# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Verizon North Bonneville Antenna Upgrade Project

**Project No.**: WO # 00484492

**Project Manager:** Jonathan Toobian – TELP-TPP-3

Location: Skamania County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological

and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow Verizon Wireless to perform the following modifications to the existing telecommunications facility located on structure 61/2 of BPA's Knight-Ostrander #1 500-kV transmission line:

- Remove six (6) panel antennas
- Remove three (3) Tower Mounted Amplifiers (TMAs)
- Remove nine (9) remote radio units (RRUs). Six (6) RRUs are located in the cabinet on the ground below the tower and three (3) on located on the tower.
- Install six (6) panel antennas
- Install six (6) RRUs

The height of the tower is approximately 240' and the antennas are located near the top of the tower. Both the new and the old antennas are approximately  $12^{"}W \times 7^{"}D \times 72^{"}L$ . The RRU's are approximately  $15^{"}W \times 10^{"}D \times 28^{"}L$ .

The BPA transmission tower where the equipment upgrade would take place is located on lands designated as Urban Areas under the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Management Plan. Additionally, the property is owned by Hood River Sand and Gravel. Access to the project area is currently available via a well maintained gravel road. No improvements or modifications to the existing road would occur as a result of this project.

No ground disturbing activities would occur as a result of this project.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *January 31, 2019* 

## /s/ Michael Henjum

Michael Henjum Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Stacy L. Mason

**NEPA Compliance Officer** 

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Verizon North Bonneville Antenna Upgrade Project						

### **Project Site Description**

Tower 61/2, where the equipment replacements would occur, is located approximately 800' away from BPA's North Bonneville Substation, approximately 1,200' away from the Columbia River, and approximately 5,000' away from the Bonneville Dam. The adjacent land is used as a staging area for aggregates and other materials by the property owner, Hood River Sand and Gravel.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : This project would use established acactivities are proposed. A BPA historian and cultur transmission tower do not affect the integrity of the resources.	al staff verified that th	e small additive features to the
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur a	as a result of this projec	ct.
	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities would anticipated to have impacts to any state or federal an existing gravel road.		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No wildlife habitat exists within the p prior to construction, the construction would be de	= -	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or other	er fish habitat exists ne	ar the project area.
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No wetlands are present or in close p	roximity to the project	area.

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance, drilling, or excavation would occur as a result of this project; thus, neither groundwater, nor aquifers, are anticipated to be affected.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: The site is located in a designated Urban Ar (CRGNSA). Urban land use designations are exempt from not change as a result of this project.  There would be no change to the land use at the project againment of the same purpose.	n CRGNSA regulations. The underly	ring land use would			
0	equipment of the same purpose.					
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The wireless antennas and equipment are of the relative small size of equipment being upgraded and result in a noticeable difference to viewers looking at the	the distances from which the towe				
10.	Air Quality	<u></u>				
	Explanation: No air quality effects would occur during th	nis equipment replacement project				
11.	Noise	V				
	Explanation: Installation noise would be minimal, tempo would not change.	orary, and occur during daytime ho	urs. Operational noise			
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: There would be no impact to human health	n and safety.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>V</b>	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of		treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>V</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that ther		_			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
<b>&gt;</b>	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biologinvasive species, unless the proposed activity would be coperated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agricu National Institutes of Health.  Explanation, if necessary:	ontained or confined in a manner of conment and conducted in accordar	designed and ace with applicable			
	Explanation, in necessary.					

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: The project area is owned by Hood River Sand and Gravel. Rights to perform this work would be verified by BPA realty specialists prior to project implementation.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Michael Henjum</u> Date: <u>January 31, 2019</u>

Michael Henjum

**Environmental Protection Specialist**