



Co-Optimization of
Fuels & Engines

U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office 2019 Project Peer Review

Bio-Blendstock Fuel Property Characterization

Co-Optima Review Session
March 7, 2019

Gina Fioroni
National Renewable Energy
Laboratory



better fuels | better vehicles | sooner


Co-Optima: Focused on Outcomes to Improve the Bio-Blendstock Value Proposition



Co-Optima researchers identify blendstocks derived from biomass providing critical fuel properties and assess benefits of and barriers to adoption.

Goal/Outcomes:

- Fuel properties that optimize engine performance
- Fuel-engine combinations that maximize engine efficiency while meeting all requirements, including emissions
- Bio-blendstock options for market actors to define how best to implement
- Assessment of barriers to and benefits of adoption of new blendstocks and engines





Quad Chart Overview

Fuel Property Characterization

Timeline

- **Start:** FY2016
- **Merit Review Cycle:** FY2019–2021
- **Review Cycle:** 12% complete

	Total Budget Pre FY17	FY 17 Budget	FY 18 Budget	Total Planned Funding (FY 19-Project End Date)
BETO Funded	\$2,252	\$1,935	\$1,305	\$10,380

Partner labs for work in this presentation:

- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)
- Sandia National Laboratories (SNL)

Barriers Addressed

- ADO-E. Co-Development of Fuels & Engines
- At-D. Identifying New Market Opportunities for Bioenergy and Bioproducts

Objective


Advance underlying science needed to develop biomass-derived fuel and engine technologies that will work in tandem to achieve significant efficiency, environmental, and economic goals.

End of Project Goal

Provide critical fuel property data to inform blendstock discovery and predictive model development. Fill in data gaps for tiered screening to identify most promising candidates for multiple combustion modes.



Co-Optima Presentations Today




This presentation will detail efforts in:

Fuel Property Characterization

- Development and population of fuel property database
- Measurement of key fuel properties
- Tiered-screening approach to identify molecules with desirable properties
- Compatibility and toxicology assessment of blendstocks
- Reveal underlying physical chemistry for non-linear blending effects
- Mechanistic understanding of soot precursor formation

Linked Team Effort within Co-Optima




Measurement of critical fuel properties informs multiple teams within Co-Optima

2 – Approach (Management): Fuel Properties Team

Fuel Property Characterization Supports Co-Optima Mission



- Populate fuel property database
- Tiered-screening approach
- Merit function/merit table evaluation
- Compatibility and toxicology
- Reveal critical fuel properties and target ranges to guide blendstock generation
- Measure blending effects



Support blendstock discovery and predictive model development and evaluation efforts by experimental exploration and validation.

2 – Approach (Management): Fuel Properties Team

Highly Coordinated Effort Between BETO and VTO Offices



Focus of Today's Presentation

Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) Tasks



Bob McCormick, Gina Fioroni, Tom Foust, Seonah Kim, Jon Burton, Teresa Alleman



Evgueni Polikarpov, Dan Gaspar



Andrew Sutton



Mike Kass

Vehicle Technologies Office (VTO) Tasks



Bob McCormick, Gina Fioroni, Matt Ratcliff, Brad Zigler, Seonah Kim



Evgueni Polikarpov, Tim Bays



Bill Pitz



Magnus Sjoberg, Craig Taatjes, Scott Skeen



Chris Kolodziej, Scott Goldsborough



Jim Szybist, Josh Pihl, Derek Splitter


Highly experienced team knowledgeable in fuel property characterization.

2 - Approach (Management)



Communication and Coordination Essential to Success

Co-Optima regularly scheduled meetings



AED = advanced engine development team
FP = fuel properties team
TK = toolkit team

HPF = high performance fuels team
ASSERT = analysis of sustainability, supply, economics, risk, and trade
COLT = Co-Optima leadership team

XLT = extended leadership team
DOE = U.S. Department of Energy

Efforts integrated within fuel properties team and broader Co-Optima team.

2 – Approach (Technical)

Fuel Property Evaluation: Data to Support Decision Making



Blendstock Fuel Property Characterization


Rigorous Screening

Rapidly identify viable candidates





Blendstock Evaluation

Measure properties
Populate database





Generate Insight

Develop blending models
Correlate properties to molecular structure




Establish Bio Pathways

Target properties to generate key data
Conduct retrosynthetic analyses



Inform Analyses

Provide improved data for LCA, TEA analyses



LCA = life cycle analysis, TEA = techno-economic analysis

Implemented technically sound research and development (R&D) approach to rapidly identify viable candidates.



