

Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

Advanced Electrocatalysts through Crystallographic Enhancement

Jacob S. Spendelow, Los Alamos National Laboratory

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Fuel Cell Technologies Office Webinar

July 31, 2019



This presentation is part of the monthly webinar series provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Fuel Cell Technologies Office (FCTO) within the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE). Funding for research, development and innovation to accelerate progress in hydrogen and fuel cell technologies is provided by EERE FCTO.

> During Q&A session: Please type your questions to the chat box. <u>Send to: (HOST)</u>

∨ Chat		×
Candta	European X]
Send to:	Everyone V	Send

Los Alamos National Laboratory



The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy provides funding to each of these National Laboratories.





- Founded: 1943 for the Manhattan Project
- Location: Los Alamos, New Mexico
- \$ 2.6B Budget, ~ 10,000 employees

Commercial Fuel Cell Vehicles





Introduction - Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell



- Slow O₂ reduction on the cathode is the main barrier to increased performance
- Platinum alloy catalysts (PtCo, PtNi) are used to improve O₂ reduction kinetics, but cost and durability are issues

Cost Reduction



D. Papageorgopoulos, FCTO Annual Merit Review., 2019



Relevance

Objectives

- Design active and durable nanoparticle ORR catalysts based on fully-ordered intermetallic alloys on highly graphitized nitrogen-doped carbon supports
 - Binary and ternary alloys of Pt with Co, Ni, other base metals
 - Project will avoid Fenton-active metals
 - Commercial supports used initially; N-doped C supports later
- Demonstrate catalysts in high-performance, durable MEAs and scale up to 50 cm²

Project Targets:

- Mass activity > 0.44 A/mg_{PGM} @ 0.9 V_{iR-free}
- <40% mass activity loss after catalyst AST
- <30 mV loss at 0.8 A/cm² after catalyst AST
- PGM total loading < 0.125 mg/cm²

- Power density > 1 W/cm²
- <40% mass activity loss after support AST
- <30 mV loss at 1.5 A/cm² after support AST

Approach: Catalyst Structures

Ordered intermetallic catalysts

Primary material set:

- L1₀-MPt (also known as face-centered tetragonal) M = Co, Ni, other transition metals
- 2. L1₀-M₁M₂Pt (ternaries)

<u>Alternative materials (risk mitigation):</u>

- 1. L1₂ structures (Pt₃M)
- 2. Doping with other elements
- 3. Other intermetallics



Adapted from Johnston-Peck et al., Nanoscale, 2011, 3, 4142

Approach: Synthesis

Use atomic-level ordering to increase performance and durability of Pt-based catalysts

- Synthesize intermetallic nanoparticles (CoPt, NiPt, ternaries)
 - Prepare <u>fully-ordered cores</u> to stabilize base metal
 - Further protect core with Pt skin
 - Use theory and computation (DFT, machine-learning techniques) to guide nanoparticle design
- Support nanoparticles on Fe-free, N-doped graphitic carbon



Approach: Characterization and Testing

Use atomic-level ordering to increase performance and durability of Pt-based catalysts

- Integrate supported nanoparticles into MEAs, test initial performance and durability
- Perform MEA diagnostics (impedance, limiting current methods) to characterize loss mechanisms and guide electrode design
- Perform initial and post-mortem characterization (XRD, XAS, XRF, SEM-EDS, TEM, STEM-HAADF, STEM-EDS) to guide synthetic work and determine effect of structure and composition on performance and durability
- Scale-up and validate MEA performance (5 cm² \rightarrow 50 cm²)
- Scale-up catalyst synthesis (gram-scale batches)

Approach: L1₀-MPt Synthesis

- Brown: wet chemical synthesis of alloy nanoparticles in high-boiling solvents, followed by thermal annealing to create ordered structures (highest control, lowest scalability)
- 2. Penn: microwave synthesis and rapid thermal annealing (high risk, but may provide enhanced ordering, improved scalability)
- LANL: seed-mediated synthesis by metal salt impregnation in Pt/C, followed by annealing to produce ordered structures (lowest control but highest scalability)



LANL synthesis:

Impregnate with base metal

precursors (e.g. Co salts)



Protective laver

Approach: N-doped Carbon Supports



Key attributes:

- **N-doped** improved dispersion and stabilization of nanoparticle catalysts
- Highly graphitized improved durability
- Fe-free avoids Fenton degradation



