# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Verizon Rocky Flats Antenna Upgrade

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian—TELP-TPP-3

Location: Klickitat County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological and radio towers

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow Verizon to upgrade their antennas and equipment at an existing site called Rocky Flats. The site is located on BPA's transmission tower, along the McNary-Ross-1 transmission line, in Section 28, Township 3 North, Range 12 East. The work would consist of removing three existing antennas and six remote radio units (RRU), and replacing those with six new antennas and six RRUs. Additionally, a hybrid coaxial cable and voltage protection equipment would also be installed on the tower. The new coaxial cable would connect the new antennas to the equipment in Verizon's equipment compound, which is located underneath the tower. The project does not involve any ground excavation.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u> Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

<u>/s/ Doug Corkran</u>

Doug Corkran Acting Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist Concur:

Date: July 15, 2019

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments: Environmental Checklist NE Memo

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

#### Proposed Action: Verizon Rocky Flats Antenna Upgrade

## Project Site Description

The proposed project is in Klickitat County, Washington, near the town of Lyle, Washington. The site is in Section 28, Township 3 North, Range 12 East. The majority of the host parcel is used as rangeland for cattle. The neighboring parcels are largely undeveloped, except for sporadic residential housing. The surrounding topography consists of undulating hills. Balch Lake is 0.25 miles south and the Columbia River is a mile south of the project location.

The project area is heavily grazed by cattle. Vegetation at the site consists of Gray's biscuitroot (*Lomatium grayi*), barestem desert parsley (*Lomatium nudicaule*), scorpion weed (*Phacelia hastata*), yarrow (*Achillea milefolium*), storksbill (*Erodium cicutarium*) and unidentified grasses.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: BPA Cultural staff have reviewed activity does not have the potential to cause e		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: The proposed project does not of soils may occur due to bucket trucks driving		ance. Some insignificant compaction
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No Federal/special-status spec project would have no impacts to special-stat construction; however, these species are ab detrimental impacts to the regional population	atus plants. Some non undant in the ecoregio	-listed plants may be crushed during
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No Federal/special-status spec project would have no impacts to special-sta prior to construction, the construction would	atus wildlife. If any acti	ve nests are found on the structure
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: The project area does not have therefore, there would be no impacts to thes		odplains, or listed fish species;

6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: The project is not in or near wetlands;	therefore, there would be no imp	pact to wetlands.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>v</b>			
	Explanation: The project does not involve any groun to groundwater and aquifers.	nd disturbance; therefore, there	would be no impact		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The land use at the site would not change. The project area is zoned for small scale agriculture within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (CRGNSA). On May 30, 2019, a CRGNSA Management Plan consistency review application was submitted to the US Forest Service (USFS). The USFS responded on July 2, 2019, that no further review was needed. The project would have no impacts on land use or specially-designated areas.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	Explanation: In the spring of 2019, an assessment of (KVA) was conducted. The antennas are not visible equipment are consistent with the existing use of the	from any of the KVAs. The wire	eless antennas and		
10.	Air Quality				
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however, there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change.				
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: There would be no impact to human he	ealth and safety.			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
<b>v</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise		very, or treatment		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin natural gas products that preexist in the environmen unpermitted releases.				

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in

accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

## Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project proponent is responsible for acquiring and maintaining easements for their facilities from underlying landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u> Date: <u>.</u> Beth Belanger, ECT-4 Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

Date: July 15, 2019