2 – Approach (Technical)

Engine R&D Determines Critical Fuel Properties

BSI Merit Function

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Merit (efficiency)} = & \underbrace{\alpha \cdot f(\text{RON})}_{\text{RON}} + \underbrace{\beta \cdot f(K, S)}_{\text{Octane Sensitivity}} + \underbrace{\gamma \cdot f(\text{HOV})}_{\text{Heat of Vaporization}} \\
 & + \underbrace{\varepsilon \cdot f(\text{LFS})}_{\text{Flame Speed}} + \underbrace{\zeta \cdot f(\text{PMI})}_{\text{PM Emissions}} + \underbrace{\eta \cdot f(T_{c,90,conv})}_{\text{Catalyst Light-off Temp (cold start)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Octane Index (Knock) Charge Cooling
 Burn Rate/ Dilution Tolerance Emissions Penalties

MCCI Merit Table

Tier Criteria	4-Butoxyheptane	2-Nonanol	1-Octanol	Decane	Renewable diesel	5-ethyl-4-propyl-nonane	n-Undecane	Soy methyl ester	4-Nonanone	TPGME	Dibutoxymethane	Hexanoic acid	Decanoic acid, hexyl ester	2,6,10-trimethyl-dodecane	Butylcyclohexane	Algal biomass HTL
Cetane	Green	Grey	Grey	Green	Blue	Green	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Green	Blue	Green
LHV (MJ/kg)	Blue	Blue	Blue	Green	Green	Green	Green	Blue	Orange	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Green	Green	Grey
Flash Pt (°C)	Orange	Green	Green	Grey	Orange	Green	Blue	Green	Blue	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Grey	Orange
Melting Pt (°C)	Green	Blue	Orange	Blue	Green	Orange	Orange	Blue	Green	Green	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Green	Orange
Water Sol (mg/L)	Orange	Blue	Orange	Green	Orange	Green	Orange	Blue	Grey	Blue	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Orange
YSI	Blue	Blue	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Grey	Green	Blue	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Grey

Legend: Green = Greatly Exceeds, Blue = Exceeds Criteria, Orange = Meets Criteria, Grey = Barriers Exist

Boosted spark ignition (BSI) merit function and mixing-controlled compression ignition (MCCI) merit table approach to rapidly identify most promising candidates to meet targets.

2 – Approach (Technical)

Critical Success Factors, Challenges, and Key Activities



Success Factors	Barriers to Overcome	Activities to Address
<p>Rapidly discover promising blendstocks through tiered-screening approach for multiple combustion modes</p> <hr/> <p>Apply merit function/merit table approach to identify high-potential blendstocks</p>	<p>Encompass a wide range of potential blendstocks representing several chemical families</p> <hr/> <p>Procure and access blendstocks that are in early development stages</p>	<p>Leverage blendstock generation efforts to expand viable candidates</p> <hr/> <p>Continue to provide data to Co-Optima teams to fill gaps for most promising candidate identification</p>

A green circular icon with a white thumbs-up gesture, representing success or approval.

A yellow and blue striped construction barrier with two red lights on top, representing a challenge or obstacle.

A blue icon of a checklist with a checkmark, representing a task or activity.

Project activities designed to address barriers to success.



3 – Progress

Development and Population of Fuel Property Database

- Developed a fully searchable database with fuel property candidates supplied from multiple labs and researchers
- Used extensively for BSI and MCCI candidate screening
- Database updated on a regular basis as new data is received from researchers
- Continue to utilize for future screening



<https://fuelsdb.nrel.gov/fmi/webd#FuelEngineCoOptimization>

Lab PIs: Fioroni and McCormick, NREL

Impact: Database accessed a total of 8,165 times in the last three years. Results of BSI and MCCI screening resulted in two publications and “Top 10 Bio-blendstocks Report” (in preparation).

Year	Users	Guests
2016	626	385
2017	1753	1401
2018	2119	1881








3 – Progress

Characterization of Multi-Oxygenate Fuel Blends for Multimode

Identify multi-component bio-oxygenate blendstocks with properties well-suited for multi-mode operation targeting high:

- Volatility
- Research octane number (RON)
- Octane and phi sensitivity



Lab PI: Foust, NREL


Impact: Leverage predictive tools in conjunction with direct experimental measurements to identify strong multi-mode fuel candidates.




