Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Bell TLM District FY18 Wood Pole Replacements in Washington

Project No. (if applicable): 3921

Project Manager: Michael Wellner - TEPL-TPP-1

Location: Stevens, Spokane, and Pend Orielle counties, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to perform in-kind replacement of wood pole structures and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) along several transmission lines. Replacement poles would be placed in existing holes following removal of current pole structures, and the holes may be re-augered to assure proper depth placement. Minor maintenance along existing access road prisms and landings is scheduled to be performed where necessary to facilitate safe access. No construction activities would occur in any water body including streams, ponds, or wetlands. Access road maintenance may include blading, shaping, rocking, and construction of waterbars and drain dips. Crews and equipment are to use existing access roads to and from each work site. Any access road maintenance performed would be limited to the existing road prism. Landing construction, if needed, would be limited to an area not to exceed 100-feet wide by 200-feet long at each structure proposed for replacement. Refer to the table below for project locations.

Transmission Line	Structure	TRS	County, State
Bell-Trentwood No. 1	3/4	T26N R43E SEC15	Spokane, WA
	4/4	T26N R43E SEC14	Spokane, WA
	2/2, 2/3, 2/4	T26N R43E SEC16	Spokane, WA
Bell-Trentwood No. 2	3/3	T26N R43E SEC15	Spokane, WA
	4/9, 5/2	T26N R43E SEC13	Spokane, WA
	1/3, 1/7	T26N R44E SEC21	Spokane, WA
Green Bluff Tap to Bell-	3/3, 4/1	T26N R44E SEC9	Spokane, WA
Trentwood No. 2	4/3, 4/4, 4/5	T26N R44E SEC4	Spokane, WA
	5/4	T27N R44E SEC33	Spokane, WA
	1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, 1/7, 1/8, 2/1	T38N R41E SEC32	Stevens, WA
	2/8, 3/1	T38N R41E SEC29	Stevens, WA
Spirit Tap No. 1	3/3, 3/4, 3/5, 3/6	T38N R41E SEC20	Stevens, WA
	4/6, 4/8, 5/1, 5/2	T38N R41E SEC17	Stevens, WA
	5/3, 5/4, 5/5, 5/7, 5/8, 5/9, 6/1	T38N R41E SEC8	Stevens, WA
	6/3, 6/4	T38N R41E SEC9	Stevens, WA
Lisk Douglant No. 1	3/1	T32N R44E SEC6	Pend Orielle, WA
Usk-Boundary No. 1	6/11	T32N R43E SEC34	Pend Orielle, WA

The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission lines which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: <u>August 2, 2019</u>

/s/ <u>Emma Reinemann</u> Emma Reinemann Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Katey Grange</u> Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Bell TLM District FY18 Wood Pole Replacements in Washington

Project Site Description

Proposed routine maintenance activities would be conducted along the Bell-Trentwood No. 1 and No. 2, Green Bluff Tap, Spirit Tap No. 2, and Usk-Boundary No. 1 transmission lines. Proposed maintenance activities would be performed in the existing transmission line right-of-ways and access road easements which are located in rural, non-developed areas and/or in rural, agricultural areas.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources			
	Explanation: A cultural survey was performed at all wood pole replacement locations, associated landings, and proposed road improvement locations. Report findings indicated there would be no adverse effect to historic properties by the undertaking. WA DAHP concurred with BPA's No Adverse Effect determination for all proposed transmission line maintenance activities on July 1, 2019. In the event that archaeological or historical materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity must stop, the area secured, and the appropriate state SHPO office, BPA archaeologist, and the environmental project lead would be notified.			
2.	Geology and Soils	~		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Localized soil disturbance would occur improvements, and access road maintenance activit measures would be utilized as necessary.			
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)			
	<u>Explanation</u> : No Federal/state special-status plants present. Vegetation would be crushed and left in place, rather than bladed, where possible. Project activities would be limited to the already impacted right-of-way; therefore, plants and their habitat would not be affected.			
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)			
	<u>Explanation</u> : No Federal/state special-status species present. Project activities would be limited to the already impacted right-of-way and would not substantially alter the footprint or operational			

	noise of the line; therefore, wildlife and associated habitat would not be affected.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)				
	Explanation: Endangered Species Act (ESA)-listed that are within ½-mile of the various transmission measures while working near water bodies, there floodplains, and fish. Note:	lines. By implementing	the following mitigation		
	 Trees and brush in riparian zones would violation of current BPA ground to condu No ground-disturbing vegetation manage water resources, thus minimizing the risk bodies. Erosion control devices would be utilized resources. 	uctor clearance electrica ement methods would l k for soil erosion and se	al safety standards. De implemented near dimentation near water		
6.	Wetlands		V		
	 Explanation: By implementing the following mitigation measures while working near or in wetlands, there would be "No Effect" on wetlands. At locations adjacent to wetlands, erosion control devices would be utilized to prevent sedimentation of nearby wetlands. At locations within mapped wetlands, work would be performed during the dry season or wetland matting would be utilized to minimize disturbance. Landing work is not allowed at structures located in wetlands unless authorized by applicable Federal/State permits. Any excess soil generated from pole replacements would be disposed of in an upland area away from the wetland. Weed-free seed and straw would be used to mitigate any soil disturbed areas. 				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : No use of groundwater proposed. Maximum depth of disturbance would be 12 feet below ground surface and is not anticipated to intersect ground water.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	~			
	Explanation: No change in land use. No specially-	designated areas.			
9.	Visual Quality	~			
	Explanation: All work would be performed within Replacement of wood poles and associated complocation.		•		

10. Air Quality	~				
Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle	emission during construct	tion activity.			
11. Noise					
<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise du transmission line would not change.	ring daylight hours. Opera	ational noise of			
12. Human Health and Safety					
Explanation: The proposed action would allow would help reduce outage times and maintain	-				
Evaluation of Oth	er Integral Elements				
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, reg safety, and health, or similar requirements of D		ements for environment,			
Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expar treatment facilities (including incinerators) that	_				
Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, cont natural gas products that preexist in the enviro unpermitted releases.		•			
Explanation, if necessary:					
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synt weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed manner designed and operated to prevent una conducted in accordance with applicable required Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Ager	d activity would be contain outhorized release into the rements, such as those of	ned or confined in a environment and the Department of			

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA Realty personnel would perform landowner notifications 30-days prior to project initiation and any concerns regarding proposed transmission line maintenance activities would be addressed.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Emma Reinemann Date: August 2, 2019

Emma Reinemann - EPR-4 Physical Scientist - Environment