# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Cascade Steel Tap to Carlton-Sherwood #1 Impairment Removal Project (*Update to previous categorical exclusion issued December 14, 2018*)

Project No.: 3964

Project Manager: Thomas Murphy

Location: Yamhill County, OR

Transmission Line ROW	Structure Span	Township	Range	Section	County	Ownership/ Land Use
Cascade Steel Tap to Carlton- Sherwood #1	10/3 and 11/1	35	4W	26	Yamhill	BPA Fee-owned/ Substation

## Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA has identified a transmission line clearance impairment on the Cascade Steel Tap to Carlton-Sherwood #1 transmission line near the BPA Carlton Substation. At this location, the Cascade Steel Tap to Carlton-Sherwood #1 line is too close to the BPA Forest Grove-McMinnville #1 line. The CX issued December 14, 2018, proposed to increase the clearance between the two transmission lines by installing a single pole and associated features (guy wires, cross-arms, insulators, etc.) between Carlton Substation and structure 11/1 of the Cascade Steel Tap to Carlton-Sherwood #1 line. BPA now proposes to replace Forest Grove-McMinnville #1 Structure 19/8 with single steel pole that would be ten feet shorter, and located in the same location as the existing pole structure. The new steel pole would be installed within a vertically-buried, four-foot diameter by ten-foot long, corrugated metal culvert. The pole would be bedded in crushed rock and native excavated material within the buried culvert. Excess excavated material would be spread in an upland location on-site and managed with appropriate erosion and sediment control best management practices (BMPs), or hauled off-site to a facility that accepts this material. All project activities would take place on BPA fee-owned property, and would use traditional transmission line equipment (digger-derrick, back hoe, bucket truck, work trucks, etc.).

**<u>Findings</u>**: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Oden Jahn</u> Oden Jahn Physical Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer Date: February 19, 2019

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Cascade Steel Tap to Carlton-Sherwood #1 Impairment Removal Project (*Update to previous categorical exclusion issued December 14, 2018*)

## Project Site Description

The project location has not changed, and is located on BPA fee-owned land adjacent to the BPA Carlton Substation. Much of the project area has been previously disturbed and the vegetation consists of tall grasses, shrubs, and herbaceous plants, including noxious weeds.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
•	Explanation: The updated work is similar in scope Section 106 consultation is necessary.	and location to the pre	viously-described work. No additional
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: After work is completed, soils would Washington Stormwater Manual. Additional BMPs Woodburn silt loam with 0 to 3 percent slopes, and not used for farming and the project would not aff	would be implemented is classified as prime	d as necessary. Soil in the project area is farmland; however, the project area is
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No federal or state special-status pla occur within a previously disturbed area.	nt species are recorded	d in the project area. All work would
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: Streaked Horned Lark has been record does not exhibit habitat conditions preferred by th to conduct the work between September 1 and Ap habitat would be modified.	ne Streaked Horned Lar	k, adjacent properties do. BPA proposes
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: There are no waterbodies or flood pl	ains in the project area	ı.

6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: The location of the prop structure is in upla seasonally-inundated swales. BPA would perform the wo summer/early fall) or use wetland mats, so that construct	ork when there is no water in the sv	vales (late
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to im	pact groundwater.	
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas		
	Explanation: No change in land use and no specially-desi	gnated areas were identified.	
9.	Visual Quality		
	Explanation: The proposed work is not anticipated to sig	nificantly impact visual quality.	
10.	Air Quality		
	Explanation: Any fugitive dust generated during project minimal.	implementation is expected to be to	emporary and
11.	Noise		
	Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility line e	quipment would be temporary and	localized.
12.	Human Health and Safety		
	Explanation: Project activities would not impact human	health or safety.	

### **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the

National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

#### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The project area is on BPA fee-owned property. The BPA Realty Specialist will coordinate with adjacent landowners prior to work, if necessary.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Date: *February 19, 2019* 

Signed: /s/ <u>Oden Jahn</u> Oden Jahn Physical Scientist (Environmental)