3 - Progress

Boosted SI: Measurement of Key Fuel Properties – Blends

- Applied merit function to candidate list of 40 molecules identified in FY16 and FY17
- Performed blending studies in commercial blendstock for oxygenate blending (BOB)
- Identified and reported on 10 most promising candidates




Lab PIs: Fioroni and McCormick, NREL




Impact: All candidates selected as promising blendstocks demonstrated synergistic blending—four functional groups represented.

3 - Progress

MCCI: Tiered Screening/Measurement of Key Fuel Properties



- Finalized fuel properties with input from HPF and AED teams
- Preliminary Tier I and II screening applied to database
- Identified 12 promising candidates:
 - Pure component and blend properties: oxidation stability, cetane number, lubricity, viscosity, conductivity,...
 - Solubility parameter theory assessment of materials compatibility









Lab PIs: Fioroni and McCormick, NREL

Impact: Identification and assessment of several promising candidates well Underway. Publication of screening results at SAE World Congress in 2019.



3 – Progress

MCCI: Tiered-Screening Approach



Impact: Preliminary list of 12 promising MCCI candidates spanning multiple functional groups identified




3 - Progress

Boosted SI/MCCI: Toxicology Assessment

Goal: Assess blendstocks for toxicity and environmental fate.

- Toxicity, environmental partitioning, biodegradability, fate, and transport indicators
- Literature review summarizing critical indicators for promising BSI blendstocks
- Quantitative structure-activity relationship prediction for MCCI blendstocks
- Scenario is environmental release between plant gate and consumer consumption

Compound	Air %	Soil %	Water %	Sediment %	Suspended Sediment %
Ethanol	33.2	0.0290	66.8	Trace	Trace
n-Propanol	2.36	0.154	97.5	Trace	Trace
Isopropanol	6.83	0.0925	93.1	0.00206	Trace
Isobutanol	19.5	0.408	80.1	0.00907	Trace
Cyclopentanone	73.7	0.0404	26.2	Trace	Trace
Diisobutylene	99.7	0.263	0.00838	0.00585	Trace
2-Methylfuran	97.6	0.141	2.25	0.00313	Trace
2,5-Dimethylfuran	66.5	4.46	29.0	0.0991	0.00310



Lab PI: Alleman, NREL

Impact: Boosted SI molecules do not have significant concerns, but have differing levels of partitioning and biodegradability that decision makers need to be aware of.



3 – Progress

Boosted SI: Compatibility Assessment

Goal: Assess materials compatibility for most promising BSI candidates.


- Completed solubility parameter-based theoretical prediction of compatibility on 39 BSI fuel candidates with six infrastructure elastomers and 14 plastics common to fueling infrastructure
- Finished exposure study on selected BSI blendstocks and elastomers
- Completed literature review of corrosion potential for metallic fueling materials

Key Findings:

- Solubility analyses showed good correlation with observed swell (elastomers)
- Blending with E10 produces more swell in polymers than blending with a BOB
- Cyclopentanone produces significant swelling in fluoroelastomers, but other Tier 3 blendstocks demonstrated good compatibility

Lab PI: Kass, ORNL

Impact: BSI blendstocks investigated were not likely to be corrosive—and except cyclopentanone—exhibited good compatibility with elastomers and plastics.



Percent volume change of fluorocarbon elastomer vs. concentration of blendstock candidates in E10 (main figure), and Hansen solubility prediction (inset). *Figure by Michael Kass, ORNL*



Goal: Develop ASTM International standards for optimal fuels for boosted spark ignition (BSI), multi-mode ignition (MM), and advanced compression ignition (ACI) combustion using an understanding of the interaction between fuel effects and combustion modes.

High research octane number (RON) BSI test fuel specification is the first outcome of this task:

- Development of specification brought together a wide breadth of stakeholders
- Specification first published in 2017 and updated again in 2018
- Next iteration expected in 2019 to update with specific grades of 100 RON fuel based on Co-Optima BSI blendstocks



Designation: D8076 – 18

**Standard Specification for
100 Research Octane Number Test Fuel for Automotive
Spark-Ignition Engines¹**

Lab PI: Alleman, NREL

Impact: Development of 100 RON test fuel specification provides common baseline fuel for future development efforts. First ever ASTM standard to include a limit on octane number and use RON and octane sensitivity (S).



3 – Progress

BSI: Reveal Chemistry for Non-Linear Blending Effects



Goal: Understand chemical basis for synergistic and antagonistic blending for RON.

- Blended various synergistic and antagonistic compounds with a radical generator (heptane)
- Autoignition at 600 Kelvin (K)
- Synergistic compounds shut down low-temperature autoignition at low blend levels
- Identified potential common fragment in synergistic compounds that may be responsible for synergistic blending effects



DMF = dimethyl furan




Lab PI: Fioroni, NREL

Impact: Revealing the mechanism of non-linear blending for octane number will allow design of molecules with desired blending octane behavior.


