## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Umatilla Yurt Property Acquisition Funding

Project No.: 2008-207-00 BPA-010609

**Project Manager**: Hannah Kaplan-Dondy

**Location**: Umatilla County, Oregon

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.25 Real property transfers for cultural resources protection, habitat preservation, and wildlife management

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to fund the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR) to purchase the Umatilla Yurt Property, a 76.94 acre parcel of land located 19 miles east of Pendleton, in Umatilla County, Oregon. BPA would hold a conservation easement to permanently protect, mitigate, and enhance fish and wildlife and their habitat.

The funding would be provided as part of BPA's ongoing efforts to protect, restore, and enhance habitat for threatened and endangered salmon and steelhead. The acquisition would support efforts to mitigate for the effects of development and operation of the Federal Columbia River Power System, which includes dams on the main stem Columbia River and its tributaries for fish and wildlife under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 in a manner consistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Fish and Wildlife Program. In addition, this land purchase would help satisfy some of BPA's commitments made under the 2018 Columbia Basin Fish Accords Memorandum of Agreement between the Three Treaty Tribes and the FCRPS Action Agencies (BPA, The US Army Corps, and the Bureau of Reclamation).

The CTUIR would develop a management plan to guide the protection and enhancement of habitat and other resources on the property. The management plan would be reviewed by BPA for consistency with the conservation easement and the purpose of the acquisition. If BPA proposes to fund any additional activities on the property, further environmental review may be conducted.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Luca De Stefanis

Luca De Stefanis Contract Environmental Protection Specialist MOTUS

Reviewed by:

/s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: July 23, 2019

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Umatilla Yurt Property Acquisition

#### **Project Site Description**

The Umatilla Yurt property comprises approximately 76.94 acres including approximately 0.32 miles along the mainstem Umatilla River. The property is transected generally west to east by the Umatilla River and Bingham Road along its northern half. All lands north of the Umatilla River are comprised of floodplain while all lands to the south are forested uplands. A home site and an access road are on the northern floodplain and a natural surface road leads into the property west of the Umatilla River Bridge to access the forested uplands to the south.

The property consists of mixed conifer forest and riparian/wetland (forest/scrub-scrub/emergent) habitat. The property includes habitat important to ESA-listed fish species including spawning and rearing habitat for re-introduced spring Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), and summer steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and passage and winter-rearing habitat for threatened fluvial bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*).

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be no effect due to creation of a conservation easement. To the exeffect, it is expected that the CTUIR would con	xtent that future activition	es on the property may have an
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	~	
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas				
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.  Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>V</b>	very, or led.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin natural gas products that preexist in the environmen unpermitted releases.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed act designed and operated to prevent unauthorized releaccordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Involved in the Involved	ivity would be contained or conf ase into the environment and co lose of the Department of Agricu	ined in a manner anducted in		
	Explanation, if necessary:				

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: A public notification letter and map of the property would be mailed to neighboring landowners, stakeholders, and relevant elected officials and other interested parties prior to site closing. Advertisements would also be placed in local newspapers, and information would be posted on Bonneville Power Administration's public website.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Luca De Stefanis Date: July 23, 2019

Luca De Stefanis ECF-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

MOTUS