

## DOE Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) 2019 Project Peer Review

Industry Engagement & Project Management WBS# 1.2.2.701-8

March 7, 2019

PI: Michael Resch, PhD





## **Goal of the Consortium**

Identify and address the impacts of feedstock variability - chemical, physical, and mechanical – on biomass preprocessing and conversion equipment and system performance, to move towards 90% operational reliability.



# Goal Statement

**Project Goal**: Manage and Coordinate 8 National Lab Consortia working together to leveraging core capabilities to address major issues plaguing the biofuels industry.

<u>**Outcome</u>** This project worked directly with BETO, FCIC leadership team and Industry Advisory Board to ensure a industry-relevant focus with strong potential for industry adoption of FCIC technologies, and manage the industry-collaborative R&D through Directed-Funding Opportunity.</u>

**<u>Relevance</u>** By managing collaborative FCIC projects' R&D activities and focusing on IBR optimization issues we will address barriers to the growth and success of the biofuels industry.





## **Quad Chart Overview**



### Timeline

- Project Start Date: November 2017
- Project End Date : September 2018
- Percent Complete: 100%

	Total Costs Pre FY17**	FY 17 Costs	FY 18 Costs	Total Planned Funding (FY 19-Project End Date)
DOE Funded	N.A.	(See Below)	\$784k	\$0
Project Cost Share*	N.A.	N.A.	\$0	\$0

Partners:\$345K (INL), \$365k (NREL), \$15K (LBNL), \$15k (LANL), \$15k (ORNL), \$15K (PNNL), \$7k (SNL), \$7k (ANL) 2017 Forward Funded: \$8M for Direct Funding Opportunity

### **Barriers addressed**

Ct-A. Feedstock Variability, Ct-B. Reactor Feed Introduction, Ct-C. Efficient Preprocessing, Ct-D. Efficient Pretreatment, Ct-J. Process Integration, Ct-N. Materials Compatibility and Reactor Design and Optimization Integration, Ft-E. Feedstock Quality, Monitoring, Ft-G. Biomass Physical State Alteration Ft-I. Feedstock Suppy7 System Integration and Infrastructure, Ft-J. Operational Reliability, Im-A. Inadequate Supply Chain Infrastructure, It-B. Risk of First-of-a-Kind Technology, It-C. Technical Risk of Scaling

### Objective

- Start-up, manage and coordinate the FCIC R&D project teams to ensure the collaborative synergies and facilitate the communications between BETO and National Labs.
- Enable and promote effective industry & science engagement to ensure the FCIC's focus on providing first principles science based solutions to industrially relevant issues

### FY18 Project Goal

- Establish and coordinate the FCIC R&D activities so that the baseline activities and materials generated can be metricized and used as the benchmark to compare and establish future R&D performance objectives.
- Directed Funding Opportunity award selections

# **Project Overview**



### • History

- Siloed research objectives across the BETO platforms and national labs (feedstock history, speciation, blending, densification, milling)
- Metricized impacts of feedstock variability on integrated industry processes unknown

### Relevance

- Coordinated research efforts to leverage national lab expertise and capabilities
- Develop technologies stakeholders will utilize to improve the performance and operating reliability of biomass handling equipment and integrated biorefineries.

# Approach – Management



## Leadership Organization



# Approach – Management





Chenlin Li (INL)



Kevin Kenney (INL)



-) Zia Abdullah (NREL)

![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

Corinne Drennan (PNNL)

![](_page_6_Picture_10.jpeg)

Katy Christiansen (LBNL)

![](_page_6_Picture_12.jpeg)

Michael Resch (NREL)

![](_page_6_Picture_14.jpeg)

Tim Theiss (ORNL)

![](_page_6_Picture_16.jpeg)

Babetta Marrone (LANL)

![](_page_6_Picture_18.jpeg)

Paul Bryan (SNL)

![](_page_6_Picture_20.jpeg)

Meltum Urgun Demirtas (ANL)

Leadership Team

# Approach – Management

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Ravi Chandran (TRI)

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

William Crump (Leidos)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

Brandon Emme (ICM)

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

John Evans (AB Biotek)

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

Glenn Farris (AGCO)

![](_page_7_Picture_12.jpeg)

Reddy Karri (PSR)

![](_page_7_Picture_14.jpeg)

Steve Kelley (NC State)

![](_page_7_Picture_16.jpeg)

Tom Miles (TR Miles Consulting)

Industry Advisory Board

## Approach – Technical

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Industry Engagement and Project Management** 

Michael Resch (NREL) Chenlin Li (INL) Oversee execution and coordinate activities

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Task 1 Industry Engagement**

- Industry-relevant R&D portfolio with clear input from and handoffs to bioenergy industry stakeholders.
- Open communication between national labs and industry stakeholders.

