Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Bell TLM District FY18 Wood Pole Replacements in Idaho and Montana

Project No. (if applicable): 3921

<u>Project Manager</u>: Michael Wellner – TEPL-TPP-1

Location: Bonner and Boundary counties, Idaho; and Lincoln County, Montana

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to perform in-kind replacement of wood pole structures and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) along several transmission lines. Replacement poles would be placed in existing holes following removal of current pole structures, and may be re-augered to assure proper depth placement. Minor maintenance along existing access road prisms and landings is scheduled to be performed where necessary to facilitate safe access. No unauthorized construction activities would occur in any water body including streams, ponds, or wetlands. Access road maintenance may include blading, shaping, rocking, and construction of waterbars and drain dips. Refer to the table below for project locations.

Transmission Line	Structure	TRS	County, State
Albeni Falls-Sand Creek No. 1	30/8	T58N R2W SEC35	Bonner, ID
Libby-Bonners Ferry No. 1	48/2, 48/3	T33N R34W SEC17	Lincoln, MT
	50/7, 50/8, 51/1, 51/2	T61N R3E SEC3	Boundary, ID
	51/3, 51/4, 51/5, 51/6, 51/7, 52/1	T61N R3E SEC4	Boundary, ID
	52/3, 52/4	T62N R3E SEC33	Boundary, ID
	52/7	T62N R3E SEC32	Boundary, ID
	53/8, 54/6	T62N R3E SEC30	Boundary, ID
	62/3	T62N R1E SEC35	Boundary, ID

The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission lines which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Emma Reinemann

Emma Reinemann
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange Date: July 16, 2019

Katey Grange

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):

Environmental Checklist

No Potential for

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Bell TLM District FY18 Wood Pole Replacements in Idaho and Montana

Environmental Resource

Project Site Description

Proposed routine maintenance activities would be conducted along the Albeni Falls-Sandcreek No. 1 and Libby-Bonners Ferry No. 1 transmission lines. Proposed maintenance activities would be performed in the existing transmission line right-of-ways and access road easements which are located in rural, non-developed areas and/or in rural, agricultural areas.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

No Potential for

	Impacts	Significance	Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation:				
•	maintenance performed would be limited to the existing road prism. • Landing construction, if needed, would be limited to an area not to exceed 100-feet wide by 200-feet long at each structure proposed for replacement.				
2.	Geology and Soils				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Localized soil disturbance would occur improvements, and access road maintenance activiti measures would be utilized as necessary.	• •	•		
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No Federal/state special-status plants in place, rather than bladed, where possible. Project impacted right-of-way; therefore, plants and their has	activities would be li	imited to the already		

4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No Federal/state special-status species present. Project activities would be limited to the already impacted right-of-way and would not substantially alter the footprint or operational noise of the line; therefore, wildlife and associated habitat would not be affected.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)				
	Explanation: Listed and resident fish species may inhoratious transmission lines. By implementing the followater bodies, there would be "No Effect" on water bodies.	wing mitigation meas	sures while working near		
	 Trees and brush in riparian zones would be selectively cut to include only those that are in violation of current BPA ground to conductor clearance electrical safety standards. No ground-disturbing vegetation management methods would be implemented near water resources, thus minimizing the risk for soil erosion and sedimentation near water bodies. Erosion control devices would be utilized to reduce sedimentation of any nearby water 				
6.	resources. Wetlands	П	 		
0.	<u>Explanation</u> : By implementing the following mitigatio there would be "No Effect" on wetlands.	n measures while wo			
	 At locations adjacent to wetlands, erosion control devices would be utilized to prevent sedimentation of nearby wetlands. At locations within mapped wetlands, work would be performed during the dry season or wetland matting would be utilized to minimize disturbance. Landing work is not allowed at structures located in wetlands unless authorized by applicable Federal/state permits. Any excess soil generated from pole replacements would be disposed of in an upland area away from the wetland. Weed-free seed and straw would be used to mitigate any soil disturbed areas. 				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: No use of groundwater proposed. Maximum depth of disturbance would be 12 feet below ground surface.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	~			
	Explanation: No change in land use. No specially-des	ignated areas.			
9.	Visual Quality	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : All work would be performed within existing transmission line right-of-ways. Replacement of wood poles and associated components would be in-kind and replaced in the same location.				

10. Air Quality	~					
Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle e	mission during construc	tion activity.				
11. Noise	~					
<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise during transmission line would not change.	ng daylight hours. Oper	ational noise of				
12. Human Health and Safety	~					
Explanation: The proposed action would allow so would help reduce outage times and maintain re						
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regusafety, and health, or similar requirements of DC		ements for environment,				
Explanation, if necessary:						
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.						
Explanation, if necessary:						
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conta natural gas products that preexist in the environ unpermitted releases.	•	•				
Explanation, if necessary:						
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthweeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed amanner designed and operated to prevent unaut conducted in accordance with applicable require Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agence Explanation, if necessary:	activity would be contain thorized release into the ements, such as those of	ned or confined in a e environment and the Department of				
Explanation, in necessary.						

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA Realty personnel would perform landowner notifications 30-days prior to project initiation and any concerns regarding proposed transmission line maintenance activities would be addressed.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Emma Reinemann Date: July 16, 2019

Emma Reinemann - EPR-4 Physical Scientist - Environment