Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Grand Coulee-Okanagan No. 2 Urgent Cross-arm Replacement

PP&A No.: 4178

Project Manager: Ryan Piche

Location: Okanagan County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to replace cross-arms on 13 wood pole structures along the Grand Coulee-Okanagan No. 2 transmission line that are in urgent need of replacement. The existing wooden cross-arms would be replaced with steel cross-arms. To conduct the work, linemen would access the structures with a line truck and change out the cross-arms using the truck's bucket. No road work, digging, or ground disturbance would be required, except when needed to set and level the line truck's outriggers.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: May 13, 2019

/s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u> Laura Roberts Biological Scientist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Grand Coulee-Okanagan No. 2 Urgent Cross-arm Replacement

Project Site Description

The proposed project area, located in eastern Washington, consists of shrub steppe and rangeland.

Township, Range, Section Number, County, and Ownership information

Transmission Line	Township	Range	Section	Ownership
Grand Coulee-Okanagan No. 2	31N	28E	18, 20, 29	Confederated Tribes of the Colville

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions				
1. Historic and Cultural Resources						
Explanation: As the proposed project is local BPA sent an Area of Potential Effect map and Officer (THPO) on April 25, 2019. The THPO potential negligible impacts and relaying that	d project description to to project description to to the project on May 13,	he Tribal Historic Preservation 2019, agreeing with the project's				
2. Geology and Soils	~					
Explanation: The proposed project is anticip construction, all appropriate Best Managemerosion and sediment control. All disturbed a	ent Practices would be u	sed to implement site-specific				
 Plants (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats) 	~					
Way (ROW). Little ground disturbance is an	<u>Explanation</u> : The project area consists of shrub steppe habitat in an existing transmission line Right-of-Way (ROW). Little ground disturbance is anticipated and no vegetation would be removed. Any unrocked disturbed areas would be stabilized and seeded with a geographic and climate-appropriate seed mix.					
Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V					
Explanation: The proposed project would not effect to ESA-listed species in the area.	ot impact any special-sta	atus species. There would be no				
 Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats) 	V					
Explanation: The proposed project area doe	es not contain a path to	fish streams.				

6.	Wetlands	V					
	Explanation: No wetlands occur within the proposed project area.						
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construction activities. The project would not provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.						
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas						
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur and	d project activities would not imp	pact land use.				
9.	Visual Quality						
	explanation: There would be no change to the visual quality of the area as a result of the proposed ctivities.						
10.	Air Quality						
	Explanation: The project would have no significant impacts on air quality; however, a small amount of vehicle emissions and dust may occur during construction.						
11.	Noise						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Some temporary construction noise wo noise of the transmission line would not change.	ould occur during daylight hours	. The operational				
12.	Human Health and Safety						
	<u>Explanation</u> : During project activity, all standard safe would not impact human health or safety.	ety protocols would be followed.	Project activities				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements							
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin natural gas products that preexist in the environment unpermitted releases.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed act designed and operated to prevent unauthorized releast accordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National I	ivity would be contained or conf ase into the environment and co lose of the Department of Agricu	ined in a manner anducted in				
	Explanation, if necessary:						

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: All activities would be coordinated with landowners prior to beginning work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Laura Roberts</u> Date: <u>May 13, 2019</u>

Laura Roberts, EPI-4 Biological Scientist