### Task 2 Industry Collaborative R&D

- Promote industry collaborative R&D through FCIC Directed Funding Opportunity (DFO).
- Web-based process tool and managing DFO submission, review, approval, award process and project execution.
- Establish FCIC communications channels

### **Task 3 Project Management**

- Integration and coordination of activities to ensure hand off and deliverables will be provided to DOE in accordance with the approved work plan and budget.
- Coordinate Leadership Team comprised of representatives from DOE and national labs to establish vision, define strategy, approval directions and changes of R&D focus.

![](_page_9_Picture_12.jpeg)

## **Approach - Technical**

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Challenges:

- Collaboration between National Lab and industrial partners unfamiliar working together
- Timely handoff of materials and data in order to meet milestones and deliverables
- Selecting Industry Advisory Board with diverse expertise and knowledge of past industrial problems
- Sensitivity around industry bottlenecks and formal communication
- Transferring FCIC results for industry adoption

## **Approach - Technical**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Critical success factors:**

- Close collaboration between National Labs with a collective understanding of roles, responsibilities and deliverables working towards the common FCIC goal.
- Active interaction with the Industry Advisory Board to review and contribute to FCIC AOPs and Directed-Funding Assistance Opportunity.
- Strong participation of industry stakeholders in FCIC Directed-Funding Opportunity program awarded projects.
- Tangible evidence of FCIC-developed scientific knowledge and technologies adopted by industry stakeholders.

# 3 – Accomplishments

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

 Assembled catalog of conversion equipment, and capabilities at participating FCIC National Laboratories, for inclusion on the public-facing FCIC website. Formation of Industry Advisory Board  $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$  Kick-off meeting Respond adjust R&D based on IAB comments Launched FCIC website • Formulated topics for FCIC Directed Funding Opportunity based on feedback Q2 from IAB, research needs and knowledge gaps. Reviewed and selected DFO National Lab/ Industry collaborative awards All-Hands workshop and Baseline Coordination Q3 Coordinated FCIC baseline results for use as benchmark and sample generation to establish future R&D performance objectives Planning of future work to leverage baseline results

# 3 – Accomplishments

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Organization and Management**

- Led weekly All-Hands calls, periodic technical presentations
- Successfully managed workflow and integrated experimental design to leverage the capabilities and expertise at eight National Laboratories
- Managed and coordinated the activities of various project teams to ensure the collaborative synergies and maintained handoff timelines across eight National Laboratories.
- Reviewed and coordinated 12 Quarterly Milestones and 24 Progress Reports throughout FY18 with a total budget of ~\$15M
- Launched and executed the Direct Funding Opportunity (DFO) process including the announcement release, proposal review, selection of awards.

# 3 – Accomplishments

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Key Feedback from Industry Advisory Board which led to FCIC scope

- 1. Design of Experiments: response or factors need to be identified. Define dataset to be collected from available resource (literature, library, etc.,) and experiments for statistical analysis and data mining.
- 2. Reactor probes and in-line instrumentation needed and implemented in an IBR
- 3. Current IBR problems and success are not available and should be made public. Ash speciation and effects on intermediates.
- 4. Bio-oil properties in relation to wear.
- 5. Use cost analysis as a metric for reliability and performance.
- 6. Embed researchers at industrial sites to acquire data and perform analysis and modeling.
- 7. Advise on grinder types vs feedstock type vs performance of feedstocks.