3-Progress

Mechanistic Understanding of Soot Precursor Formation





- Flow reactor used to validate QM simulations and inform kinetic mechanism development
- Developed soot precursor mechanisms to understand effects of substituents, oxygen atom position, and isomers on soot precursor formation

YSI = yield sooting index, QM = quantum mechanical



Lab PIs: Kim, Fioroni, and St. John, NREL



Impact: Revealing chemical structure effect on soot formation leads to cleaner fuels.




4 – Relevance

How Fuel Property Characterization Impacts BETO Goals

Specific MYPP barriers addressed by fuel properties

- ADO-E. Co-Development of Fuels & Engines
- At-D. Identifying New Market Opportunities for Bioenergy and Bioproducts



Fuel property research identifies critical properties for design of more efficient engines and relates properties to molecular structure and production pathways.




4 – Relevance


How Fuel Property Characterization Supports Co-Optima




Fuel property database available to all teams to support screening efforts for all combustion modes.




Compatibility and toxicology assessment to reduce barriers to market adoption.



Measurement of key fuel properties for tiered screening to rapidly identify blendstocks with the highest potential.



Mechanistic understanding of soot precursor formation and underlying chemical basis for non-linear blending effects.



Impact: Fuel property research informs multiple teams within Co-Optima.

5 – Future Work

Select Planned Milestones and Upcoming Decision Points



Combustion Mode	Select Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Milestones	Quarter
Boosted SI	A.5.5 Complete exposure study on infrastructure plastics with selected SI blendstocks (ORNL).	Q3 FY19
Boosted SI	F.1.4.1 Draft journal article explaining the chemical basis for synergistic and antagonistic blending for RON and S (NREL).	Q2 FY19
Boosted SI	A.5.6 Identify 5 new compounds or mixtures that improve octane sensitivity when blended into BOB or E10. Measure RON, MON, and S for each in an E10 and BOB blend (PNNL).	Q3 FY19
MCCI	A.4.10 Complete polymer exposure studies on selected MCCI blendstocks (ORNL).	Q4 FY19
MCCI	A.4.9 Complete Hansen solubility analysis on up to 40 MCCI candidates (ORNL).	Q2 FY19
MCCI	A. 5. 1. Identify the blendstock molecular structures or individual BOB component that leads to the highest antagonistic blending effect to raise RON and S for MMCI (LANL).	Q4 FY19
Multi-Mode	A.5.9 Quantify the upper limit of moisture tolerance of BOB/ester blends towards hydrolysis of esters by LCA of carboxylic acids in the aged-fuel sample with and without residual acids (PNNL).	Q3 FY19

FY19 plan will finalize MCCI work and continue MM effort.

5 – Future Work

Upcoming Decision Points and Remaining Issues



FY19

FY20


FY21

Key Activities

End of FY19 – Determine most promising candidates for MCCI

Tier Criteria	4-Butoxyheptane	2-Noranol	1-Octanol	Decane	Renewable diesel	5-ethyl-4-propyl-nonane	n-Undecane	50:1 methyl ester	4-Norbornone	TPCME	Dibutoxymethane	Hexanoic acid, hexyl ester	Decanoic acid methyl ester	2,6,10-trimethyl-dodecane	Butylcyclohexane	Algal biomass HTL
Cetane	Green	Grey	Grey	Green	Blue	Green	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Blue	Green	Green
LHV (MJ/kg)	Blue	Blue	Blue	Green	Green	Green	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue
Flash Pt (°C)	Orange	Green	Green	Grey	Orange	Green	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Orange
Melting Pt (°C)	Green	Blue	Orange	Blue	Orange	Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Green	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange	Orange
Water Sol (mg/L)	Orange	Orange	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue
YSI	Blue	Green	Blue	Grey	Blue	Blue	Grey	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue


End of FY20 – Select blendstocks for Tier 3 analysis



KC = kinetically-controlled ignition

FUTURE FOCUS

FY19 to FY21 – Target MM and KC



REMAINING ISSUES

FY19 to FY21 – Determine target values of key fuel properties and ranges for MM and KC combustion modes



Key Activities: Select MCCI blendstocks for Tier 3 screening; identify future targets for MM and KC.
Remaining Issues: How are key fuel properties and target ranges for MM and KC combustion modes defined?



