of the pathway, with only level	would not involve addi	tional excavation work beyond the
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: The project would be occurring building in the existing pathway area. Impact during installation, and would be replaced or would be expected.	ts to some grass lawn	next to the pathway may occur
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: The work would be outside of a removed, and the sites are not identified to p Construction of the new pathway and repair limited to no effect to any listed or special-sta	rovide northern spotted of the stair landing wou	d owl habitat or nesting sites.

5.	(including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	~			
	Explanation: The site is located about 1.4 m about 500 feet south of the project site. The would not be near the intermittent stream.				
6.	Wetlands	~			
	Explanation: No wetlands are present at the project site.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~			
	Explanation: The project would not impact groundwater or aquifers.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be temporary closure of the pathway leading to the Untanking Tower building for the installation of the new sidewalk and repair of the stairway landing.				
9.	Visual Quality	~			
	Explanation: There would be limited visual changes to the project area or surrounding environment. The new sidewalk pathway would use similar material to match with the existing sidewalk.				
10.	Air Quality	~			
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during the removal and replacement of the sidewalk. Dust would be kept to a minimum in adhering to BMPs for dust control. There would be small, sporadic increases in machine exhaust during active construction work for the sidewalk repair.				
11.	Noise	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise would occur during daylight hours by use of construction equipment during the project. No ongoing noise increase.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	~			
	Explanation: No risk to human health and s	afety anticipated.			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major exp facilities (including incinerators) that are not				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conatural gas products that preexist in the envunpermitted releases.				

Explanation, if necessary:



Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA Facilities would be in coordination with BPA Covington Substation personnel for completion of the project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Christopher H. Furey_ Date: September 4, 2019

Environmental Protection Specialist