## **Directed Funding Opportunity**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

40%

20%

- \$8 Million available for Industry/ National Lab Partnerships
  - 30% non-federal cost share required (in-kind &/or cash)
  - \$500,000-\$2,000,000 for each project for 12-22 months POP
  - Promoting industry/lab collaborative R&D for near term solutions
  - Contractual terms will be managed through a pre-established FCIC agreement
  - Call released Dec 20, 2017 and closed Jan 31, 2018
- Topics areas to address research gaps:
  - 1. Biomass Quality Evaluation and Optimization
  - 2. Biomass Preprocessing, Feed-Handling, and Conversion Process Integration

3. System Readiness Evaluation through Techno-Economic Analysis and Process Control Development

### **Scoring Criteria**

- Challenges, risk mitigation and research approach 40%
- Impact on FCIC goals and mission
- Requested budget, milestones and key personnel

![](_page_15_Picture_16.jpeg)

## **DFO Review Process**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Jan 2018 Reviewers selected from industry, acadamia, consultants, thermochemistry and biochemistry, pretreatment and biomass experience
- Feb 2018 14 day Independent Review
- Feb 2018 In-person Review Panel Discussion
  - organize comments
  - Criteria review
  - Focus on Technical Merit
- March 2018 Comments sent to applicants for response and clarification
- March 2018 Responses sent to reviewers for consideration, finalization of comments, as appropriate.
- April 2018 BETO discussions with FCIC Leads.
- April 2018 BETO discussions with Program Director.

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

## **Directed Funding Opportunity**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

Project Title	Primary Institute (PI)	Partners	Poster #
Achieving High Operating Reliability for Continuous Feeding of Biomass into a High- Pressure Reactor	INL (John Aston)	Red Rocks Biofuels, Valmet, Forest Concepts ORNL, NREL	43
Moisture Management and Optimization in Municipal Solid Waste Feedstock through Mechanical Processing	Fulcrum (Mujinga Mwamufiya)	INL	41
Rational design of robust reactor feeding systems for heterogeneous cellulosic and agricultural wastes based on biomass quality characteristics	NREL (Daniel Carpenter)	Jenike & Johanson, The Wonderful Company, INL	45
"Smart" Transfer Chutes with In-Line Acoustic Sensors for Bulk-Solids Handling Solutions	LANL (Troy Semelsberger)	Jenike & Johanson	42
Real-Time, Integrated Dynamic Control Optimization to Improve the Operational Reliability of a Gasifier	INL (Roni Mohammad)	Sierra Energy, Energy Res. Co.	44
Investigating and addressing the wear issue of the rotary shear biomass comminution system	ORNL (Jun Qu)	Forest Concepts, ANL,	40

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Communications Efforts**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Developed consistent communications and outreach by all FCIC labs
- FCIC website created and maintained
- An FCIC email distribution list to communicate FCIC announcements, news, and accomplishments.
- Three FCIC overview products
- 1. video overview
- 2. feature article
- 3. Multi-slide guide
- FCIC website was monitored with web analytics, which will guide future outreach campaigns

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

Video Overview: <a href="https://fcic.inl.gov/Page/fcic\_aboutus">https://fcic.inl.gov/Page/fcic\_aboutus</a>

 $\bigcirc$ 

### Relevance

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Stakeholder Relations**

- Identified key industrial collaborative research projects to leverage national lab capabilities and funded competitive projects through Directed Funding Opportunity (DFO).
- Coordinated the FY18 R&D activities and communicated to Industry Advisory Board and stakeholders for feedback and guidance via two annual face to face meetings and written feedback.
- Drafted the 'FCIC primer', with input from multiple researchers across FCIC pillars of an alphabetical glossary of terms and methods to enable more effective communication among diverse technical teams to facilitate R&D and expands upon the established, general glossary of terms used in the U.S. DOE EERE Bioenergy Technologies Program (<u>https://www.energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/full-text-glossary</u>) to include vocabulary specific to FCIC research areas

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

# How coordination of FCIC projects will lead to commercial viability of IBRs

- Bioenergy industry stakeholders will utilize the FCIC data and technologies to improve the performance and operating reliability of equipment and integrated biorefineries.
- Intellectual property will likely be generated in the projects, and licensing opportunities will be explored to transfer this to industry.
- Industry partnerships with biorefineries and equipment manufacturers will also be explored to share knowledge gained through this and other FCIC projects.
- Contributes to BETO goals by improving the knowledge of how variabilities in feedstocks impact IBR operational reliability (FY20-23 goals)

