Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Revais Westslope Property Acquisition Funding

Project No.: 2002-003-00; BPA-010603

Project Manager: Cecilia Brown

Location: Sanders County, MT

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.25 Real property transfers for cultural resources protection, habitat preservation, and wildlife management

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to fund the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes to purchase the Revais Westslope property, a 2.5-acre parcel of land located 6 miles southwest of Dixon and 34 miles northwest of Missoula, in Sanders County, Montana. BPA would hold a conservation easement to permanently protect, mitigate, and enhance fish and wildlife and their habitat.

Funding the purchase of the property would serve as partial mitigation for the construction and operation of the Federal Columbia River Power System which includes dams on the main stem Columbia and Snake Rivers. This land purchase would specifically satisfy some of BPA's commitments made in the Memorandum of Understanding between the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes and the Bonneville Power Administration.

The property consists of forested riparian and upland habitat. The Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes would develop a management plan to guide the protection and enhancement of habitat and other resources on the property. The management plan would be reviewed by BPA for consistency with the conservation easement and the purpose of the acquisition. If BPA proposes to fund any additional activities on the property, further environmental review may be conducted.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: June 25, 2019

/s/ Jennifer Snyder

Jennifer Snyder Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

/s/ Dave Kennedy FOR

Chad J. Hamel

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel

Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Revais Westslope Property Acquisition

Project Site Description

The approximately 2.5-acre Revais Westslope property is a square-shaped parcel situated on Revais Creek that is accessed via Revais Creek Road along the eastern boundary of the property. Surrounding uses are mountainous open space and forested woodlands. The property is undeveloped and has been managed as open space; it was historically part of a larger 160-acre individual allotment (CSKT Allotment 2892), established in 1929.

The property is comprised of approximately 1.5 acres of riparian forested habitat (grand fir, Douglas-fir, black cottonwood, alder, Englemann spruce, western red cedar) and approximately 1 acre of forested upland (Ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, western larch, grand fir). The site supports a variety of fish and wildlife species including native westslope cutthroat trout and sculpin, nonnative brook trout, black and grizzly bear, bobcat, gray wolf, white-tailed and mule deer, mountain lion, red fox, beaver, and several species of small mammals and birds.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: There would be no effect due to creation of a conservation easement. To the effect, it is expected that the Confederated S laws and regulations.	extent that future activities	es on the property may have an
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas				
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
9.	Visual Quality	~			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
10.	Air Quality	~			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
11.	Noise	V			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V			
	Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.				
	Evaluation of Other In	ntegral Elements			
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary:					
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases. Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health. Explanation, if necessary:				

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: A public notification letter and map of the property would be mailed to neighboring landowners, stakeholders, and relevant elected officials and other interested parties prior to the property closing. Advertisements would also be placed in local newpapers, and information would be posted on BPA's website.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/Jennifer Snyder</u> Date: <u>June 25, 2019</u>

Jennifer Snyder, ECF-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Flux Resources, LLC