Summary for Fuel Property Characterization

Overview

Measure key fuel property data to:

- Fill data gaps and support tiered screening and merit evaluation approaches to advance development of new fuel and combustion options

Approach

- Identify promising blendstocks through tiered-screening approach
- Measure key fuel properties to fill data gaps and supply information for merit evaluation
- Perform compatibility and toxicology assessment of promising candidates
- Reveal underlying chemistry for non-linear blending effects, validate quantum mechanical simulations, and inform kinetic mechanism development

Technical Progress

- Developed publicly accessible fuel property database
- Utilized tiered-screening approach to rapidly identify promising blendstocks
- Measured blending behavior of chemically diverse blendstocks
- Completed initial material compatibility and toxicology assessment on promising candidates
- Examined the chemical basis for non-linear blending effects through flow reactor auto-ignition experiments
- Provided experimental results to validate quantum mechanical simulations for soot precursor formation

Relevance

- Implemented technically sound approach for evaluating blendstocks
- Identified key blendstocks that enable engines to operate efficiently

Future Work

- Complete measurement of key fuel properties for MCC1 candidates and facilitate selection of most promising candidates
- Identify key fuel properties for light duty (multi-mode) and heavy duty (kinetically-controlled) blendstock options
- Apply tiered-screening approach to select promising candidates for multi-mode and kinetically-controlled combustion modes



List of Acronyms

CO-OPTIMA TEAMS & THRUSTS

- **AED** – advanced engine development team
- **ASSERT** – analysis of sustainability, supply, economics, risk, and trade
- **BETO** – bioenergy technologies office
- **COLT** – co-optima leadership team
- **FP** – fuel properties team
- **HPF** – high performance fuels team
- **SAE** – society of automotive engineers
- **VTO** – vehicle technologies office

ENGINE COMBUSTION MODES

- **ACI** – advanced compression ignition, heavy duty
- **BSI** – boosted spark ignition, light duty
- **KC** – kinetically-controlled ignition, heavy duty
- **MCCI** – mixing-controlled compression ignition, heavy duty
- **MM** – multi-mode ignition, light duty

FUEL PROPERTY MEASUREMENTS

- **BOB** – blendstock for oxygenate blending
- **cBOB** – conventional blendstock for oxygenate blending
- **RBOB** – reformulated blendstock for oxygenate blending
- **HoV** – heat of vaporization
- **LCA** – life cycle analysis
- **MON** – motor octane number
- **RON** – research octane number
- **QM** – quantum mechanical
- **S** – octane sensitivity, defined as RON - MON
- **YSI** – yield sooting index





Reviewer comments:

- No guarantee that industry will use information to provide better fuels
- No plan of attack for impetus for industry change
- Lots of calls and meetings

Response:

- These risks are real. To mitigate these risks, Co-Optima has focused on extensive outreach to communicate the technical foundation we have developed, so that market actors can act. Our outreach plan includes direct engagement with biofuel companies at all scales, as well as with automakers and all parts of the fuel supply chain, including Octane Workshops in 2018, and a concerted effort to solicit feedback from biofuel companies in 2018 (report is being drafted).
- We have made an effort to manage the work more efficiently, reducing the number of meetings across Co-Optima by 1/3, and reduced travel for the leadership team by another 1/3.



List of Publications and Presentations (1)