22

# Lessons Learned

**Organization and Management** 

Key Takeaways from team member survey (5/24 FCIC R&D Meeting)

- "Rate value of your participation in this consortium." 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest)
- 2. "What would increase your value of participation in the FCIC?"
  - "Better and more timely communication among Labs and between BETO and Labs"
  - "Opportunities to leverage capabilities across labs"
- 3. "Rate the level of satisfaction you feel regarding your participation in the FCIC." 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest) N=33
- "What would increase your satisfaction in participating in the FCIC?"
  - "The FCIC often seems to feel like opportunities for inclusion are not valued. Many times it seems like the labs are competing rather than working together. The FCIC would benefit from a more inclusive and transparent approach to developing scopes of work."

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_12.jpeg)

N=	33
Average	
score	7.92
Stdev	1.71

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Overview Manage and Coordinate 8 National Lab Consortia working together to leveraging core capabilities to address major issues plaguing the biofuels industry.
- Approach NREL and INL serve as the two leading institutions, with 6 other FCIC labs' participation for effective project management.
- 3. Technical Accomplishments/Progress/Results Achieved all FCIC deliverables, organized FCIC communications with IAB and industry stakeholders, reviewed and selected 7 DFO awards and delivered an annual report highlighting the FCIC FY18 Baseline results.
- 4. Relevance This project worked directly with BETO, FCIC leadership team and IAB to ensure the industry-relevant focus with strong potential for industry adoption of FCIC accomplishments, and managed the industry-collaborative R&D through Directed-Funding Opportunity.
- 5. Future Work Sun Setting –

### Acknowledgments to the team

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

Photo of December 11th, 2017 all hands FCIC kick-off meeting held at NREL organized by IE&PM project.

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Questions?

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

www.fcic.inl.gov Michael.resch@nrel.gov

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Presentations**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. Oral Presentation. Michael Resch. *Feedstock-Conversion Interface Consortium: Providing Innovative Solutions to Address Operational Challenges Faced by Biorefineries*. ABLC, (2018) Washington, D.C.
- 2. Oral Presentation. Michael Resch. *Feedstock-Conversion Interface Consortium: Providing Innovative Solutions to Address Operational Challenges Faced by Biorefineries*. SIMB – Symposium on Biotechnology for Fuels and Chemicals. (2018) May Clearwater, FL
- 3. Oral Presentation. Chenlin Li, Michael Resch. Feedstock-Conversion Interface Consortium: Providing Innovative Solutions to Address Biomass Quality and Operational Challenges Faced by Biorefineries. 11<sup>th</sup> International Biomass Conference & Expo, April 16-18, 2018, Atlanta, GA.

Related publications

https://fcic.inl.gov/Page/fcic\_publications\_complete

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Additional Information**

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Corn Stover Feedstocks**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Stored field-side, experienced rain events prior to final storage
- In storage at Iowa State, pending delivery to INL

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Low-Temperature Primary Deconstruction

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Pine Feedstocks**

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

**High-Temperature Primary Deconstruction** 

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

STA Investigators: David N. Thompson, Damon Hartley (INL) Jeongwoo Han (ANL) Mary Biddy, Ryan Davis, Abhijit Dutta (NREL) Erin Webb (ORNL) Sue Jones (PNNL)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Discrete Event Simulations**

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

Feedstock Variability and Specification Development Allison Ray (INL)Feedstock Physical Performance Modeling Tyler Westover (INL)		<b>Process Integration</b> Ed Wolfrum (NREL)	System-wide Throughput Analysis Dave Thompson (INL)	<b>Process Control</b> <b>and Optimization</b> <i>Quang Nguyen (INL)</i>
Biomass	Quality	Upstream- Downstream Process Integration	System Reading	ess Evaluation
Characterizing & Mining	g Biomass Data	Improving Operational Performance	Implement Control	ing Integrated Strategies

Allison Ray, Rachel Emerson, Amber Hoover, Jordan Klinger, Tyler Westover, Luke Williams, Magdalena Ramirez-Corredores (INL) Deepti Tanjore (LBNL) Troy Semelsberger (LANL) Erin Webb (ORNL) Bryon Donohoe, Nick Nagle, Ed Wolfrum (NREL) Kenneth Sale (SNL)