Accomplishments and Progress: DFT Computation





- Bulk diffusion barrier correlates strongly with potential energy difference between states A and D
- L1₀ intermetallics show much larger diffusion barriers than fcc Pt
- Results suggest that alternative mechanisms (e.g., oxygen place exchange) are more important in controlling base metal leaching work is ongoing in this area



MEA Testing Protocols



150 kPa_{abs}; cathode: 0.1 mg_{Pt}/cm²; anode: $0.1 mg_{Pt}/cm^2$

150 kPa_{abs}; cathode: 0.1 mg_{Pt}/cm²; anode: 0.1mg_{Pt}/cm²

MEA Preparation and Testing

All MEA testing reported here uses MEAs made using standard techniques:

- Water/n-propanol inks, with catalyst and ionomer dispersed by sonication, and deposited by ultrasonic spray
- I/C = 0.9 for high surface area carbon or 0.5 for Vulcan carbon
- GDLs are 29BC (SGL), compressed by 20-25%
- Membranes are Nafion 211
- Testing used 5 cm² differential cells at 500/2000 sccm anode/cathode
- Target electrode loading 0.1 mg Pt/cm² (some sample-to-sample variation as reported in the test results)
- All testing was performed at 150 kPa_{abs} and 100% RH unless noted otherwise

Large Particle L1₀-PtCo

Large Particle L1₀-CoPt with Pt Shell



Loaded on carbon, annealed at 650° C for 6 h in 5% H₂/Ar



After acid leach:



9 nm Co₄₉Pt₅₁



- XRD, coercivity
 measurements, and
 TEM all demonstrate
 high degree of ordering
- Pt shell (~2 atoms thick) after acid leach



Large Particle L1₀-CoPt with Pt Shell

AST at 60°C, ORR measured at 25 °C



Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

Large Particle L1₀-CoPt@Pt: MEA Testing



- BOL mass activity: 0.56
 A/mgPGM (Target: >0.44
 A/mgPGM)
- Post-30K cycle mass activity (measured at 15 min): 0.45
 A/mgPGM
- Loss after 30K cycles: 20% (Target: <40%)
- Loss at 0.8 A/cm² after 30K cycles: 69 mV (Target: <30 mV), but mostly due to flooding
- Power density: **0.58 and 0.73 W/cm²** at 150 and 250 kPa (Target: 1 W/cm²)



Large Particle L1₀-CoPt@Pt: XRD and XRF



- XRD superlattice peaks are slightly smaller after 30K cycle AST, suggesting surface leaching
- Insignificant shift in peak position, indicating lattice established by ordered core remains unchanged
- XRF indicates composition change (Pt_{0.61}Co_{0.39} → Pt_{0.71}Co_{0.29}), indicating 36% of Co was lost



Brown L1₀-CoPt/Pt: STEM



- STEM-EDS shows ~1 nm Pt shell surrounding Pt₅₀Co₅₀ core after AST (total particle composition Pt₇₀Co₃₀)
- HAADF-STEM shows highly ordered core remains after AST, coated with a ~0.7-1.0 nm Pt shell (3-4 atoms thick)
- Ordered core remains intact even after AST

10

- Co leaching occurs only from surface, forming Pt shell that protects particle interior from further leaching
- Pt shell is too thick for significant ligand enhancement after AST, but kinetic enhancement due to strain remains even after 30K cycles J Li et al., Joule 2018

nm

Large Particle L1₀-CoPt@Pt Status vs. Targets

	Units	Measured	Target
Mass Activity	A/mgPGM	0.56	0.44
Mass Activity after Catalyst AST	A/mgPGM	0.45	0.264
Degradation at 0.8 A/cm ² (Catalyst AST)	mV	69	30
Current Density at 0.8 V	A/cm ²	0.32	0.3
Power at 0.67 V, 150 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	0.58	1
Power at 0.67 V, 250 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	0.73	1
Cathode PGM Loading	mg/cm ²	0.105	0.125
Robustness, Cold		0.64	0.7
Robustness, Cold Transient		0.68	0.7
Robustness, Hot		0.19	0.7
ECSA	m²/gPt	26	
ECSA after Catalyst AST	m²/gPt	23	
Crystallite Size (XRD)	nm	7.8	
Crystallite Size after Catalyst AST	nm	9.6	
Particle Size (TEM)	nm	8.9	
Particle Size after Catalyst AST	nm	8.7	
Composition	%	Pt61Co39	
Composition after Catalyst AST	%	Pt71Co29	

