Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Communication Tower Work-Safety Fall-Protection Installations

Project Manager: M. Kovaka, TEP-CSB-2

Location: Communications sites and substations in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and Montana

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.19 Microwave, meteorological, and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to install work-safety fall-protection equipment at multiple communications sites in Washington, Oregon, Montana, and Idaho. Work would occur through 2021 at the two substations and multiple communications sites listed below with their respective counties and states:

- Beverly Grant, WA
- Cabbage Hill Umatilla, OR
- Haystack Butte Klickitat, WA
- Kennewick Benton, WA
- Mount Emily Union, WA
- Roosevelt Klickitat, WA
- Teakean Butte Clearwater, ID
- Slatt Substation Gilliam, OR
- Adel Lake, OR
- Albion Butte

 Cassia, ID
- Bald Mountain Elmore, ID
- Bennett Mountain Elmore, ID
- Foster Creek Douglas, WA
- Lines Creek

 Shoshone, ID
- Metaline

 Pend Oreille, WA
- Noxon Substation Sanders, MT
- Patrick's Knob Sanders, MT
- Rocky Ridge Sanders MT
- Swan Valley Bonneville, ID
- Thompson Falls Sanders, MT
- Swan Lake Point Klamath, OR
- Miller Peak Missoula, MT
- Lowell Butte Lane, OR

BPA would install a cable safety system—the MSA Latchways system—on the vertical climbing path of each communication structure. An anchor support beam would be installed near the top of the structure. The cable system would then be connected to the structure with a series of brackets approximately every 10 feet and a top and bottom anchor. Once installed, workers would use the system when climbing the tower by attaching their harness to a specialized pulley that allows them to glide along the safety cable as they climb.

Installation would require a line truck and two-to-three workers at the structure and one-to-two workers on the ground. Intermittent noise generation would occur from the use of hand tools to install the support beam and Latchway system and gear banging against the steel structures. Noise would occur over six to eight hours in a single day.

These actions would occur on existing structures that are located on both BPA fee-owned land and land where BPA possesses an agreed-upon easement with the landowner. The structures would be accessed using BPA's existing access road system and the proposed work would not require ground disturbing activities nor tree/vegetation removal.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: January 25, 2019

<u>/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow</u> Jeffrey J. Maslow Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Communication Tower Work-Safety Fall-Protection Installations

Project Site Description

All work would take place within BPA substations and radio facilities on BPA fee-owned property or existing easements in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, and Montana. This work would not cause new ground disturbance. All project areas have previously been disturbed.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	V		
	Explanation: BPA's Historian reviewed proposed activities and determined that because they would involve minor additive features that do not adversely impact the integrity of historic structures and also would not have potential to cause ground disturbance, they would not have the potential to cause effects to historic properties.			
2.	Geology and Soils			
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur a	at these existing comm	unication sites and substations.	
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	•		
	Explanation: No plants would be removed at these	e existing communicati	ion sites and substations.	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)			
	Explanation: Unless surveys are conducted that demonstrate the species are not present near project sites, where nearby eagle nests are located or have high potential to occur, and where Columbian Sharp-Tailed Grouse lekking activity occurs, BPA will schedule noise-generating work outside of the following critical periods (Eagles: January 1st to August 31st; Columbian Sharp-Tailed Grouse: March 15th to April 30th).			
	Sites with eagle nesting timing restrictions incSite with timing restrictions for Columbian Sh		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)			
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fish	are present at the com	munication sites and substations.	
6.	Wetlands			
	Explanation: No wetlands are present at the comm	nunication sites and su	bstations.	

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : No groundwater or aquifers disturbance w substations.	rould occur at these existing commu	unication sites and		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: All work would occur within the existing communication sites and are allowed uses. As some si are owned by the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, and state land-management agencies, the BF Realty Specialist and Project Manager will coordinate required notification and entry protocol with the land owner/manager before work begins at each site.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The brackets and cables are minor addition the facilities.	ns that would not change the overa	ll visual character of		
10.	Air Quality				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Small amounts of dust and vehicle emissio roads.	ns may occur while line trucks trave	el existing access		
11.	Noise				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Intermittent noise associated with activities would not change.	es would occur during daylight hour	s. Operational noise		
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: Maintenance activity would improve safet	y of workers at BPA's communication	on facilities.		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biolinvasive species, unless the proposed activity would be operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agric National Institutes of Health.	contained or confined in a manner or conment and conducted in accordar	designed and nce with applicable		

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Most sites are owned in fee by BPA. Others are owned by federal (U.S. Forest Service of Bureau of Land Management) or state land-management agencies. All access rights have been acquired. The BPA Realty Specialist and Project Manager will coordinate required notification and entry protocol with the landowner/manager before work begins at each site.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow</u> Date: <u>January 25, 2019</u>

Jeffrey J. Maslow, ECP-4

Environmental Protection Specialist