ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

Grantee/Contractor Laboratory: Pr	rinceton University/Prin	ceton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL)
Project/Activity Title: Generic Cat	tegorical Exclusion: Ben	ch-Scale Research Projects & Conventional Laboratory
Operations		
CH NEPA Tracking No.:	Type of Funding	N/A
B&R Code:	Total Estimat	ed Cost: N/A
Contractor Project Manager:		Signature:
		Date:
Contractor NEPA Reviewer:	Jerry D. Levine	Signature Ty Ly, M
		Date: 1/17/19

I. <u>Description of Proposed Action:</u> This Categorical Exclusion covers bench-scale research projects and conventional laboratory operations conducted in established buildings at PPPL as well as offsite collaborations with other state, federal or international entities. Specifically, bench-scale chemical, physical, and theoretical studies, experiments, and related activities including the assembly/disassembly of experimental instrumentation and research equipment (e.g., diagnostics systems, plasma heating, control or fueling systems, shielding systems, etc.) are within the scope of the proposed actions.

RESTRICTIONS: This categorical exclusion determination does not apply to the following:

- 1) Activities that require major building renovations
- 2) Activities that might impact historic structures or equipment
- 3) Research activities that generate "no path forward" waste

4) Demonstration actions undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

II. Description of Affected Environment: PPPL is located on Princeton University's James Forrestal Campus in Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County (central New Jersey), adjacent to the municipalities of Princeton, Kingston, East and West Windsor, and Cranbury, NJ. It occupies approximately 88.5 acres in the areas known as "C- and D-sites." PPPL has operated on the current site since 1959. The closest urban centers are New Brunswick, 14 miles (22.5 km) to the northeast, and Trenton, 12 miles (19 km) to the southwest. Within a 50-mile (80 km) radius are the major urban centers of New York City, Philadelphia, and Newark. Princeton University's main campus is approximately three miles west of the site, primarily located within the borough of Princeton.

The estimated resident population within 10 miles (16 kilometers) of PPPL is about 500,000. The total estimated population within a 50-mile (80 kilometer) radius of PPPL is approximately 16.4 million.

Surrounding the site are lands of preserved and undisturbed areas including upland forest, wetlands, open grassy areas, and a minor stream, Bee Brook, which flows along PPPL's eastern boundary. These areas are designated as open space in the James Forrestal Campus (JFC) site development plan.

The climate of central New Jersey is classified as mid-latitude, rainy climate with mild winters, hot summers, and no dry season. Temperatures may range from below zero to above 100 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) (-17.8° Celsius (C) to 37.8° C); extreme temperatures typically occur once every five years. Approximately half the year, from late April until mid-October, the days are freeze-free. Normally, the climate is moderately humid with a total average precipitation about 46 inches (116 cm) evenly distributed throughout the year.

Generic Categorical Exclusion: Experiments

III. <u>Potential Environmental Effects:</u> (Attach explanation for each "yes" response, and "no" responses if additional information is available and could be significant in the decision making process.)

A. Sensitive Resources: Will the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to any of the following resources?

	0	Yes/No
1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	1. No
2.	Other Protected Species (e.g. Burros, Migratory Birds)	2. No
3.	Wetlands	3. No
4.	Archaeological/Historic/Cultural Resources	4. No
5.	Prime, Unique or Important Farmland	5. No
6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards	6. No
7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	7. No
8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	8. No
9.	Navigable Air Space	9. No
10.	Coastal Zones	10. No
11.	Areas w/Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trail	s)11. No
12.	Floodplain or Wetlands	12. No

B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Will the proposed action involve any of the following regulated substances or activities?

		Y es/INO		
13.	Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than 1 acre; if more than	13.No		
	5,000 sq. ft., a Soil Erosion / Sediment Control Permit may be required			
	from Freehold Soil Conservation District.)			
14.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act section 404; indicate if greater			
	than 1 acre)	14. No		
15.	Noise (in excess of regulations)	15. No		
16.	Asbestos Removal	16.Yes		
	Some activities my involve penetration of asbestos containing walls, asbestos tile removals, etc.			
	These activities would require support from an asbestos certified subcon	tractor. The removal		
	would comply with state and federal regulations.			
17.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	17. No		
18.	Import, Manufacture or Processing of Toxic Substances	18. No		
19.	Chemical Storage/Use	19. Yes		
	Experiments may require storage and use of chemicals such as compress	ed gases, cleaning		
	solvents, lithium, etc. All such storage and use would be conducted in ac	cordance with products		
	Safety Data Skeets (SDSs), approved PPPL policies, procedures and req	uirements of the ES&H		
	Manual.			
20.	Pesticide Use	20. No		
21.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions	21. Yes		
	Small air emissions from experiments would be limited and would be conducted in accordance			
	with applicable state and federal regulations, applicable permits, and DC	<i>OE and PPPL</i>		
	requirements.			
22.	Liquid Effluent	22. Yes		
	Small amounts of liquid effluents are possible from some experiments. A	Il such effluents would be		
22	limited in accordance with existing P ^D PL procedures and relevant state of	and Jederal requirements.		
23.	Underground injection	23. INO		

Generic Categorical Exclusion: Experiments

24.	Hazardous Waste	24. Yes				
	Small amounts of hazardous wastes (e.g., solvent rags used for cleaning generated by some experiments, and would be disposed by the PPPL W	components) may be aste Management Group in				
	accordance with existing PPPL procedures and relevant state and feder	eccordance with existing PPPL procedures and relevant state and federal requirements.				
25.	Underground Storage Tanks	25. No				
26.	Radioactive (AEA) Mixed Waste	26. No				
27.	Radioactive Waste	27. Yes				
	Small amounts of low level radioactive waste may be generated by a fev be handled and disposed by the PPPL Waste Management Group in acc PPPL procedures and relevant state and federal requirements.	v experiments, which would cordance with existing				
28.	Radiation Exposures	28. Yes				
	The potential may exist for small radiation exposures of workers from a such exposures will be controlled in accordance with existing PPPL proof the PPPL Health Physics Division.	few experiments. Any scedures with the support				
6.0	they Delever t Divise source W/21 the prevent estion involve the follow	uing?				
C. U	ther Relevant Disclosures. will the proposed action involve the follow	Vas/No				
20	A threatened violation of ES&H regulations/nermit requirements	29 No				
L9.	The requirements of 10CER851 (as implemented under the DOE approx	29, NO				
	and Health Program) would be applied to these activities	σατητώ ποικεί δαγείγ				
30.	Siting/Construction/Major Modification of Waste Recovery, or TSD	30. No				
	Facilities					
31.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	31. No				
32.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits	32. Yes				
	Some experimental activities may involve modifications to existing federal and state permit					
	requirements (e.g., air permits), which would be accomplished by the Pl	PPL Environmental				
	Services Division using existing PPPL procedures.					
33.	Public controversy	33. No				
34.	Action/involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license, funding, approval)	34. No				
35.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law.	35. No				
	(Does the State Environmental Quality Review Act Apply?)					
36.	Public Utilities/Services	36. No				
37.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	37. No				

IV. <u>Section D Determination</u>: Is the project a tryity appropriate for a determination under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations for compliance with NEPA?

Yes

DOE-PSO NEPA Compliance Officer (NCO) Review:

Concurrence with Proposed Class of Action Recommended

CX EA EIS

Category: B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects

For Categorical Exclusions (CXs):

A. The proposed action fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to Subpart D. For classes of actions listed in Appendix B. the following conditions are integral elements; i.e., to fit within a class, the proposal <u>must not</u>:

- 1) Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders;
- Require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, but may include such categorically excluded facilities;
- Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; or
- 4) Adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources.
- B. There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- C. The proposal is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts, and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.



Generic Categorical Exclusion: Experiments

VI NEPA Compliance Officer Subpart D.C.N.Determination and Approval.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer. I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

PSONCO: Terring Murry Signature 18.6-27/ Date 2/25/19

SECON CHEZODER BY BRADY, Popularity