Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: 2019 Schultz-Raver No. 1 Goat Peak Repair Project

PP&A No.: 4209

Project Manager: Matt Harvey, TFCF-Covington

Location: King County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to repair damaged goat peaks on structures 61/3, 61/4, 61/5 along the Schultz-Raver No. 1 500 kV (kilovolts) transmission line. All repairs would be done via helicopter. No ground disturbance to include new roads or landings would be necessary. An existing landing would be utilized for the helicopter landing zone.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Chad Browning Chad A. <u>Browning</u> Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer Date: July 3, 2019

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: 2019 Schultz-Raver No.1 Goat Peak Repair Project

Project Site Description

Proposed maintenance activities are confined to the area immediately surrounding structures 61/3, 61/4, and 61/5 on the Schultz-Raver No. 1 500kV transmission line. The project area is located approximately 15 miles northeast of Enumclaw, WA and approximately 6 miles southeast of Howard Hanson Dam. Land use in the surrounding area is forested on land owned by Tacoma Watershed. Elevation (above mean sea level) within the project area ranges from approximately 2,400 to 2,515 feet.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: No ground-disturbing work is helicopter and a small ground support team fr		ject. All work would be done via ads and landings.
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground-disturbing work is pla	anned for this project.	
	Plants (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No Federal or state special-stat no ground-disturbing work and no tree remov		
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No tree removal, ground-disturb be completed via helicopter. Noise disturbance more than 4 days. The project area is more t marbled murrelet critical habitat.	ce by the helicopter wo	uld be limited daily and total no
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: No in-water work or ground-dist	urbing activities are pro	pposed for this project.
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No in-water work or ground-distu	urbing activities are pro	posed for this project.

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	Explanation: Groundwater would not be affected by wells or use of groundwater proposed.	v proposed repair activities; no n	ew groundwater			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	Explanation: No land use changes are proposed; no specially-designated areas have been identified.					
9.	Visual Quality					
	Explanation: Repair of goat peaks would be in same location and appear similar to current damaged goat peaks.					
10.	Air Quality					
	Explanation: Any fugitive dust or similar air quality i be temporary and minimal.	mpacts during project construct	on are expected to			
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: Construction noise from the helicopter would be temporary and localized. The repairs are expected to take no more than 1 week. There are no residences nearby.					
12.	Human Health and Safety					
	proposed action					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulate safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE of	ory, or permit requirements for en or Executive Orders.	nvironment,			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are n					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamir natural gas products that preexist in the environmer					

unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Notification letters would be sent by the BPA Realty Specialist to Tacoma Watershed prior to work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ <u>Chad Browning</u> Chad Browning, EPR-COVINGTON Environmental Scientist Date: July 3, 2019