### Feedstock Variability and Specification Development

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Approach

- •Collect representative corn stover and pine residues for the 3 year project
- Quantify range and frequency variability
- Identify origins of variability

### Sample Matrix (Corn Stover)

- Pristine
- •High Ash
- High Moisture
- •High Ash and Moisture
- Storage Effects

### Sample Matrix (Pine Residues)

- Pristine Logs
- Residues
- Mixed
- Vary Particle Size
   Distribution

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Data Acquisition and Analysis**

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Harmonization of methods & vocabulary
- Collect existing analytical data for variability ranges/identify gaps
- Track the history and quality of representative FCIC biomass samples
- Machine learning component to identify correlations

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Feedstock performance modeling

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Objective:** Use mechanistic modeling to identify the causes of feed-handling failures and validate model driven design changes to lead to improved process and equipment performance

### **Technical Approach**

- Particle models (discrete element method, DEM)
- Reduced-order continuum models (averages over many particles)
  - Plasticity/elasticity models for general flow & comminution
  - Comp. fluid dynamics (CFD) for specific cases
  - Analytical models for specific wear mechanisms

![](_page_37_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ed Wolfrum, Melvin Tucker, Erik Kuhn, Xiaowen Chen, Dan Carpenter, Kristin Smith, Bryon Donohoe, Nick Nagle, Peter Ciesielski (NREL) Vicki Thompson, John Aston, Tyler Westover (INL) Jim Kieser, Jim Parks (ORNL) George Fenske (ANL)

## **Process Integration**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

- FY18 Major Goal is to Execute robust, industrially-relevant baseline testing both low- and high-temperature conversion processes
- Longer-Term Goal is to develop and demonstrate cost-effective mitigation strategies
- Support other FCIC projects through experimental validation

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

Feedstock Variability and Specification Development Allison Ray (INL)	Feedstock Physical Performance Modeling Tyler Westover (INL)	<b>Process Integration</b> Ed Wolfrum (NREL)	System-wide Throughput Analysis Dave Thompson (INL)	<b>Process Control</b> <b>and Optimization</b> <i>Quang Nguyen (INL)</i>
Biomass	Quality	Upstream- Downstream Process Integration	System Readin	ess Evaluation
Characterizing & Mining	g Biomass Data	Improving Operational Performance	Implement Control	ing Integrated Strategies

INL: Quang Nguyen, Matthew Anderson, Robert Kinoshita, William Smith, Patrick Bonebright, and Neal Yancey

NREL: Richard Elander, Kristin Smith, Danny Carpenter, David Sievers, Katie Gaston, and Raymond Hasen

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Project Objective**

### Goal:

 Verification and design control systems that increase the on stream reliability in industrially relevant conditions while maintaining primary deconstruction conversion performance.

### **Objectives:**

•Test dynamic control systems that can adapt in real time to changing biomass composition and process conditions

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

**Project Details Project Objectives Principal Investigator:** Mujinga Mwamufiya Fulcrum BioEnergy, Inc. Lead Institution: **Collaborating Institutions:** Idaho National Laboratory ("INL") **Project Duration:** 22 months **Technical Approach Topic Area:** 2 Milestones/Outcomes Milestone: Integrated demonstration of fractional milling, high FCIC? moisture pelleting and low temperature drying to meeting the required specifications. **Output:** Mathematical models to describe fractional milling, high biomass-derived feedstock. moisture pelleting and low temperature drying. Defined process conditions and commercial scale cost for reducing MSW moisture content of MSW to below 10% (w.b.) at 40% reduction of preprocessing cost, optimized to achieve bulk density of 25-27 kg/m3 and durability of >95%.

**Outcome:** Identify viable options to optimize preprocessing systems for managing moisture efficiently in an MSW-torenewable fuels process.

### Fulcrum BioEnergy, Inc.

### Moisture Management and Optimization in Municipal Solid Waste Feedstock through Mechanical Processing

- Reduce MSW feedstock moisture content to < 10% (w.b.)</li> by developing a material engineering solution (densified biomass pelleted product) with bulk density of 25-27 kg/m3 and durability of > 95%, at a 40% reduced preprocessing cost (compared to conventional methods).
- Develop a material engineering solution, integrating fractional milling, high-moisture pelletizing and lowtemperature drying techniques to produce MSW feedstock that meets the required biorefinery specifications.