FY19 Publications and Presentations

1. **Screening of Potential Biomass-Derived Streams as Fuel Blendstocks for Mixing Controlled Compression Ignition Combustion** - Fioroni, G.M., Fouts, L., McCormick, R.L. et al., to be published, *SAE Technical Paper No. 2019-01-0730*.
2. **Heat of Vaporization and Species Evolution During Gasoline Evaporation Measured by DSC/TGA/MS for Blends of C1 to C4 Alcohols in Commercial Gasoline Blendstocks** - Fioroni, G.M., Fouts, L., Christensen, E.D., McCormick, R.L. *SAE Technical Paper 2019-01-0014*, doi:10.4271/2019-01-0014.
3. **Discovery of Novel Octane Hyperboosting Phenomenon in Prenol/gasoline Blends** - Monroe, E., Gladden, J., Albrecht, K., Bays, J.T., McCormick, R.L., Davis, R.W., George, A. *Fuel* 239 1143-1148.
4. **Dual-Alcohol Blending Effects on Gasoline Properties** - Saeid Aghahosseini Shirazi, Bahareh Abdollahipoor, Jake Martinson, Bret Windom, Kenneth F. Reardon, Thomas Foust, Submitted to *Fuel* January 2019.
5. **Development and Application of a Fuel Property Database for Mono-Alcohols as Fuel Blend Components for Spark Ignition Engines** - Saeid A Shirazi, Thomas D Foust, Kenneth F. Reardon, Accepted by *Fuel* January 2019, publication forthcoming shortly.
6. **Autoignition and Select Properties of Low Sample Volume Thermochemical Mixtures from Renewable Sources** - Olarte, Mariefel V., Karl O. Albrecht, J. Timothy Bays, Evgueni Polikarpov, Balakrishna Maddi, John C. Linehan, Molly J. O'Hagan, and Daniel J. Gaspar, *Fuel* 238 (2019): pp 493-506.
7. **Critical Fuel Property Evaluation for Potential Gasoline and Diesel Biofuel Blendstocks with Low Sample Volume Availability**- Polikarpov, Evgueni, Karl O. Albrecht, Jordan P. Page, Deepika Malhotra, Phillip K. Koech, Lelia Cosimbescu, and Daniel J. Gaspar, *Fuel* 238 (2019): pp 26-33.
8. **Measuring and Predicting the Vapor Pressure of Gasoline Containing Oxygenates** - Daniel J. Gaspar; Steven D. Phillips; Evgueni Polikarpov; Karl O. Albrecht; Susanne B. Jones; Anthe George; Alexander Landera; Daniel M. Santosa; Daniel T. Howe; Anna G. Baldwin; J. T. Bays, *Fuel* (2019, accepted; in press).



List of Publications and Presentations (2)

FY18 Publications and Presentations

- 1. Measurement of Heat of Vaporization for Research Gasolines and Ethanol Blends by DSC/TGA** - Fioroni, G.M., Fouts, L., Christensen, E.D., Anderson, J.E., McCormick, R.L. *Energy Fuels* DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03369.
- 2. Screening Fuels for Autoignition With Small Volume Experiments and Gaussian Process Classification** - Lunderman, S., Fioroni, G.M., McCormick, R.L., Nimlos, M., Rahimi, M.J., Grout, R.W. *Energy Fuels* 32 9581–9591.
- 3. Experimental and Theoretical Insight into the Soot Tendencies of the Methylcyclohexene Isomers** – S Kim, G. M. Fioroni, J. Park, D. J. Robichaud, D.D. Das, P.C. St. John, T. Lu, C.S. McEnally, L.D. Pfefferle, R.S. Paton, T.D. Foust, and R.L. McCormick. *Proc. Comb. Inst.*, available online, July 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2018.06.095>.
- 4. Investigation of the Impact of Fuel Properties on Particulate Number Emissions of a Modern Gasoline Direct Injection Engine** - Fatouraie, M., Frommherz, M., Mosburger, M., Chapman, E., Li, S., Fioroni, G.M., McCormick, R.L. *SAE Technical Paper 2018-01-0358*.
- 5. Measured and Predicted Vapor Liquid Equilibrium of Ethanol-Gasoline Fuels with Insight on the Influence of Azeotrope Interactions on Aromatic Species Enrichment and Particulate Matter Formation in Spark Ignition Engines** - Burke, S., Rhoads, R., Ratcliff, M., McCormick, R.L., Windom, B., *SAE Technical Paper 2018-01-0361*, doi:10.4271/2018-01-0361.
- 6. The Use of Biomass Oxygenates to Reduce Particulate Matter Formation in Direct Injected Spark-ignited Engines** - T. Foust, P. C. St John, R. L. McCormick, C. A. Farberow, S. Kim, “*Renew Sus. Energy Reviews* submitted January 2018 – under review.
- 7. Measuring and Predicting Sooting Tendencies of Oxygenates, Alkanes, Alkenes, Cycloalkanes, and Aromatics on a Unified Scale** - Dhruhajyoti D. Das, Peter St. John, Charles S. McEnally, Seonah Kim, Lisa D. Pfefferle, *Combustion and Flame*, 190, 349-364 (2018).
- 8. Experimental and Theoretical Study of Oxidative Stability of Alkylated Furans Used as Gasoline Blend Components** – E. Christensen, G.M. Fioroni, S. Kim, L. Fouts, E. Gjersing, R.S. Paton, and R.L. McCormick. *Fuel*, 212:576-585, January 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.10.066>.
- 9. Annual Merit Review and Peer Review Evaluation Presentations** – 12 presentations: A. Agrawal, S. Curran, J. Farrell, G. Fioroni, C. Kolodziej, G. Lavoie, C. McEnally, M. McNenly, C. Mueller, J. Pihl, I. Schoegl, and S. Sluder. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/vehicles/annual-merit-review-presentations>.



List of Publications and Presentations (3)

FY18 Publications and Presentations

10. **Sooting Tendencies of Co-optima Test Gasolines and Their Surrogates** - Charles S. McEnally, Yuan Xuan, Peter C. St. John, Dhruvajyoti D. Das, Abhishek Jain, Seonah Kim, Thomas A. Kwan, Lance K. Tan, Junqing Zhu, Lisa D. Pfefferle, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2018.05.071>.
11. **Small Ester Combustion Chemistry: Computational Kinetics and Experimental Study of Methyl Acetate and Ethyl Acetate** - Ahfaz Ahmed, William J.Pitz, Carlo Cavallotti, Marco Mehl, Nitin Lokachari, Eln, J.K. Nilsson, Jui-Yang Wang, Alexander A. Konnov, Scott W. Wagon, Bingjie Chen, Zhandong Wang, Seonah Kim, Henry J. Curran, Stephen J. Klippenstein, William L. Roberts, S. Mani Sarathy, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2018.06.178>.
12. **Sooting Tendencies of Aromatic Hydrocarbons with Oxygen- Containing Side-Chains** - Brian P. Beekley, Charles S. McEnally, Peter C. St. John, Seonah Kim, Abhishek Jain, Hyunguk Kwon, Yuan Xuan, Lisa D. Pfefferle, ESSCI (Eastern States Section of the Combustion Institute) Spring 2018, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute.
13. **Co-Optimization of Fuels & Engines: Properties of Co-Optima Core Research Gasolines** – R. McCormick, L.A. Fouts, G.M Fioroni, E.D. Christensen, M.A. Ratcliff, B.T. Zigler, S. Sluder, J.P. Szybist, S. Ciatti, J.T. Bays, W. Pitz, M. Mehl, J.E. Dec, and P.C. Miles. Technical Report 1467176, August 2018. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1467176>.
14. **Compatibility Assessment of Fuel System Thermoplastics with Bio-Blendstock Fuel Candidates Using Hansen Solubility Analysis** – M. Kass, B. West. *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr.* 11(1):43-104, 2018 <https://doi.org/10.4271/04-11-01-0004>.
15. **Experimental and Surrogate Modeling Study of Diesel Fuel** – G. Kukkadapu, R. Whitesides, M. Wang, SS Wagon, K. Zhang, M. Mehl, W.J. Pitz, C.-J. Sung and C. Westbrook. 37th International Combustion Symposium, Dublin, Ireland, July 29-August 3, 2018.
16. **Fueling Infrastructure Materials and Isobutanol Compatibility** – M. Kass and K. Moriarty. Webinar Presentation to the Steel Tank Institute, May 31, 2018.
17. **National Labs Examine Effects of New Fuels on Current Equipment** – M. Kass and K. Moriarty. PEI Journal, Second Quarter, 2018.



List of Publications and Presentations (4)

FY18 Publications and Presentations

- 18. Near-azeotropic Volatility Behavior of Hydrous and Anhydrous Ethanol Gasoline Mixtures and Impact on Droplet Evaporation Dynamics** – B. Abdollahipoor, S.A. Shirazi, K.F. Reardon, and B.C. Windom. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 181:166-174, December 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2018.09.019>.
- 19. Physiochemical Property Characterization of Hydrous and Anhydrous Ethanol Blended Gasoline** – S.A. Shirazi, B. Abdollahipoor, J. Martinson, K.F. Reardon, and B.C. Windom. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 57(32):11239-11245, August 2018. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b01711>.
- 20. Selection Criteria for Sustainable Fuels for High-Efficiency Spark-Ignition Engines with Examination of their Storage Stability, Impact on Engine Knock, and Fine Particle Emissions** – R.L. McCormick. Presented at Colorado State University, May 2018. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/71627.pdf>.



List of Publications and Presentations (5)

FY17 Publications and Presentations

1. **A Quantitative Model for the Prediction of Sooting Tendency from Molecular Structure** - St. John, P., Kairys, P., Das, D., McEnally, C.S., Pfefferle, L.D., Robichaud, D.J., Nimlos, M.R., Zigler, B.T., McCormick, R.L., Foust, T.D. Bomble, Y., Kim, S. *Energy Fuels* 31 9983–9990.
2. **Understanding Trends in Autoignition of Biofuels: Homologous Series of Oxygenated C5 Molecules** - Bu, L., Ciesielski, P.N., Robichaud, D.J., Kim, S., McCormick, R.L., Foust, T.D., Nimlos, M.R. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 121 5475–5486.
3. **Distillation-based Droplet Modeling of Non-Ideal Oxygenated Gasoline Blends: Investigating the Role of Droplet Evaporation on PM Emissions** - Burke, S., Ratcliff, M., McCormick, R.L., Rhoads, R., Windom, B. *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr.* 10(1):69-81, doi:10.4271/2017-01-0581.
4. **Selection Criteria and Screening of Potential Biomass-Derived Streams as Fuel Blendstocks for Advanced Spark-Ignition Engines** - McCormick, R.L., Fioroni, G.M., Fouts, L., Christensen, E., Yanowitz, J., Polikarpov, E., Albrecht, K., Gaspar, D.J., Gladden, J., George, A. *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr.* 10(2):2017, doi:10.4271/2017-01-0868.
5. **Co-Optimization of Fuels & Engines** – A. Lindauer. Transportation Research Board 96th Annual Meeting, Washington, DC, January 8-12, 2017.
6. **Co-Optimization of Fuels & Engines: FY16 Year in Review** – January 2017. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/67595.pdf>.
7. **Co-Optimization of Fuels & Engines (Co-Optima) Initiative** – J. Farrell. SAE 13th International Conference on Engines and Vehicles, Capri, Italy, September 13, 2017. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/70200.pdf>.
8. **Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Presentations** – 8 presentations: J. Dec, J. Farrell, C. Kolodziej, R.L. McCormick, M. McNenly, M. Sjöberg, J.P. Szybist, and T. Toops. Vehicle Technologies Office Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation, Washington, DC, June 5-9, 2017. <https://energy.gov/eere/vehicles/annual-merit-review-presentations>.
9. **Bioblendstocks that Enable High Efficiency Engine Designs** – R.L. McCormick, G.M. Fioroni, M.A. Ratcliff, B.T. Zigler, and J. Farrell. 2nd CRC Advanced Fuel and Engine Efficiency Workshop, Livermore, California, November 3, 2016. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/67629.pdf>.



FY17 Publications and Presentations

- 10. Compatibility of Fuel System Elastomers with Bio-Blendstock Fuel Candidates Using Hansen Solubility Analysis**
– M. Kass and B. West. *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr.* 10(1):138-162, 2017. <http://papers.sae.org/2017-01-0802>.
- 11. Understanding Trends in Autoignition of Biofuels: Homologous Series of Oxygenated C5 Molecules** – L. Bu, P.N. Ciesielski, D.J. Robichaud, S. Kim, R.L. McCormick, T.D. Foust, and M.R. Nimlos. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 121:5475-5486, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b04000>.



FY16 Publications and Presentations

- 1. Effects of Iso-octane/Ethanol Blend Ratios on the Observance of Negative Temperature Coefficient Behavior within the Ignition Quality Tester** — G.E. Bogin, Jr., J. Luecke, M.A. Ratcliff, E. Osecky, and B.T. Zigler. *Fuel* 186:82-90, 2016 www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016236116307578.
- 2. Exploring the Relationship Between Octane Sensitivity and Heat-of-Vaporization** — R. McCormick, M. Ratcliff, and B.T. Zigler. *SAE Int. J. Fuel Lubr.*, 9:80-90, 2016. papers.sae.org/2016-01-0836/.
- 3. Fuel Properties and Chemical Kinetics** — R.L. McCormick, G. Fioroni, J. Szybist, T. Bays, P. Miles, M. McNenly, B. Pitz, J. Luecke, M. Ratcliff, B. Zigler, S. Goldsborough. DOE 2016 Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting for the Vehicles Technologies Office, Washington, DC, June 5-9, 2016. www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/07/f33/ft038_mccormick_szybist_fuel_properties_2016.pdf.
- 4. Investigation of Iso-octane Ignition and Validation of a Multizone Modeling Method in an Ignition Quality Tester** — E.M. Osecky, G.E. Bogin, Jr., S.M. Villano, M.A. Ratcliff, J. Luecke, B.T. Zigler, and A.M. Dean. *Energy & Fuels*, 30 (11): 9761– 9771, 2016. pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b01406.
- 5. Knock Resistance and Fine Particle Emissions for Several Biomass-Derived Oxygenates in a Direct-Injection Spark-Ignition Engine** — M.A. Ratcliff, J. Burton, P. Sindler, E. Christensen, G.M. Chupka, L. Fouts, and R.L. McCormick. *SAE Int. J. Fuel Lubr.*, 9:59-70, 2016. papers.sae.org/2016-01-0705/.