- High mass activity at BOL; only 20% loss after AST
- Excellent ECSA retention (but low ECSA from the start)
- Degradation at 0.8 A/cm² due to increased flooding after AST
- High power performance is too low – probably due to thick electrode (~25 μm)



Small Particle L1₀-PtCo

L1₀-CoPt@Pt/Vulcan



Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

L1₀-CoPt@Pt/Vulcan



Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

L1₀-CoPt@Pt/Vulcan (#19g)

BOL Powder

After 30K cycles in MEA





Ordered particles remain after AST

<u>2</u> nm

<u>2 nm</u>

A1-PtCo/Vulcan, BOL









Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

A1-PtCo/Vulcan, EOL









Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

L1₀-PtCo@Pt/Vulcan, BOL





nm

Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

CAK RIDGE

L1₀-PtCo@Pt/Vulcan, EOL









Advanced Electrocatalysts Webinar

Accomplishment: Small L10-PtCo ParticlesBOL CatalystAfter 30K cycles in MEA





Particle structure is similar before and after AST: Pt shell around L1₀-PtCo core



L1₀-PtCo/Vulcan: Fuel Cell Testing

	Units	Measured	Target	1
Mass Activity	A/mgPGM	0.60	0.44	0.9
Mass Activity Loss [1]	%	40	40	0.8
Degradation at 0.8 A/cm ² [1]	mV	26	30	≥ 0.7
Current Density at 0.8 V	A/cm ²	0.41	0.3	ш 0.6
Power at 0.67 V, 150 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	0.89	1	0.5
Power at 0.67 V, 250 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	1.10	1	0.4
PGM Loading [2]	mg/cm ²	0.106	0.125	
Robustness (cold)		0.94	0.7	
Robustness (cold transient)		0.91	0.7	
Robustness (hot)		0.92	0.7	
				-



[2] Cathode



ECSA (CO stripping): 62 m²/g (BOL) 40 m²/g (after 30K cycles)

L1₀-PtCo@Pt/XC-72 catalyst meets or approaches DOE catalyst and MEA targets

	LANL	Commercial	LANL
	FCC-PtCo	FCC-PtCo	L1 ₀ -PtCo
BOL Co%	48%	22%	27%
EOL Co%	12%	7%	17%

High durability of $L1_0$ ordered PtCo is due to decreased Co leaching – $L1_0$ -PtCo has higher Co content than FCC-PtCo after 30K cycle AST

Accomplishment: Ternary L1₀ Development



- DFT results suggest adding a 3rd component (e.g. Ni) to L1₀-PtCo could provide near-optimal O/OH binding energy
- XRD shows Co:Ni:Pt = 1:1:2 gives good ordering
- Based on DFT and XRD, ternary L1₀-CoNiPt looks promising



L1₀-CoNiPt: MEA Testing



	Units	Measured	Target
Mass Activity	A/mgPGM	0.33	0.44
Mass Activity Loss [1]	%		40
Degradation at 0.8 A/cm ² [1]	mV		30
Current Density at 0.8 V	A/cm ²	0.23	0.3
Power at 0.67 V, 150 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	0.64	1
Power at 0.67 V, 250 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²		1
PGM Loading [2]	mg/cm ²	0.091	0.125

[1] 30K square wave cycles, 0.6-0.95 V

[2] Cathode



- RDE performance promising, but initial MEA results lower than expected
- Ternary L1₀ development still a work in progress

Buffalo L1₂-CoPt





- Heat treatment of Co ZIF, followed by Pt impregnation and additional heat treatment, produces ordered PtCo on N-doped carbon
- High fuel cell performance in unoptimized MEA

Buffalo Mn-Hydrogel Supports



- Polymer hydrogels carbonized in presence of Mn have highly graphitic structure
- Graphitic structure prevents C corrosion, enhancing fuel cell stability



Accomplishment: Mn-Hydrogel Supports



- with pure Pt
- Need more active catalyst for performance targets Qiao et al., Energy Environ. Sci., 2019, Accepted



Power at 0.67 V, 250 kPa_{abs}

[1] 5K triangle wave cycles, 1.0-1.5 V

PGM Loading [2]

[2] Cathode

0.13

1

0.125

 W/cm^2

 mg/cm^2

L1₀-PtCo/Hydrogel



PtCo particles on folded graphene sheets



Small L1₀ ordered PtCo

Hydrogel-based support enables improved dispersion of 2-4 nm L1₀-PtCo



L1₀-PtCo/Hydrogel



Units

A/mgPGM

%

mV

A/cm²

 W/cm^2

 W/cm^2

 mg/cm^2

 m^2/gPt

 m^2/gPt

[2] Cathode



Target

0.44

40

30

0.3

1

1

0.125



- Combination of LANL PtCo technology and Buffalo support technology produces extremely high mass activity and good durability
- L1₀-PtCo on hydrogel support: small, monodisperse, ordered
- MEA optimization needed to improve power density

Primary goal of support work is to improve performance and durability through better dispersion of intermetallic nanoparticles. Meeting support durability targets is secondary goal.