### Impact

**Overview** 

### How does the project support the goals and mission of the

- Advances the understanding of the physics and chemistry of
- Develops and tests equipment and techniques to be integrated in preprocessing, feed and handling systems to further FCIC's 90% operational reliability goals.
- Develops process models which can be used to find that process conditions will optimize preprocessing and operating costs.

![](_page_42_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Achieving High Operating Reliability for Continuous Feeding of Biomass into a High-Pressure Reactor

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

Project Details			Overview
Principal Investigator:John E. AstonLead Institution:INLCollaborating Institutions:Red Rock Biof NREL, Valmet,Project Duration:22 monthsTopic Area:2	<b>uels</b> ; ORNL, Forest Concepts	<ul> <li>Improve operational reliability of cont More consistent comminution of varia Implementation of a 5-inch screw fee plugging and lessen wear at the com</li> <li>Improve the scalability of data betwe the FCIC and industrial applications I <u>Technical Approach</u> Use a parametric matrix to (1) study the forest residues, mill residues, and rail ro rotary shear and traditional hammer mill performance of these preprocessed mai fed plug reactors at high pressure so that developed to scale such systems based</li> </ul>	tinuously fed reactors via (1) able woody feedstocks, and (2) eder designed to minimize pression zone. en national laboratory PDUs in by using a 5-inch screw feeder. e comminution of characterized bad ties using Forest Concepts' ling, and (2) Evaluate the terials in 4- and 5-inch screw at (3) Transfer functions can be d on feedstock properties.
Milestones/Outcomes			Impac
<ul> <li>Deliver 8 tons each of preprocessed forest reside and railroad ties from Forest Concepts to INL in to complete screw feed tests in INL's CPS. Monte Installation of 5-inch screw feeder and accompare INL's CPS. Month 12.</li> <li>Complete parametric tests on preprocessed (bot and via Forest Concepts Crumbler rotary shear) residues, and railroad ties (rail road ties may be or mill residues) using the 5-inch plug flow screw INL. Month 18.</li> <li>Deliver a data package to RRB that informs their feedstock specifications, and screw feeder mate maximize overall operational reliability. Month 22</li> </ul>	ues, mill residues, amounts sufficient th 9. nying bins onto th via hammer mill forest residues, mill blended with forest v feed system at r screw feed size, rial/treatment to 2.	The integrated, hands-on research and industry leaders and national laborator researchers identify fundamental resea industry improve process and equipment strategies to achieve design throughput In addition, operating the 4-inch feeder feeder at INL will provide data for evalu- feeders to relevant industrial scales. T Temperature Conversion Platform bett performance characteristics of the 4-inch the R&D results more relevant to indust the existing systems at NREL and INL	d data sharing between ry researchers will help arch areas that help ent design, and operating uts and conversion yields. r at NREL and 5-inch uating scalability of these his would help the Low- ter evaluate the nch feeders, and thus make stry as compared to using

Rational design of robust reactor feeding systems for heterogeneous cellulosic and agricultural wastes based on biomass quality characteristics

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

ethodology for designing robust h-temperature in-feed systems; ohysical, chemical, and mechanical esign decisions. esign package for such a system to ergy for conversion of almond waste ate the approach with forest residues.
g of biomass characterization data to nal flow modeling and bench-scale nd feeder tests to design an indling, and reactor in-feed system.
Impact
port the goals and mission of the rocess reliability, we will derive and een primary biomass attributes and sing, handling, and conversion for s handling systems. The onsiderations established here will st residues and may be broadly s feedstocks. The experimental design, using an industrially- d associated quality and variability), o numerical bulk flow simulations and being developed in the FCIC.

