PMC-ND

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (1.08.09.13) OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: US Department of Energy

PROJECT FAST Commissioning for Pumped-Storage Hydropower Prize TITLE:

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number **GFO-FASTPrize-001**

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
A11 Technical advice and assistance to organizations	Technical advice and planning assistance to international, national, state, and local organizations.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to authorize the administration of a prize competition regarding pumped storage hydropower, known as the Furthering Advancements to Shorten Time (FAST) Commissioning for Pumped-Storage Hydropower Prize.

Through the FAST prize DOE seeks to encourage solutions to reduce time, costs, and risks for commissioning pumped storage hydropower with a goal of reducing commissioning time from the current average of ten years to less than five. The FAST prize contest would consist of three stages.

The first stage would be limited to submission of concept papers. Concept papers would include a problem statement, description of the proposed innovation, technical feasibility description, market feasibility description, team gualifications, and needs that could be addressed by a national lab partner. Up to 10 concept papers would be chosen to proceed to stage two, the incubation stage.

In stage two participants chosen to continue would be partnered with a DOE national lab which would provide up to 50 hours of technical support and advice. Work would be limited to analysis and technical advice. At the end of stage two participants would submit a final 10 page report and power point presentation (slide deck) on their idea.

Stage three, the pitch contest, would consist of participants presenting their idea to a live audience. Participants would be judged on their pitch as well as their 10 page report. Up to three participants would be chosen to receive combined cash prizes as well as additional technical support from a national lab.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

STATE: CO

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Questionnaire

Include the following condition in the financial assisstance agreement:

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

Notes:

Water Power Program Roak Parker, 4/18/2019

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	4/23/2019	
	NEPA Compliance Officer			

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
- □ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: