# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: T-Mobile Silverwood Antenna Upgrade Project

Project No.: WO # 00484330

**Project Manager:** Jonathan Toobian – TELP-TPP-3

Location: Kootenai County, ID

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological

and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow T-Mobile to modify the existing wireless facility located on structure 13/2 of BPA's Lancaster-Noxon No.1 230-kV transmission line, located near the town of Athol, ID. The facility modifications include:

- Removing (8) antennas and associated communication equipment

- Adding (8) new antennas and associated communication equipment
- Adding (1) new cabinet within an existing building to house new communication equipment

The existing equipment is located approximately 50' above the ground on a 76' steel lattice tower. The new equipment would be installed in the approximate location of the existing equipment. The new cabinet would be installed within an existing building located beneath the tower.

No ground disturbing activities would occur as a result of this project.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Michael Henjum

Michael Henjum

**Environmental Protection Specialist** 

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: *January 31, 2019* 

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

ı	Proposed Action:	1-Mobile Silverwood Antenna Opgrade Project

### **Project Site Description**

Wireless communication equipment replacements would occur on BPA's structure 13/2 of BPA's Lancaster-Noxon No.1 230-kV transmission line, located in a rural area outside the town of Athol, ID. The tower is located approximately 200' away from a paved county road. Access to the project area is currently available via a well maintained gravel road. No improvements or modifications to the existing road would occur as a result of this project.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : This project would use established acactivities are proposed. A BPA historian and cultur transmission tower do not affect the integrity of the resources.	ral staff verified that th	ne small additive features to the
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur a	as a result of this proje	ct.
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No ground disturbing activities would anticipated to have impacts to any state or federal an existing gravel road.		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No wildlife habitat exists within the prior to construction, the construction would be de	-	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	•	
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or other	er fish habitat exists ne	ear the project area.
6.	Wetlands	V	
	Explanation: No wetlands are present or in close p	roximity to the projec	t area.

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance, drilling, or excavating groundwater nor aquifers are anticipated to be affected.	·	oroject; thus, neither			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>v</b>				
	Explanation: There would be no change to the land use at the project location, as the proposed action is replacing existing equipment of the same purpose.					
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are consistent with the existing use of the site.					
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No air quality effects would occur during this equipment replacement project.					
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Installation noise would be minimal, temporary, and occur during daytime hours. Operational noise would not change.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>v</b>				
	Explanation: There would be no impact to human health	n and safety.				
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements				
	ne proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The roject would not:					
		tegral elements of the categorical $\epsilon$	exclusion. The			
		or permit requirements for environ				
	ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or	or permit requirements for environ				
	ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order	or permit requirements for environers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or	iment, safety, and			
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orden Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w	or permit requirements for environers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or	iment, safety, and			
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orden Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or	or permit requirements for environers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or ategorically excluded.	r treatment			
pro	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orden Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	or permit requirements for environers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or ategorically excluded.	r treatment			
pro	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of the Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that there	or permit requirements for environ ers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or ategorically excluded.  , or CERCLA excluded petroleum are would be uncontrolled or unpermonentally designated not contained or confined in a manner conment and conducted in accordance.	r treatment  Ind natural gas mitted releases.  Exious weeds, or designed and natural gables.			

## **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: The project area is owned by a private citizen. Rights to perform this work would be verified by BPA realty specialists prior to project implementation.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Michael Henjum</u> Date: <u>January 31, 2019</u>

Michael Henjum

**Environmental Protection Specialist**