Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: T-Mobile Echo Glen and Snoqualmie Ridge Antenna Upgrade Project

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian

Location: King County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave,

meteorological and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow T-Mobile to modify the existing wireless facilities located on transmission line structures 2/3 (Echo Glen) and 4/3 (Snoqualmie Ridge) of BPA's Echo Lake-Monroe No.1 transmission line, located near the town of Snoqualmie, WA. The facility modifications include:

Echo Glen structure 2/3 - Removing six antennas and associated communication equipment and installing three new antennas and associated communication equipment.

Snoqualmie Ridge structure 4/3 - Removing all existing antennas and associated communication equipment and installing three new antennas and associated communication equipment.

The existing equipment is located approximately 100 feet above the ground on steel-lattice structures. The new equipment would be installed in the same location as the existing equipment.

No ground-disturbing activities would occur during implementation of this project.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Tish Eaton

Tish Eaton

Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Date: May 16, 2019

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: T-Mobile Echo Glen and Snoqualmie Ridge Antenna Upgrade Project

Project Site Description

Wireless communication equipment replacements would occur on steel-lattice transmission structures 2/3 and 4/3 of BPA's Echo Lake-Monroe No.1 230-kV transmission line, located near residential areas west of the town of Snoqualmie, WA. Access to the structures is via well-maintained gravel roads.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: The BPA Historian has determing result of this project and minor additive feature transmission lines, this undertaking has no po	es would not adversely	impact the integrity of historic
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would o	ccur as part of this proj	ect.
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground-disturbing activities would occur along existing gravel roads.	would occur as part of	this project. Access to the structures
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No wildlife habitat is known to be	e present in the projec	t sites.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, o	r other fish habitat exis	ts in the project sites.
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No wetlands are present or in close proximity to the project sites.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance, drilling, or excavation would occur as part of this project; thus, neither groundwater nor aquifers are anticipated to be affected.		

 The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and]			
Explanation: The new wireless antennas and equipment would look the same as the existing an and equipment. 10. Air Quality Explanation: Air quality would not be impacted during project work because no ground disturbar would occur. 11. Noise Explanation: Installation noise would be minimal, temporary, and occur during daytime hours. Operational noise would not change. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusing the project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and	vould replace			
and equipment. 10. Air Quality Explanation: Air quality would not be impacted during project work because no ground disturbar would occur. 11. Noise Explanation: Installation noise would be minimal, temporary, and occur during daytime hours. Operational noise would not change. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and]			
Explanation: Air quality would not be impacted during project work because no ground disturbar would occur. 11. Noise Explanation: Installation noise would be minimal, temporary, and occur during daytime hours. Operational noise would not change. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical excluse. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and	isting antennas			
would occur. 11. Noise Explanation: Installation noise would be minimal, temporary, and occur during daytime hours. Operational noise would not change. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclus The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and]			
Explanation: Installation noise would be minimal, temporary, and occur during daytime hours. Operational noise would not change. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical excluse. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and	disturbance			
Operational noise would not change. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclus The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and]			
Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and	hours.			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and]			
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion the project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and				
 The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treat facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and 				
 Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: 				

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project sites are owned by King County and a private landowner. Rights to perform this work would be verified by BPA realty specialists prior to project implementation.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Tish Eaton</u> Tish Eaton, ECT-4 Date: May 16, 2019

Environmental Protection Specialist