# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** G0522/Best Lane and G0537/Riley Solar Interconnection

Project No.: G0522 and G0537

Project Manager: Rasha Kroonen—TEP-TPP-1

**Location**: Harney County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.11 Electric power

substations and interconnection facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to interconnect two 20-megawatt (MW) solar development projects to BPA's electrical transmission system. The solar developer is New Sun Energy Transmission Company, LLC. The two solar projects would share one point of interconnection along BPA's Brasada-Harney-No.1 transmission line. BPA's proposed action to support the interconnection request consists of installing a tap facility to connect the customer's transmission line to BPA's grid, along with minimal upgrades to the Harney Substation and the Brasada-Harney-No.1 transmission line.

For the tap installation, four new wood pole H-frame structures (one 50-feet-tall, one 55-feet-tall, two 70-feet-tall) with guy wires, and three new disconnect switches (30-feet-tall) would be constructed. Excavation for the new H-frame structures would be to a maximum depth of 10 feet and approximately 5 feet for the switches. Crushed rock would be used to backfill around the newly set poles and granular fill would be used to backfill around the switches.

Approximately 400-feet of new access road would be realigned along the southern portion of the right-of-way.

Two existing switch structures would be modified with minor equipment upgrades.

At BPA's Burns Radio Station, a new 20-foot wooden pole with a microwave dish, would be installed in the existing fenced area, to a depth of 12 feet. BPA would also install a microwave dish on New Sun's radio tower at their collector site, along with a waveguide between the antenna and the indoor equipment. BPA control meters would be installed within New Sun's control house.

Staging of materials would occur at Harney Substation, in the right-of-way (ROW) at the interconnection location, and also at a gravel quarry along Highway 20, east of Riley, Oregon.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### /s/ Beth Belanger

Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

## /s/ Doug Corkran

Doug Corkran
Acting Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Date: July 1, 2019
Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments: Environmental Checklist

NE Memo

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: G0522/Best Lane and G0537/Riley Solar Interconnection

#### **Project Site Description**

The proposed project is in Harney County, Oregon, near the town of Harney, Oregon. The point of interconnection is in Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 25 East. The host and adjacent parcels are undeveloped, except for the transmission right-of-way. The neighboring parcels are a mix of private, State, and Federal ownership. The surrounding topography in the area consists of undulating hillsides. The nearest water body to the interconnection location is an intermittent stream, located approximately 0.10 miles northwest. A small creek is located 0.5 miles south of Harney Substation.

The vegetation at the project site consists mostly of sagebrush (*Artemesia* sp.), yellow rabbit brush (*Ericameria* sp.), and unidentified grasses.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources			
	Explanation: On June 26, 2018, the Burns Paiute Tribe, Fort McDermitt Paiute-Shoshone Tribe, Klamath Tribes, and Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) were initially notified of the proposed project and provided a map of the project area. On May 30, 2019, BPA submitted a no effect determination letter to the consulting parties. On June 6, 2019, SHPO concurred with BPA's determination. As of June 30, 2019, the remaining consulting parties have not responded.			
2.	Geology and Soils			
	<u>Explanation</u> : During construction, all appropriate Best Management Practices would be used to implement site-specific erosion and sediment control. Excavated spoils would be in a BPA-approved location. All disturbed areas would be stabilized and seeded.			
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)			
	Explanation: There are no Federal/state-list no impacts to special-status plants.	ted plants at the project	locations; therefore, there would be	
	Invasive weeds, such as Russian thistle, wo Additionally, construction vehicles and equip project location.		•	
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)			
	Explanation: There are no Federal/state spenor were any special-status species or habit to special-status or federally-listed wildlife. Wildlife but there would be no long-term important.	tats observed during a s Construction activities r	site visit. There would be no impacts	

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: The project area and adjacent therefore, there would be no impacts.	areas do not have any wa	ter bodies, floodplains, or fish;		
6.	Wetlands	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: The project areas do not have	wetlands; therefore, there	would be no impacts.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: The project is unlikely to impact groundwater and aquifers. The maximum depth of disturbance for the project would be 12-feet. The nearest Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10 Sole Source Aquifer is over 200 miles to the east of the project location.				
	Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construction activities. The project would not provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas				
	Explanation: The land use would not change specially-designated area, such as National		s the project within, or near, any		
9.	Visual Quality				
<u>Explanation</u> : The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use of the transmission way corridor. There would be no significant change to the visual quality.					
10.	Air Quality	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; how there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.				
11.	Noise	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: There are no residences within would be temporary and would occur during				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: During project activities, all sta would not impact human health or safety.	andard safety protocols wo	uld be followed. Project activities		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
<b>V</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
<b>~</b>	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
<b>V</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conatural gas products that preexist in the envi				

unpermitted releases.

#### Explanation, if necessary:



Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

#### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA would work closely with the underlying landowner to provide adequate notification of construction timing and potential controls for invasive plants.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Beth Belanger Date: July 1, 2019

Beth Belanger, ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Flux Resources, LLC