### "Smart" Transfer Chutes with In-Line Acoustic Sensors for Bulk-Solids Handling Solutions

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Project Details**

- Principal Investigator: Troy A. Semelsberger, Ph.D.
- Lead Institution: Los Alamos National Laboratory
- Collaborating Institutions: Jenike & Johanson

Project Duration: 22 months

<u>Topic Area</u>: 2 – Biomass Preprocessing, Feed-Handling, and Conversion Process Integration

### **Milestones/Outcomes**

- Demonstrate the ability to measure moisture content of corn stover to within ±10%
- Demonstrate the ability to measure plug-screw feeder erosion to within ±10% of measured mass
- Field demonstrate "smart" transfer chute coupled with in-line acoustic moisture sensor

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Project Objectives

• **Project Objective:** Develop innovative solids handling equipment and unique in-line measurement sensors to greatly improve operational reliability, safety, throughput, and yield of biorefineries

### **Technical Approach**

- Design and demonstrate "smart" transfer chute technology to discard problematic biomass material using novel in-line moisture sensor
- Design and demonstrate in-line acoustic sensor for "realtime" monitoring of plug-screw feeder erosion

#### Impact

Overview

The three innovative technologies developed in this project will have a profound impact on integrated biorefineries by:

- ✓ Increasing IBR time-on-stream
- ✓ Offering advanced process control strategies
- ✓ Increasing product selectivity, conversion, and yields
- ✓ Increasing IBR plant operational safety
- ✓ Decreasing maintenance downtime & costs (i.e., failures)
- $\checkmark\,$  Active control of incoming feedstock quality

All innovations address priority challenges highlighted in the ADO and Biorefinery Optimization Workshops

### Real-Time, Integrated Dynamic Control Optimization to Improve the Operational Reliability of a Gasifier

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

Project Details		Project Objectives Overview	v
Principal Investigator: Lead Institution: Collaborating Institutions: Project Duration: Topic Area:	Roni Mohammad, Ph.D. INL Sierra Energy, Lehigh Univ., Energy Res. Co., VA Commonwealth U. 22 months 3	<ul> <li>Project Objective 1: Develop a new, real time integrated dynamic control optimization solution to ensure 90% operational reliability of a gasifier</li> <li>Project Objective 2 : Demonstrate the improved operational reliability of our industrial partner's gasifier using proposed solution to 90% with 20% improved conversion yield for biomass with 5–30% level of moisture, a 5–15% level of ash content, and particle sizes between 1/4 and 2 inches</li> <li>Technical Approach Develop integrated control optimization model by integrating FCIC adaptive control capability and other FCIC, industry resources ( e.g., sensors, in-line instrumentation, predictive modeling of mechanical behavior of biomass particles, process</li> </ul>	
Outcomes         Milestone#1 : Complete col         from Sierra's gasification system         causes of low operational re         additional control equipment         Milestone#2 : Complete det         optimization model by integra         and other FCIC resources :         model and algorithm to achie         conversion yield         Milestone#3 : Complete det         gasifier. Outcome: Improve         feeding system to 90% under         conversion yield by at least 2	lection of base process performance data stem : <b>Outcome</b> : Identification of root liability and conversion yield; needed and sensors veloping real time, dynamic control rating FCIC adaptive control capability <b>Outcome</b> : Dynamic control-optimization eve improved operational reliability and monstration of proposed solution at Sierra's d operational reliability of the gasifier er feedstock variations, and improved 20%	<ul> <li>Impact of the interval of the interva</li></ul>	t
		¥/ FCIC-18-15826	3

### **Future Objectives of FCIC**

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

### • Objective 1

- Presently, 7 out of 10 new pioneer biorefineries fail to achieve continuous profitable operations and only 3 out of 10 succeed.
- The goal of FCIC is to develop knowledge and tools which will help technology developers, so that, with improved design, and process specifications, they will be able to flip this paradigm, so that 7 out of 10 new pioneer biorefineries succeed, and only 3 out of 10 fail.
- Objective 2
  - Develop a framework through which technology developers will be able to assess the quality and value of various streams in their processes for the purpose of using that valuation to make decisions to achieve Objective 1.

## What is Different as Compared to FY18?

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The objectives are different. We will develop tool to enable technology developers to design and build biorefineries and processes so the 7 out of 10 succeed to achieve continuous operations
- In FY18 the goal was to focus only on process reliability. The focus now will also be on predictability, scalability, and development of methodology for stream valuation
- FCIC now has a framework which will focus the deliverables of the AOP tasks to achieve the objectives