0.79

37

31

0.34

0.77

0.108

72

37

Mass Activity

PGM Loading [2]

ECSA

Mass Activity Loss [1]

Current Density at 0.8 V

ECSA after Catalyst AST

Degradation at 0.8 A/cm² [1]

Power at 0.67 V, 150 kPa_{abs}

Power at 0.67 V, 250 kPa_{abs}

[1] 30K square wave cycles, 0.6-0.95 V



L1₀-PtCo Scaleup



- Initial synthesis: 100-200 mg batches
- Gram-scale synthesis began Jan 2019
- Initial gram-scale synthesis shows similar performance (slightly lower)
- Further optimization of scaled-up synthesis underway

Intermetallic L1₀-CoPt developed in this project is compatible with multiple carbon supports (XC-72, Ketjen, and hydrogel-based carbons) and has high performance in large and small batches

Project Status

		L1 ₀ -PtCo/	L1 ₀ -PtCo/	
	Units	XC-72	Hydrogel	Target
Mass Activity	A/mgPGM	0.60	0.79	0.44
Mass Activity Loss [1]	%	40	37	40
Degradation at 0.8 A/cm ² [1]	mV	26	31	30
Current Density at 0.8 V	A/cm ²	0.41	0.34	0.3
Power at 0.67 V, 150 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	0.89	0.77	1
Power at 0.67 V, 250 kPa _{abs}	W/cm ²	1.10		1
PGM Loading [2]	mg/cm ²	0.106	0.108	0.125
Robustness (cold)		0.94		0.7
Robustness (cold transient)		0.91		0.7
Robustness (hot)		0.92		0.7

[1] 30K square wave cycles, 0.6-0.95 V [2] Cathode

- L1₀-PtCo/XC-72 meets most DOE catalyst and durability targets; further work on power density underway
- L1₀-PtCo/Hydrogel provides path to higher mass activity
- L1₀ ordering improves durability by decreasing Co leaching; ordering is retained even after 30K cycle AST in MEA

Future Work

- Increase high-current performance and durability through improved L1₀-PtCo dispersion – to be achieved via improved control of synthesis, improved N doping in supports
- Extend gram-scale synthesis to multi-gram batches that match performance of small batches
- Perform MEA optimization on the two most promising catalysts (small particle L1₀-CoPt/XC-72 and L1₀-CoPt/Hydrogel Carbon)
- Scale up MEA testing from 5 cm² to 50 cm²

Summary

- Intermetallic PtCo catalysts provide high activity and durability in MEAs
- Ordered catalysts with Pt skins can keep high Co content even after durability testing
- L1₀ ordering still apparent even after 30,000 voltage cycles
- Best catalysts can meet DOE performance and durability targets
- MEA testing is critical to evaluate ORR catalysts!
- We collaborate to test promising catalysts contact me at spendelow@lanl.gov

Acknowledgements

US Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy (EERE) Fuel Cell Technologies Office (FCTO) Dr. Nancy Garland Dr. Dimitrios Papageorgopoulos

.

Los Alamos National Lab

Dr. Chenyu Wang Dr. Yung-Tin Pan Dr. Dongguo Li Dr. Yu Seung Kim

Oak Ridge National Lab

Dr. David Cullen Dr. Karren More

Brown University

Dr. Junrui Li Prof. Shouheng Sun Shubham Sharma Prof. Andrew Peterson

University at Buffalo Zhi Qiao Prof. Gang Wu

Question and Answer

• Please type your questions to the chat box. Send to: (HOST)

∽ Chat			×
Send to:	Everyone	\sim	
Enter c	hat message here		Send

Thank you

Jacob Spendelow spendelow@lanl.gov Eric Parker DOEFuelCellWebinars@ee.doe.gov

Nancy Garland <u>Nancy.Garland@ee.doe.gov</u>

Sign up to receive hydrogen and fuel cell news and updates



www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/fuel-cell-technologies-office-newsletter