Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Intalco Plant Conductor Hardware Replacement

Project No.: N0669

Project Manager: Gordon Markley – TPCV-TPP-4

Location: Whatcom County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.11 Electric power substations

and interconnection facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: At Alcoa's expense, the Bonneville Power Administration proposes to replace fourteen conductor hardware assemblies for seven low voltage feeders (2 at each feeder) at the Intalco aluminum plant owned by Alcoa. All work would be completed within Alcoa's existing graveled substation yard and no ground disturbance would occur. Not all pot lines are in service and Alcoa would have no problems re-routing power during the short outages needed for the replacement work at each feeder.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: February 28, 2019

/s/ Nancy A. Wittpenn Nancy A. Wittpenn

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Post of City Post data	

Project Site Description

Alcoa's existing substation yard is graveled, paved, surrounded by fencing, and adjacent to BPA's existing Intalco Substation. The yard and BPA's existing Intalco Substation service Alcoa's aluminum plant complex. The plant sits on the banks of the Straits of Georgia near the Canadian border.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Equipment replacement would occur in Alcoa's existing substation yard and cause no ground disturbance. This type of activity does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties. No consultation or further action under NHPA is needed.				
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would occur in Alcoa's existing substation yard and cause no ground disturbance. No impacts to geology or soils in the area would occur.				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V			
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would occur in Alcoa's existing substation yard and cause no ground disturbance or habitat removal. No impacts to plants or habitat in the area would occur.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Equipment replacement would occur in Alcoa's existing substation yard and cause no ground disturbance or habitat removal. The work would also cause very minimal temporary noise. No impacts to wildlife or habitat in the area would occur.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V			
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would occur in Alcoa's existing substation yard and cause no ground disturbance. No impacts to water bodies, floodplains, or fish in the surrounding area would occur.				
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would occur disturbance. No impacts to wetlands in the surrou				

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would occur in Al disturbance. No impacts to groundwater or aquifers in t		cause no ground			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would occur in Alderstein and use. No impacts to land use or specially de	=	h is consistent with the			
9.	Visual Quality					
	Explanation: Equipment would be replaced in kind. No	impacts to visual quality would occ	cur.			
10.	Air Quality	<u> </u>				
	Explanation: The existing yard is rocked or paved and no ground disturbance would occur. No impacts to air quality from dust would occur.					
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: Equipment replacement would cause very	minimal temporary noise. No imp	acts would occur.			
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u>~</u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Alcoa would coordinate all outages with BPA's maintenance crew. All typical safety precautions for this type of maintenance work would be followed by the BPA crew. Old equipment would be recycled. No impacts to human health and safety are anticipated.					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
	Evaluation of Other In	ntegral Elements				
	Evaluation of Other In expression project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not:		exclusion. The			
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in	tegral elements of the categorical or permit requirements for environ				
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, o	tegral elements of the categorical or permit requirements for environ				
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ordo	tegral elements of the categorical or permit requirements for environers.	nment, safety, and			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we	tegral elements of the categorical or permit requirements for environers.	nment, safety, and			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or	tegral elements of the categorical or permit requirements for environers. Traste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	r treatment			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in eject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, whealth, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	tegral elements of the categorical or permit requirements for environers. Traste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	r treatment			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that the	tegral elements of the categorical cor permit requirements for environments. Vaste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded. So, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and the would be uncontrolled or unpermonentally designated not contained or confined in a manner conment and conducted in accordance.	r treatment Ind natural gas mitted releases. Exious weeds, or designed and noce with applicable			

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: All work would be closely coordinated with Alcoa and take place within Alcoa's existing substation yard and generate limited noise. No surrounding notification needed.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Nancy A. Wittpenn</u> Date: <u>February 28, 2019</u>
Nancy A. Wittpenn – ECT-4